Genetic Influences on Brain Gene Expression in Rats Selected for Tameness and Aggression
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ABSTRACT Interindividual differences in many behaviors are partly due to genetic differences, but the identification of the genes and variants that influence behavior remains challenging. Here, we studied an F2 intercross of two outbred lines of rats selected for tame and aggressive behavior toward humans for >64 generations. By using a mapping approach that is able to identify genetic loci segregating within the lines, we identified four times more loci influencing tameness and aggression than by an approach that assumes fixation of causative alleles, suggesting that many causative loci were not driven to fixation by the selection. We used RNA sequencing in 150 F2 animals to identify hundreds of loci that influence brain gene expression. Several of these loci colocalize with tameness loci and may reflect the same genetic variants. Through analyses of correlations between allele effects on behavior and gene expression, differential expression between the tame and aggressive rat selection lines, and correlations between gene expression and tameness in F2 animals, we identify the genes Glfsr2, Lgi4, Zfp40, and Slc17a7 as candidate contributors to the strikingly different behavior of the tame and aggressive animals.

Behavioral differences among members of a species are in part due to genetic variation. The identification of the genes and variants that influence behavior remains challenging. In human genome-wide association studies of psychiatric and cognitive traits, the identified loci typically explain only a small fraction of the heritability, i.e., the additive genetic contribution to the trait (Watanabe et al. 2007; Hovatta and Barlow 2008; Deary et al. 2009; Otowa et al. 2009; Calboli et al. 2010; Terracciano et al. 2010; Flint and Munafo 2013; Rietveld et al. 2013; Sokolowska and Hovatta 2013). In experimental crosses in model species, especially between inbred lines, the identified loci (termed quantitative trait loci, QTL), often explain much more of the heritability (Lynch and Walsh 1998). However, in this design the spatial resolution is limited—the QTL are wide and contain many, sometimes hundreds of genes. With the exception of a handful of identified genes with quantitative effects on behavioral traits (Yalcin et al. 2004; Watanabe et al. 2007; McGrath et al. 2009; Bendesky et al. 2012; Goodson et al. 2012), gene identification is particularly difficult for behavioral QTL that often have modest effect sizes (Flint 2003; Willis-Owen and Flint 2006; Wright et al. 2006; Hovatta and Barlow 2008).

The causal variants within a QTL can alter the protein sequence encoded by a gene or affect gene expression. Such
regulatory variants can be identified as “expression QTL” (eQTL). An attractive approach for nominating candidate genes underlying QTL is therefore to identify genes within the QTL regions whose expression is influenced by an eQTL in a tissue of relevance to the phenotype (Hubner et al. 2005; Petretto et al. 2006b; Mackay et al. 2009). This approach has been successfully applied to quantitative traits in different species, e.g., yeast (Perlstein et al. 2007), rats (Hubner et al. 2005; Heinig et al. 2010), mice (Mehrabian et al. 2005; Schadt et al. 2005), and humans (Moffatt et al. 2007; Musunuru et al. 2010). A few eQTL studies have also recently been carried out to identify candidate genes for behavioral QTL (Hitzemann et al. 2004; De Jong et al. 2011; Saba et al. 2011; Kelly et al. 2012).

Here, we studied two lines of rats (Rattus norvegicus) that, starting from one wild population, have been selected for increased tameness and increased aggression toward humans, respectively. These experimental populations derive from the work of Dmitry K. Belyaev who, along with his famous related work in foxes (Belyaev 1979; Trut 1999), initiated the rat lines to study the evolution and underlying biological mechanisms of tameness as a crucial first step in animal domestication (Diamond 1999; Trut 1999; Wiener and Wilkinson 2011). For >64 generations, the tame rats were selected exclusively based on whether they tolerate or even seek out human contact, while the aggressive rats were selected to vigorously defend themselves from any attempted handling. This strong selection has resulted in two lines that respond extremely differently to attempts of handling. Whereas the aggressive rats attack and try to escape, the tame rats lack fear or aggression. They tolerate handling and sometimes actively explore the approaching hand. The strong behavioral selection has resulted in a number of differences in morphology, neurotransmitters, and hormone levels (Naumenko et al. 1989; Plyusnina and Oskina 1997; Albert et al. 2008).

Cross fostering experiments excluded postnatal maternal effects as a major influence on tameness and aggression in these animals, suggesting a substantial genetic contribution to the behavior (Albert et al. 2008; Plyusnina et al. 2009). To gain insight into the genetic basis of tameness and associated phenotypes, we earlier bred an F2 intercross between the tame and aggressive rat lines (Albert et al. 2009). Genetic mapping in 700 rats from this population revealed two genome-wide significant QTL for tameness. Three additional loci were found as part of an epistatic network influencing tameness (Albert et al. 2009). However, these QTL harbor hundreds of genes, and individual genes influencing the behavior remain unknown.

The tame and the aggressive selection lines originate from one wild population. Throughout selection, the populations were kept as outbred as possible by avoiding matings between close relatives. Together with the limited number of generations and the modest population sizes since initiation of the lines, these characteristics make it unlikely that tameness and aggression are mainly caused by new mutations arising after the animals were caught. Instead, most genetic variants that were selected are expected to be due to standing variation already present in the wild population. We earlier confirmed the existence of considerable DNA sequence variation both between and within each of the two lines (Albert et al. 2011). Therefore, it seems plausible that alleles influencing behavior segregate not only between but also within the lines. QTL analyses that assume fixation of causative alleles could miss important sources of genetic variation.

Here, we used mRNA sequencing to quantify gene expression in the brains of 150 rats from the F2 intercross population. These data were used to map eQTL influencing differences in expression levels, using a QTL mapping method (flexible intercross analysis, FIA) (Rönnégård et al. 2008) that can account for segregating variation within the founders of a cross between two outbred lines. Using FIA, we also found four times as many loci influencing tameness than previously seen (Albert et al. 2009). We identify multiple genes within the tameness QTL whose expression in the brain is influenced by the genetic variants from the tame- and aggressive lines. By combining correlations of allele effects on behavior and gene expression, differential brain gene expression analyses between the tame and aggressive rat lines and correlation with tameness in F2 animals, we prioritize genes whose altered expression may be influencing tameness.

Materials and Methods

Rat populations

The tame and aggressive rat populations are derived from a long-term selective breeding experiment that was initiated in 1972 in the Institute of Cytology and Genetics in Novosibirsk, Russia (Naumenko et al. 1989). Two rat (R. norvegicus) lines were selected from one wild-caught population for the single criterion of increased tameness and increased aggression when confronted with a human hand. The original population consisted of ~200 animals. At each generation, the 30% most tame and most aggressive individuals were selected for further breeding. Inbreeding was avoided in both strains by avoiding mating between close relatives. In 2005, subpopulations from both lines were transferred to Leipzig to study the genetic basis of the tameness (Albert et al. 2008, 2009, 2011, 2012). During all care-taking procedures and experiments, animals from different lines and generations were treated identically. The study was approved by the regional government of Saxony (TVV Nr. 29/95).

Selection of F2 animals based on extreme tameness/aggression

To perform genetic mapping of loci influencing tameness and aggression, we have earlier generated an F2 intercross population of ~700 rats from a cross between the tame and the aggressive rats (Albert et al. 2009). Briefly, in that study, F2 rats were assigned a “tameness score” based on a behavioral test (Albert et al. 2008) where rats were exposed to a standardized handling procedure, and their responses were videotaped and scored. To summarize a rat’s behavior in this test, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on a set of 11 individual
measures. The first principal component provides a quantitative measurement for tameness (Albert et al. 2009). For the present study, we used stored organs from the 75 tamest and the 75 most aggressive F₂ animals.

**Tissue collection**

Animals were earlier anesthetized by CO₂ and killed by cervical dislocation (Albert et al. 2009). Tissues were collected, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. Before freezing, each brain was dissected to separate the brainstem and cerebellum from the fore- and midbrain.

For the current study, brains were cut with a scalpel along the midsagittal plane in two hemispheres at −20°C. Before dissection, tissues were left to equilibrate to −20°C for at least half an hour to obtain the best consistency during cutting. To protect RNA quality, brains were never completely thawed during dissection. Complete right brain hemispheres comprising tel-, di- and mesencephalon were used. Frozen hemispheres were homogenized in 5 ml of TRIzol (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany) in glass tubes using a Schütt homogenizer with 3000 rpm for 13 rounds. A total of 2.5 ml of TRIzol was added afterward to obtain a final volume of 7.5 ml of TRIzol per brain hemisphere.

**cDNA library preparation**

RNA was extracted by chloroform extraction and purified with the Qiagen RNEasy MinElute Clean-up kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All RNA samples were of high quality as judged by Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Böblingen, Germany). RNA integrity numbers (RINs) (Schoeder et al. 2006) for all samples ranged from 8.5 to 10, where 10 corresponds to maximum RNA quality (Supporting Information, Table S1). RNA-seq libraries were generated according to in-house protocols; for details see Kuhlwilm et al. (2013). mRNA was extracted from 10 μg of total RNA by capture on poly-T-covered magnetic beads, chemically fragmented, and used as template for cDNA synthesis using random hexamer primers. Double-stranded cDNA was blunt ended and Illumina sequencing adapters were ligated to the cDNA. To minimize potential batch effects, balanced numbers from the tame and the aggressive groups of F₂ animals were processed together throughout RNA extraction and library generation and distributed evenly across sequencing runs.

**Sequencing**

Libraries were adjusted to a concentration of 10 nM and individuals distributed into three different pools, each consisting of equal numbers of tame and aggressive individuals. They were then sequenced to a median coverage of 10.8 million reads per animal [standard deviation (SD) ± 0.8 million reads per animal] on Illumina Genome Analyzer 2 machines (Illumina, San Diego) with 76-bp single end reads. We called bases using Ibis (Kircher et al. 2009). Adapter sequences were trimmed, adapter dimers excluded, and the reads filtered for quality. Raw sequencing reads are available in the ArrayExpress archive (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/express/) as accession no. ERP006055.

**Read mapping and gene expression quantification**

Reads were mapped to the rat genome (assembly and gene annotation RGSC 3.4) with the program TopHat, version 1.4.1 (Trapnell et al. 2009). We ran TopHat with the transcriptome index option and provided the program with a transcriptome sequence file obtained from Ensembl release 69. With this option, TopHat first aligns reads to the virtual transcriptome before mapping unmapped reads to the whole genome.

Gene expression was then quantified using the program Cufflinks (Trapnell et al. 2010) version 1.3.0, with the following parameters: We employed the fragment bias correction algorithm, which aims to account for sequence-specific biases introduced by the use of random hexamer primers (Roberts et al. 2011). We further provided Cufflinks with the median read length for each library as measured with the Agilent BioAnalyzer before sequencing. Further, we supplied Cufflinks with a reference annotation file to quantify whole gene and different isoform expression, using the Ensembl release 69 gene annotation. Any alignments not compatible with any reference transcripts were ignored. We thus did not search for novel genes and did not attempt to alter the gene models represented in the Ensembl annotation. Unless otherwise noted, we used fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) expression values (Trapnell et al. 2010) for further analyses. In FPKM, the RNA-seq data are normalized to the length of each gene and the total number of aligned reads in the library (Trapnell et al. 2010). FPKM values were transformed using log2 (FPKM + 1). Expression data are available in the ArrayExpress archive (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/express/) as accession no. ERP006055. All further analyses were done in the R environment (R Development Core Team 2012).

**Gene expression preprocessing**

We retained for analyses all genes for which Cufflinks reported expression levels, resulting in quantifications for 24,139 genes. To avoid confounding by potential batch effects (Leek et al. 2010), we corrected the expression data for batches defined by groups of samples processed on the same date (Table S1). This was done by fitting a linear model with gene expression as the response variable and batch as the predictor variable. We used the residuals of the model as batch-corrected gene expression traits. To additionally account for unknown unwanted sources of variation, we computed surrogate variables of the gene expression matrix by using the R package Surrogate Variable Analysis (SVA) (Leek and Storey 2007; Leek et al. 2012). We used the two surrogate variables reported by the software as covariates for expression QTL mapping. We used the phenotypes tameness and adrenal gland weight (Albert et al. 2009) as covariates when calculating the surrogate variables. To rule out the possibility that we might accidentally correct for true genetic regulators that might influence many gene expression traits, we also performed QTL mapping for the values of the two surrogate variables. The two SVA did not significantly map to any region of the genome. SVA-corrected expression data yielded more eQTL than either a correction
for sex or a correction for sex and RNA library processing batch (Table S2). We also used the animals’ sex as a covariate during eQTL mapping.

**Genetic map construction**

Genotypes at 152 microsatellite and 49 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers were used to build a 1728-cM genetic map as in Albert et al. (2009). Genotypes, genetic markers, marker positions, and pedigree data were the same as for the QTL mapping of tameness described previously in Albert et al. (2009). The probability of each F2 allele to originate from either the tame or the aggressive line were recomputed using an updated algorithm where haplotypes and missing marker information were inferred iteratively to reconstruct any missing genotypes. First, haplotypes were inferred from genotype and pedigree data with a custom implementation of the method described in Besnier and Carlborg (2009). In our implementation, haplotype reconstruction steps were alternating with missing genotype inference steps. The two steps were run iteratively for each pedigree generation, in turn, until no new haplotype or missing genotype could be inferred. The probability of each F2 allele to originate from either the tame or the aggressive line were then reinferrered from phased genotype and pedigree, using the identity by descent (IBD) matrix calculated as in Pong-Wong et al. (2001) and implemented in Besnier and Carlborg (2007). We will provide IBD matrices upon request.

**eQTL mapping using Haley Knott regression**

We searched for eQTL on autosomes in steps of 1 cM using Haley Knott regression (HKR) (Haley and Knott 1992) as implemented in the R package R/qtl (Broman et al. 2003). The outbred cross dataset was prepared for use in R/qtl using the R package R/qtlOutbred (Nelson et al. 2011). Inferred genotypes and genotype probabilities are available in the ArrayExpress archive (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/) as accession no. ERP006055. We used logarithm of odds (LOD) scores to quantify how strongly genetic variation across the genome influences the expression of a gene. To determine the significance threshold for QTL, we performed 1000 permutations across the 5000 genes and determined the 99.9% quantile of the resulting distribution of LOD scores. This LOD score was used as the significance threshold for eQTL detection at the given depth. We calculated false discovery rates and recorded the number of eQTL that would have been found had we sequenced to this depth of coverage using the same procedure as described above (Figure S1).

**False discovery rates as a function of gene expression level**

To assess at which expression level genes can be measured accurately enough to give robust eQTL mapping results we calculated false discovery rates in bins of increasing gene expression levels (Figure S2). Genes were grouped according to their expression level into 24 bins, each containing 1000 genes, except for the bin with the lowest expression, which contained 1139 genes. We performed 10 permutations within each of the 24 bins, determined the 99.9% quantile of permuted LOD scores, and used this LOD score as the eQTL detection threshold for the given bin. We found that the FDR stayed consistently <5% among the 14,000 most highly expressed genes (Figure S2). At a 5% FDR, we identified 3.2 eQTL per 100 genes when considering the 14,000 highest expressed genes as opposed to 1.7 eQTL per 100 genes when considering all genes. For all later analyses, we only used the 14,000 most highly expressed genes.

**Flexible intercross analysis**

Flexible intercross analysis (FIA) (Rönnegård et al. 2008) is a QTL analysis method for analyses of data from intercross populations that does not assume that the founder lines are fixed for alternative QTL alleles. Here, we used FIA, as implemented in R scripts kindly provided by L. Rönnegård, to perform genome scans for tameness and gene expression. For tameness, significance thresholds were determined by 1000 random permutations. For expression data, hundreds of permutations for all genes were not computationally feasible even on a computer with hundreds of nodes. Instead, we approximated the score distribution for QTL under the null hypothesis using information from 30 permutations for each of 2000 randomly sampled genes. False discovery rates were estimated as described above for HKR. We also conducted a targeted search for local eQTL by considering only the marker located closest to a given gene. This procedure increases statistical power by reducing the multiple testing burden. False discovery rates were estimated as described above, but using only permutations at the local markers.

**FIA QTL confidence intervals**

We defined FIA eQTL confidence intervals by using information from eQTL that were detected by both FIA and HKR. We used the “approx.fun” function in R to fit a function relating
the FIA mapping score to the width of the HKR confidence interval. Using this function, we interpolated confidence intervals for all FIA QTL. To avoid unrealistically small confidence intervals for the most highly significant eQTL, we set the minimum size of the confidence intervals to 10 cM (Figure S3, A–C).

We compared our FIA eQTL confidence intervals to those obtained by bootstrapping (Manichaikul et al. 2006). For each of 29 randomly selected eQTL, we computed 200 bootstraps by mapping in a dataset constructed by sampling with replacement from the 150 rats. In about half the cases, the bootstrap 95% confidence intervals delineate a narrow peak around the eQTL peak. Most of these bootstrap confidence intervals are completely contained in the respective confidence intervals derived as described above (Figure S4). About a third of the bootstrap confidence intervals were very large, in some cases extending across the whole chromosome (Figure S4). It is known that large confidence intervals can occur when QTL confidence intervals are estimated by bootstraps, especially when genetic markers are sparse, prompting recommendations not to use bootstrapping to estimate QTL confidence intervals (Manichaikul et al. 2006). Combined with the fact that the narrow bootstrap confidence intervals agree well with our HKR-estimated CIs, this suggests that our approach described above provides a reasonable approximation for FIA eQTL confidence intervals.

eQTL were treated as overlapping when their confidence intervals overlapped by at least 1 cM. In the test of whether windows within tameness QTL had more eQTL than the rest of the genome, we used nonoverlapping sliding windows with a width of 18 cM, which is the median confidence interval size of HKR eQTL.

**Regional clustering of eQTL**

We counted the number of genes with local eQTL in a sliding window analysis. Windows were advanced in 2-cM steps and had a width of 18 cM, which was the median confidence interval for eQTL in the HKR analysis. When calculating...
correlations between the numbers of genes located in an interval with the number of local eQTL, we used non-overlapping sliding windows of 18 cM to minimize dependencies between adjacent data points.

To test whether there are positions with more distant eQTL than expected, we determined the number of distant eQTL that would be expected to map to a given position in the genome if eQTL were positioned at random. We generated 1000 sets of random genomic positions for the distant eQTL, while keeping the number of eQTL per chromosome unchanged (Figure S5). We used the 99% quantile of the resulting distribution as the threshold to call significant eQTL clusters.

### Coexpression networks

Weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA) (Langfelder and Horvath 2008) was used on the set of 14,000 expressed genes to detect clusters. Biweight correlation was used to identify clusters robust to outliers and all other parameters were left to default. GO enrichment was performed using DAVID (Huang et al. 2008). Transcription factor binding site enrichment was performed using PASTAA (Roider et al. 2008). Transcription factor binding site enrichment was performed using PASTAA (Roider et al. 2008). Transcription factor binding site enrichment was performed using PASTAA (Roider et al. 2008). Transcription factor binding site enrichment was performed using PASTAA (Roider et al. 2008). Transcription factor binding site enrichment was performed using PASTAA (Roider et al. 2008).

### Correlating allele effects of tameness QTL and expression QTL

If QTL for two different traits that are located at the same genomic position are caused by the same underlying variant, the effects of their alleles on the two traits should be correlated. To test this, we estimated the effect of each allele on a given trait as the mean phenotype of those F2 animals that have inherited the given allele. The precision of this allele effect estimate is bounded by the structure of our experimental pedigree. Our F2 animals derive from four animals from the tame and the aggressive selection line, respectively. Our F2 animals derive from four animals from the tame and the aggressive selection line, respectively. Our F2 animals derive from four animals from the tame and the aggressive selection line, respectively. Our F2 animals derive from four animals from the tame and the aggressive selection line, respectively.

Further, some of the 16 founder alleles might be identical, so that the effective number of alleles will usually be lower than 16. We did not attempt to correct for differences in precision and did not attempt to formally group the founder alleles into functionally equivalent classes.

We performed two scans for allele effect correlations. In both scans, both local and distant eQTL were used. In the first scan, we tested for correlation between those eQTL that overlap one of the eight FIA tameness QTL. The correlations were determined at the peak positions of the tameness QTL, irrespective of the location of the respective eQTL peaks. In the second scan, we tested all eQTL, irrespective of whether they overlapped a tameness QTL. These correlations were determined at the peaks positions of the eQTL. The two scans thus differ in several respects: the set of eQTL in the analyses, the precise positions tested (these can differ between the two scans even for pairs of tameness and eQTL represented in both scans) and the corrected significance threshold (due to different numbers of tests).

### Differential gene expression in brains of animals from the tame and aggressive founder lines

Right brain hemispheres were harvested from five adult male rats from the tame and from the aggressive selection lines, respectively. mRNA was extracted as described above and fluorescently labeled and hybridized to Affymetrix microarrays (GeneChip Rat Genome 230 2.0) following the manufacturer’s instructions. We mapped array probes to genes using reannotated chip definition files (Dai et al. 2005). Array normalization was performed using robust multiarray average (RMA) (Irizarry et al. 2003) and genes called as expressed using the Affymetrix MAS5 algorithm. Both RMA and MAS5 were used as implemented in the R bioconductor package (Bolstad et al. 2003). Only genes where at least four of the five animals in a group showed expression according to MAS5 were analyzed. We tested for differential expression between the tame and aggressive animals using t-tests. The FDR was determined by calculating all permutations that are possible in two groups of five samples. For a range of P-value cutoffs, we calculated the expected number of false positive tests as the median number of tests exceeding a given cutoff across permutations. The FDR was calculated as this expected number of false positive tests divided by the number of positive tests observed in the real data.
Fixed genetic differences between the tame and aggressive rat populations influence brain gene expression levels

We began our search for eQTL using a method that assumes that the two founder populations are fixed for QTL alleles with different effects on expression (HKR) (Haley and Knott 1992); this method was also used in our earlier mapping study (Albert et al. 2009). Because the accuracy of RNA-seq increases with increasing gene expression abundance, we limited our search to genes where eQTL can be detected with high statistical power (see Materials and Methods). In total, 443 eQTL were detected at a FDR of 5% using HKR (Table S3). Thus, genetic differences between the tame and the aggressive rats result in mRNA expression differences at hundreds of genes.

Genetic variation within the selection lines influences tameness and brain gene expression levels

To explore the contribution of within-line genetic variation on behavior and gene expression, we used FIA (Rönnegård et al. 2008), a QTL mapping method that is able to detect loci that are not fixed between the tame and aggressive founders.

We first applied FIA to tameness and aggression in all 700 F2 animals and found eight QTL affecting tameness and aggression at a 2% permutation-based FDR (Figure 1, Table 1). These QTL include the two previously identified QTL (Albert et al. 2009). At the most significant FIA tameness QTL on chromosome 1 (corresponding to the “Tame-1” locus identified in Albert et al. (2009), the alleles carried by the tame and the aggressive individuals used to found the F2 pedigree have clearly different effects on tameness (Figure 1). However, more than one “aggressive” allele appears to exist among the four aggressive founder animals (Albert et al. 2011), and one of the four tame founders appears to be heterozygous for one “tame” and one aggressive allele. Nevertheless, the overall allelic differentiation between the tame and the aggressive founder individuals explains why this locus was also the strongest QTL identified by HKR. By contrast, the FIA QTL at the end of chromosome 10 that had the third highest significance did not correspond to a HKR QTL (Figure 1). The allelic effects at this locus are highly heterogeneous, with at least one tame and one aggressive allele segregating in both the tame and the aggressive founder animals. Thus, allowing causative alleles to segregate within the lines quadruples the number of detected tameness QTL, suggesting that the genetic basis of this behavior is considerably more complex than previously appreciated.

Next, we asked how segregating allelic variation affects variation in brain gene expression levels. When using FIA for eQTL mapping, we detected 689 FIA eQTL influencing the expression of 670 genes at an FDR of 5% (Figure 2, Figure S7, Table S4). Of these, 411 were also detected by HKR. Conversely, of the 443 HKR eQTL, all but 32 were detected by FIA. These 32 eQTL had low LOD scores close to the genome-wide significance threshold, lower than the HKR eQTL identified with both HKR and FIA (Figure S8A, Wilcoxon rank
test: $P = 6.9 \times 10^{-14}$). To be conservative, we excluded the 32 eQTL identified only by HKR from further analyses. While eQTL that were found only by FIA had, on average, lower scores than eQTL found with both HKR and FIA (Wilcoxon rank test $P = 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), many of them had FIA mapping scores that were highly significant (Figure S8B). Thus, FIA increased the number of detected eQTL by 68%, including some loci with strong effects on gene expression that were missed by HKR because their alleles segregate within the selection lines.

**Genomic architecture of mRNA expression**

Of the 689 FIA eQTL (Table S4), 561 were local eQTL close to the genes they influenced and 128 were distant eQTL that did not overlap the position of the affected gene. Local eQTL had higher mapping scores than distant loci (Wilcoxon rank test, $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$). This is consistent with previous work showing that while both local and distant eQTL exist, local eQTL typically have stronger effects (Petretto et al. 2006a; Mackay et al. 2009; Van Nas et al. 2010). A targeted search for local eQTL where we tested only the marker situated closest to a given gene revealed local eQTL at 12% of the genes tested, for a total of 1161 local eQTL (Table S5).

Clusters of trans-eQTL can be indicative of networks of coregulated genes under common genetic control by a single locus (Hubner et al. 2005; Heinig et al. 2010; Langley et al. 2013). We therefore explored whether the distant eQTL are enriched in certain regions of the genome. One region contained more distant eQTL than expected if the eQTL were distributed randomly throughout the genome. This excess was, however, small (seven eQTL per window, six or fewer expected by chance, Figure S4A). Further, the region did not overlap with any tameness QTL (Figure S4B). To directly investigate coexpression networks and their genetic regulation, we applied WGCNA (Langfelder and Horvath 2008). The method grouped 12,616 of the 14,000 genes in the analyses into 54 coexpression clusters (Table S6; Supplementary Note). For each cluster, we computed the first principal components of the expression levels of the genes in the cluster and asked how they relate to tameness. The expression of five clusters was correlated with tameness across the 150 $F_2$ animals (Table S6). Further, mapping the expression of the 54 clusters using FIA revealed QTL for several of the clusters, but none of these QTL overlapped with a tameness QTL.

**Overlap of QTL affecting tameness and gene expression in the brain**

Genes whose expression levels in the brain are influenced by local eQTL that overlap tameness QTL are candidates for contributing to the differences in behavior. Of the 689 FIA eQTL, 212 (influencing the expression of 207 genes) overlapped with a tameness QTL (Figure 3). The genomic region spanned by *Tame-1* (the most significant tameness QTL) contained more eQTL than other regions of similar size in the rest of the genome (Wilcoxon rank test, $P = 0.007$). This observation is a reflection of the fact that *Tame-1* is located in the most gene-dense region of the linkage map (Figure S9).

Overall, the scores of those eQTL that overlapped a tameness QTL were not different from those that did not (Wilcoxon rank test, $P$-value $= 0.4$; Figure 3). However, the *Tame-1* region was enriched for highly significant eQTL (Figure 3 and Figure 4). For example, 11 of the 79 eQTL (14%) with a score of at least 1000 were located in *Tame-1*, although this locus contains only 3% of the 14,000 genes in the analyses. Thus, while many eQTL overlapped a tameness QTL, the strongest tameness QTL *Tame-1* stands out in that it spans the region with the highest gene density in the genome (Figure S9) and also contains many strong local eQTL.

**Allele effect correlations between tameness QTL and eQTL**

An overlap of QTL for tameness and gene expression does not imply that the two QTL are necessarily caused by the same sequence variants. However, where there are multiple alleles at FIA QTL, a correlation between the allele effects of overlapping tameness QTL and eQTL is expected if they are driven by the same genetic variants. If the overlap is with a local eQTL, causative variation at the gene itself may underlie the tameness QTL. If the overlap is with a distant eQTL, the gene regulated in *trans* need not itself contain sequence variants that influence tameness, but its expression may be part of the biological pathways that shape this behavior.

We performed two scans for allele effect correlations. First, we conducted a targeted analysis of the FIA tameness QTL and the 212 FIA eQTL that overlap them. In this scan, the allele effects of 29 genes correlated significantly with the tameness allele effects [Benjamini Hochberg (BH) adjusted $P < 0.05$, Figure 5A]. Of these 29 correlations, 25 involved *Tame-1*, and 3 involved distant eQTL (Table S4).
To explore if these allele effect correlations were specific to the significant tameness QTL, we conducted a second scan in which we tested all local eQTL for allele effect correlations with tameness, irrespective of the evidence for a tameness QTL at the respective position. Of 23 significant correlations, 18 (78%) occurred at tameness QTL. Thirteen of these involved eQTL that overlapped Tame-1, and 2 additional correlations involved eQTL for genes situated very close to Tame-1 (Figure 5B). Thus, correlations between allele effects on tameness and on gene expression mostly occur at loci with significant effects on the behavior.

**Correlations between gene expression levels and tameness**

Across the 150 F2 animals, we found four genes whose expression levels correlated significantly with tameness (correlation strength exceeds that seen in any of 100 permutations, Figure S6, Table S7). Of these, the expression of Gltscr2 and Zfp40 correlated positively with tameness. Both genes had eQTL at the Tame-1 peak and showed allele effect correlations with Tame-1 (Figure 6). The other two genes did not have a significant eQTL.

**Differential expression between the tame and aggressive selection lines**

We searched for differentially expressed genes between the tame and aggressive rat lines using two datasets: a published RNA-seq dataset of frontal cortex tissue (Albert et al. 2012) and a microarray-based comparison of cerebral hemispheres first reported here. We found 11 genes that were differentially expressed between the tame and aggressive founder animals and also had eQTL overlapping with tameness QTL (Table S4). Five of these genes (Dhdh, Slc17a7, Lgi4, Gltscr2, and Dll3) also showed significant allele effect correlations with a tameness QTL (Figure 6, Table S8).

There was one gene (Gltscr2) that satisfied all the criteria we considered for nominating candidate genes for influencing tameness. In addition, several genes satisfied two or more criteria (Figure 6, Table S8).

**Discussion**

We have explored the influence of genetic variation on brain gene expression levels in a cross between two outbred lines of rats that had been selected from a common base population for strong tame and aggressive behavior toward humans. We further examined how this regulatory gene-expression variation relates to genetic influences on tameness and aggression and used the expression data to prioritize genes that may play a causal role in these behaviors.

We used two QTL mapping approaches that differ in their assumptions about the genetic homogeneity of the founder lines. The HKR assumes fixation for alternative causal alleles in the divergent founders. Using this method, we identified two tameness QTL (Albert et al. 2009) and hundreds of eQTL. Because the two selection lines are outbred and display considerable within-line genetic variation (Albert et al. 2011), we also used a QTL mapping method that does not require causative alleles to be fixed between the tame and aggressive founders (Rönnegård et al. 2008). Using this method, many additional tameness QTL and eQTL were detected. These results indicate that the genetic architecture of behavior in this cross is complex and influenced by multiple QTL, a majority of which have alleles that still are segregating in the founders. Further, there are profound differences in the brain transcriptome that are under genetic control.

Rodent behavioral QTL studies typically identify multiple QTL with small effect sizes of <10% of the phenotypic variance of the trait (Flint 2003; Willis-Owen and Flint 2006). For example, a QTL study in heterogenous stock mice derived from eight progenitor strains identified 205 loci contributing to anxiety (Goodson et al. 2012). As a QTL needs to exceed a certain effect size to be detectable, the number of tameness QTL we have identified is an underestimate of the number of loci contributing to the phenotypic differences between the lines. Based on work on complex traits in other species, we might expect many more loci with effects too small to be detected in our current sample to influence a complex behavioral trait like tameness (e.g., Yang et al. 2010; Hunt et al. 2013). Further, selection experiments in multiple species (Drosophila (Burke et al. 2010), maize (Laurie et al. 2004), and chickens (Johansson et al. 2010; Pettersson et al. 2013) demonstrated that many loci typically respond to strong selection, as expected when trait variation is due to variants at many genes. It thus seems plausible that many genetic variants with small effects contribute to differences in several biological pathways that together shape complex behavioral traits such as tameness and defensive aggression. Epistatic
interactions, where the effect of one locus depends on the
genotype of another locus (Phillips 2008) may also play a role,
especially given that we have earlier detected epistatic inter-
actions between tameness QTL (Albert et al. 2009). The large
number of genes and pathways described in the literature
influencing behavioral traits related to tameness such as
anxiety and aggression makes it plausible that genes in multiple
biological pathways could have reacted to the strong selection
in these rats (Hovatta and Barlow 2008; Le-Niculescu et al.
2011).

A major goal of our analyses was to compare genetic in-
fluences on brain gene expression to those on tameness and
aggression. Genetic influences on brain gene expression are
plausible contributors to behavioral phenotypes. We found
numerous eQTL that overlapped the eight tameness QTL
(Table S4). In particular, the strongest tameness QTL (Tame-1)
contained multiple highly significant eQTL with allele effects
that were correlated with those on tameness. This result in-
dicates that Tame-1 might harbor several genes influencing
behavior through altered gene expression in the brain. QTL
identified in F2 crosses frequently fractionate into loci of
more minor effects during fine mapping (Demarest et al.
2001; Mozhui et al. 2008) and contain multiple causative
variants (Baud et al. 2013; Flister et al. 2013). An advanced
intercross using large numbers of rats to generate more re-
combination events will be necessary to investigate whether
variation at more than one gene underlies Tame-1 (Darvasi
and Soller 1995).

We utilized the ability of FIA to infer the effects of multiple
alleles at both tameness QTL and eQTL to prioritize poten-
tially causative genes among genes with eQTL that overlap
a tameness locus. The rationale is that if multiple haplotypes
of a gene at an overlapping QTL/eQTL locus influence both
tameness and the expression of a gene, the relative strengths
of their allele effects on tameness and expression should be
correlated. In contrast, if the QTL/eQTL locus is due to dif-
ferent variants affecting tameness and gene expression in the
brain, it is less likely that their effects are correlated. Thus,
identification of pairs of eQTL and tameness QTL with segre-
gating alleles and correlated genetic effects allows us to select
more likely causal genes (Nica et al. 2010). For example, of
43 eQTL that overlap Tame-1, only 10 had significant allele
effect correlations in both our scans, implying these 23% of
the genes as more likely to be causal. This type of prioritiza-
tion would not have been possible in a cross between two
inbred lines.

In addition to correlation of allele effects on behavior and
gene expression, we considered differential brain gene ex-
pression between the tame and aggressive rat lines and cor-
relation of gene expression traits with tameness across F2
animals to identify the most plausible candidate causal genes.
The genes highlighted by these analyses (Figure 6) include
Lgi4, Gltscr2, Zfp40, and Slc17a7, all of which are located in
Tame-1 and had significant eQTL allele effect correlations
with this tameness QTL. With the exception of Slc17a7, none
of these genes have to date been implicated in the regulation
of a behavioral trait.

Lgi4 is involved in glial cell proliferation and axon myelina-
tion in the peripheral nervous system (Kegel et al. 2013). There is
also evidence for a biological role in the central nervous system:
polymorphisms in Lgi4 have been associated with central epi-
lepsy conditions (Gu et al. 2004; Ishii et al. 2010). We found
Lgi4 to be differentially expressed between the tame and aggres-
sive selection lines. Alleles from the tame line decreased
expression both in the contrast between the selected lines and in
the F2 population.

Gltscr2 encodes a regulator of the tumor suppressor genes
p53 (Golomb and Oren 2011) and PTEN (Yim et al. 2007)
that shows lower expression in several tumors including gliomas (Kim et al. 2008). It was also described to have functions in embryogenesis and embryonic stem cell survival (Sasaki et al. 2011). Alleles from the tame line increased Gltsc2 expression both when comparing the two selection lines and in the F2 population. In addition, Gltsc2 expression was positively correlated with tameness across the F2 animals.

Zfp40 (Zinc finger protein 40) is a little-studied gene that is expressed in murine embryonic nervous system development (Noce et al. 1993). In the F2 animals, alleles from the tame line increased Zfp40 expression and the expression of Zfp40 was significantly and positively correlated with tameness.

Slc17a7 belongs to a family of vesicular glutamate transporter genes and has been previously implicated in the regulation of behavior. Mice that carry a hemizygous knockout such that they express only half the amount of Slc17a7 compared to wild-type mice, are more anxious (Tordera et al. 2009). Its expression is up-regulated in brain hemispheres in rats from the tame selection line was twice that in the aggressive rats. However, the expression of Slc17a7 in brain hemispheres in rats from the tame selection line was twice that in the aggressive rats. In addition, Slc17a7 expression was positively correlated with tameness across the F2 animals. Alleles from the tame line increased Slc17a7 expression when comparing the two selection lines and in the F2 population. In addition, Slc17a7 expression was positively correlated with tameness across the F2 animals.

Slc17a7 belongs to a family of vesicular glutamate transporter genes and has been previously implicated in the regulation of behavior. Mice that carry a hemizygous knockout such that they express only half the amount of Slc17a7 compared to wild-type mice, are more anxious (Tordera et al. 2009). Its expression is up-regulated in brain hemispheres in rats from the tame selection line was twice that in the aggressive rats. However, the expression of Slc17a7 in brain hemispheres in rats from the tame selection line was twice that in the aggressive rats. In addition, Slc17a7 expression was positively correlated with tameness across the F2 animals. Alleles from the tame line increased Slc17a7 expression when comparing the two selection lines and in the F2 population. In addition, Slc17a7 expression was positively correlated with tameness across the F2 animals.

An example of such a gene is Cyp7b1, whose expression is influenced by an eQTL that overlaps a tameness QTL on chromosome six. Cyp7b1 encodes a 25-hydroxycholesterol 7α-hydroxylase that is involved in DHEA metabolism (Yau et al. 2003). Interestingly, a key enzyme involved in the metabolism of this neurosteroid is located in a fixed duplication in 20 tame founder rats in Tame-1 (A. Cagan, personal communication). It is interesting that genetic variation in two independent tameness QTL is connected to the DHEA system, making this system an interesting candidate for further studies into the regulation of tameness and aggression.

The gene Htr3a encodes a subunit of the ionotropic serotonin receptor type 3 (5-HT3). It has earlier been shown that there are differences in serotonin level between the tame and aggressive founder lines in different brain regions (Naumenko et al. 1989; Albert et al. 2008). Further, serotonin and its metabolite were higher in several brain regions in a line of foxes selected for tameness than in foxes not selected for behavior (Popova et al. 1976, 1991). The 5-HT3 receptor density is also greater in hamsters showing impulsive aggression (Cervantes and Delville 2009) and Htr3a knockout mice exhibit changes in anxiety-like behavior and hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis response to stress (Bhatnagar et al. 2004). In this study, Htr3a is differentially expressed between the tame and aggressive founders at a 10% FDR and weakly correlated (but not robust to multiple testing) with tameness in the F2. Also, the expression of the gene Htr3a is influenced by two distant eQTL, one of which overlaps with the second strongest FIA tameness QTL on chromosome 18. The allele effect correlations at this tameness QTL were nominally significant (but not robust to multiple testing). Although the evidence for Htr3a is marginal in each independent analysis, the coherency in the results indicates that it is a useful gene for further studies into the basis of tameness in this population.
In summary, we identified hundreds of genomic loci that influence gene expression levels in the brains of rats that had been bred for tame and aggressive behavior toward humans. Differences in brain gene expression are an attractive avenue by which genetic differences between and within these two rat lines may contribute to the strong differences in behavior. We also found six loci with effects on tameness that had been missed by earlier work, illustrating that the genetic architecture of behavior is complex in this artificially selected population. The expression of several genes inside the strongest tameness QTL was influenced by local eQTL with very strong effects. These genes are promising candidates for functional follow-up work to study if and how they contribute to tameness and aggression.
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Figure S1. Expected and observed eQTL as a function of sequencing depth. These down-sampling analyses were used to evaluate the power of the study. As a proxy of sequencing depth we used the number of Illumina sequencing runs. Each Illumina sequencing run corresponded to approximately two million additional reads per animal.
Figure S2. Power to detect eQTL as a function of gene expression level. Genes were grouped into 24 bins of 1,000 genes sorted by expression level. We performed eQTL mapping and permutations within each abundance bin, and plotted the resulting FDR as a function of the mean expression level within the given bin. For clarity, the four bins with the lowest abundance are not shown. The dashed horizontal line indicates the threshold of an FDR of 5%. We used the result shown in this figure to limit eQTL mapping to genes whose expression level was high enough so that eQTL can be reliably detected.
Figure S3. Defining FIA eQTL confidence intervals based on eQTL also detected by HKR. A, LOD scores of HKR eQTL and their 1.8 LOD drop confidence intervals. B, For the same set of eQTL as in A, shown are the FIA scores vs. the HKR 1.8 LOD drop confidence interval. The fitted function is shown as a red line. C, Interpolated confidence intervals for all FIA eQTL versus FIA scores. The confidence interval cut-off at 10 cM is shown as the dashed horizontal line.
Figure S4 Comparing inferred FIA eQTL confidence intervals to bootstrap results. Shown are the 95% respective confidence intervals for 29 randomly chosen FIA eQTL. The FIA score profiles are shown as grey lines. X-axes show genomic locations in cM. Y-axes show FIA significance scores. The peak location of each of 200 bootstraps are shown as transparent green dots. Bootstrap derived 95% confidence intervals are shown as blue vertical lines and confidence intervals derived via HKR are shown as red dashed vertical lines.
Figure S5. The number of distant eQTL along the genome. The grey bars are identical in A and B and indicate the average number of distant eQTL per 2cM window based on a sliding window analysis. The width of the window was 18 cM, corresponding to the median size of the eQTL confidence intervals. A, The 99th quantile of the expected eQTL density from 1,000 randomizations of eQTL positions per chromosome is shown as a blue line. B, Light red rectangles: Confidence intervals for tameness QTL locations.
Figure S6. Tameness and gene expression values for the 25 genes with the highest correlations between these two traits. The bimodality in the tameness score is a result of the selection of the 150 most extreme tame and aggressive individuals of the F2 intercross for inclusion in this study.
Figure S7. Q-Q plot of expected vs. real FIA eQTL mapping scores.
Figure S8. Statistical support for eQTL only found by Haley-Knott Regression (HKR) or Flexible Intercross Analysis (FIA).  

A. Boxplot of the LOD scores for all (n=443) eQTL identified by HKR. The LOD scores for eQTL (n=32) only detected by HKR (and not by FIA) are shown as red dots. B. Boxplot of the significance scores of all (n=689) eQTL detected by FIA. The scores for eQTL only detected by FIA (n=278) (and not by HKR) are shown as red dots.
Figure S9. Gene density and statistical support for linkage to tameness along the genome. Light red bars: number of genes. Grey bars: number of local FIA eQTL. Red curve: FIA scores of tameness QTL mapping.
We applied Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA) to investigate whether gene expression traits are clustered in expression networks. We also mapped the “Eigengene” for each cluster (the first principal component of expression level of all genes in a given cluster) to the genome using FIA. Detailed results from the cluster analyses are available in Supplementary Tables S3. The analysis grouped genes into 54 co-expression cluster. Whereas the “Eigengenes” in five of the clusters were correlated with tameness, none of the QTL influencing these clusters overlapped with tameness QTL. There is therefore currently no evidence that these clusters and tameness are influenced by shared genetic loci. Gene Ontology and transcription factor binding site enrichment analysis of the clusters that correlated with tameness showed enrichment for a large variety of biological processes. For example, the “grey60” cluster was enriched for retrotransposable elements (L1 transposase, FDR < 0.002%). Modulation of the transcription of retrotransposable elements occurs in the brain during exposure to stressful environmental conditions, possibly in response to glucocorticoid receptor activation [1]. The blue cluster was enriched in proteasomal ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic processes (FDR < 2%) and enriched for binding sites of transcription factor Elk-1 (FDR < 10e-8). Elk-1 has been shown to be activated subsequently to glucocorticoid receptor activation [2] comforting a possible role of glucorticoids receptors in the formation of the tame-aggressive phenotype.
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Tables S1-S8 are available for download as Excel sheets at