SSLT Leipzig 2010 Features and typology Lecture plan and readings (version of 6 July 2010) Greville G. Corbett Surrey Morphology Group, University of Surrey, g.corbett@surrey.ac.uk

time	theme	main topics	purpose	optional reading (before or after the class). I have included items which have plenty of references for further reading.
Monday August 16 th 14.00	Why linguists use	1A. Why features?	To show why features have such an important place in linguistics.	GGC. Forthcoming. Features: essential notions. To appear in: Anna Kibort & Greville G. Corbett (eds) <i>Features: perspectives on</i> <i>a key notion in linguistics</i> . Oxford: Oxford University Press. [Preprint available on my webpage: select 'for a full list of publications see here'.]
	features	1B. Formal perspectives (the logic of features)	Introduction to some key contributions of formal and computational work.	Sag, Ivan A., Thomas Wasow & Emily M. Bender. 2003. <i>Syntactic Theory: A formal introduction</i> . Stanford: CSLI. [chapter 3 – but ideally read chapters 1-2 first]
Tuesday August 17 th 14.00	Features and components: types of	2A. Features and components	Distinguishing the different types of features, and then concentrating on morphosyntactic features.	As for 1A. [Preprint available on my webpage.]
	feature and why we should distinguish them	2B. Morphological features	Distinguishing morphological features and looking at their types	 GGC & Matthew Baerman. 2006. Prolegomena to a typology of morphological features. <i>Morphology</i> 16.231-246. [Preprint available on my webpage: select 'for a full list of publications see here'.] GGC. 2009. Canonical inflectional classes. In: Fabio Montermini, Gilles Boyé and Jesse Tseng (eds) <i>Selected Proceedings of the 6th Décembrettes: Morphology in Bordeaux</i>, 1-11. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Proceedings Project. Available at: http://www.lingref.com/cpp/decemb/6/abstract2231.html

Thursday		3A. Justifying	The basic question of	GGC. 2008. Determining morphosyntactic feature values: the case
August 19 th	th Justifying particular features and their values	morphosyntac	how we establish the	of case. In: Greville G. Corbett and Michael Noonan (eds) Case
14.00		tic features	features and values of a	and grammatical relations: papers in honor of Bernard Comrie, 1-
		and their	particular language (the	34. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (§§1 and 2)
		values	'analysis problem').	[PDF available on my webpage: select'.]
		3B. The	Going on to compare	Browse the relevant sections of WALS: <u>http://wals.info/</u> (go to
		typological	across languages.	'features' then 'nominal categories')
		perspective: a		Corbett, Greville G. 2006. Agreement. Cambridge: Cambridge
		fixed list		University Press. [especially chapter 4]
				Take a look at the Surrey Morphology Group databases at:
				http://www.surrey.ac.uk/LIS/SMG/ (select 'web resources')
Friday		4A. Canonical	Addressing the problems	GGC. Forthcoming. The penumbra of morphosyntactic feature
August 20 th	Canonicity	typology and	that arise in such	systems. Paper at the Workshop on Markedness and
16.00		features	comparison (the	Underspecification in the Morphology and Semantics of
			'correspondence	Agreement (MUMSA), Harvard, 2008. [To appear in Markedness]
			problem').	in the Morphosemantics of φ -Features (special issue of
				Morphology), edited by Jonathan Bobaljik, Uli Sauerland &
				Andrew Nevins.] [Preprint available on my webpage: select 'for a
				full list of publications see here'.]
		4B. A case study	A detailed analysis of	GGC. 2008. Determining morphosyntactic feature values: the case
		on case	one system, case, to	of case. In: Greville G. Corbett and Michael Noonan (eds) Case
			demonstrate that feature	and grammatical relations: papers in honor of Bernard
			values can differ	Comrie, 1-34. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (Remaining
			significantly, and that	sections.) [PDF available on my webpage: select 'for a full list
			systems are not as neat	of publications see here'.]
			as is sometimes	
			suggested.	

Monday August 23 rd 16.00	Canonicity and a non- canonical situation	 5A. Canonical features and canonical parts of speech 5B. Features in coordination and agreement 	An instance of what we learn when we push canonical definitions to the logical end point. An examination of the surprising data from coordination, for what it	 GGC. Forthcoming. Canonical morphosyntactic features. To appear in: Dunstan Brown, Greville G. Corbett & Marina Chumakina (eds) <i>Linguistic Diversity and the Universal: canonical approaches</i>. [Preprint available on my webpage: select 'for a full list of publications see here'.] Corbett, Greville G. 2006. <i>Agreement</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [chapter 8 and references there]
Wednesday August 25 th 16.00	6. Deponency: the "wrong" value		reveals about features. The phenomenon where features show the unexpected value.	Corbett, Greville G. 2007. Deponency, syncretism and what lies between. In: Matthew Baerman, Greville G. Corbett, Dunstan Brown and Andrew Hippisley (eds) <i>Deponency and Morphological</i> <i>Mismatches</i> (Proceedings of the British Academy, 145), 21-43. Oxford: British Academy and Oxford University Press. [Copy available at: <u>http://www.proc.britac.ac.uk/cgi- bin/somsid.cgi?page=volumes/pba145</u>]
Thursday August 26 th 16.00	7. Feature interactions: can we keep the typology simple?		Restricting the possible interactions between features, by rejecting some proposed analyses. Maintaining orthogonal features	Still new – no draft!
Friday August 27 th 16.00	8. Morpho-sy	ntactic Gambits	A survey and first typology of non- canonical feature behaviour.	Still new – no draft!