

ALIGNMENT TYPOLOGY, REFLEXIVES, AND RECIPROCAL IN TSEZIC LANGUAGES

Bernard Comrie^{1,2}, Diana Forker³, Zaira Khalilova¹

Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology¹
University of California Santa Barbara²
Universität Bamberg³

comrie@eva.mpg.de, diana.forker@uni-bamberg.de, khalilova@eva.mpg.de

A. Introduction

Nakh-Daghestanian languages are usually described as being (morphologically) ergative. However, a closer look at the grammatical roles reveals many instances of neutral or accusative alignment. In this talk we want to take a closer look at the alignment typology in the Tsezic languages (Hunzib, Bezhta, Khwarshi, Tsez and Hinuq).

The talk is structured in the following way: First, we will look at coding properties such as case marking and verb agreement in various clause types (Section B). Then behavioral properties such as control will be treated (Section C). A special focus will be on reflexive and reciprocal constructions since these constructions exhibit interesting variations among the Tsezic languages (Section D).

B. Clause structure types

Intransitive

Khwarshi

1. *hadam* *b-odo-še* *b-eč-un.*
people(IPL.ABS) IPL-work-IPFVCVB IPL-be-PSTUNW
'The people have been working.'

Bezhta

2. *kid* *y-eⁿχ'e-yo.*
girl(II.ABS) II-go-PST
'The girl went.'

Transitive

Khwarshi

3. *heč'č'e atyul* *Madinat-i* *ōⁿču* *b-ez-un.*
most in.front Madinat-ERG hen (III.ABS) III-buy-PSTUNW
'First Madinat bought the hen.'

Bezhta

4. *mexanik-li radio y-it'il-lo.*
 mechanic-I.ERG radio(II.ABS) II-repair-PST
 'The mechanic repaired the radio.'

Affective

Khwarshi

5. *bet'erhan-il b-ak-un boc'o.*
 owner-LAT III-see-PST wolf(III.ABS)
 'The owner saw the wolf.'

Bezhta

6. *di-l kid y-ac-ca.*
 I-LAT girl(II.ABS) II-love-PRS
 'I love the girl.'

Other clause structure types, e.g. Hinuq Potential construction

7. *lac-qo ac y-ayi-l-o gom.*
 wind-AT.ESS door(IV.ABS) IV-open-POT-IPFVCVB be.NEG
 'The wind cannot open the door.'

Case marking

S	ABS		
P	ABS	A	ERG
Stim	ABS	Exp	LAT

- Lative: distinct from Absolutive for all noun phrases
- Ergative: distinct from Absolutive for all noun phrases
 - except some first/second-person pronouns
 - in all languages except Bezhta
 - though morphological details often intricate:
 - ❖ Ergative suffix
 - ❖ no suffix, but distinct Oblique stem

Table 1: First- and second-person pronouns

	1SG		2SG		1PL		2PL	
	S/P	A	S/P	A	S/P	A	S/P	A
Khwarshi	do	de	mo	me	ílʸo	ilʸé	mížo	mižé
Tsez	di	di	mi	mi	eli	elā	meži	mežā
Hinuq	de	de	me	me	eli	eli	meži	meži
Bezhta	do	do	mi	mi	ile	ile	miže	miže
Hunzib	də	də	mə	mə	ile	ile	miže	miže

Nouns in Bezhta

- ABS SG same as ABS stem
- ERG SG same as OBL stem
- for many nouns, ABS stem = OBL stem and therefore ABS SG = ERG SG
- no correlation with proposed hierarchies
- lack of opposition has no syntactic consequences

Table 2: Some case forms and oblique stem forms in Bezhta

	ABS SG	OBL stem	ERG SG	LAT SG
‘brother’	<i>is</i>	<i>ist’i-</i>	<i>ist’i</i>	<i>ist’i-l</i>
‘sister’	<i>isi</i>	<i>isi-</i>	<i>isi</i>	<i>isi-l</i>
‘fox’	<i>sora</i>	<i>sorali-</i>	<i>sorali</i>	<i>sorali-l</i>
‘horse’	<i>soyya</i>	<i>soyya-</i> <i>soyyali-</i>	<i>soyya</i> <i>soyyali</i>	<i>soyya-l</i> <i>soyyali-l</i>
‘forest’	<i>wan</i>	<i>wana-</i>	<i>wana</i>	<i>wana-l</i>
‘river’	<i>eⁿxe</i>	<i>eⁿxe-</i>	<i>eⁿxe</i>	<i>eⁿxe-l</i>

Verb indexing

- nearly all vowel-initial verbs (incl. ʕV-initial verbs in some languages, perhaps to be analyzed as pharyngealized vowel)
- verbs take a prefix indexing the gender–number of the absolutive argument, i.e. S, P, Stim

Table 3: Gender-number prefixes in Tsez

SG				PL	
I	II	III	IV	I	non-I
Ø-	y-	b-	r-	b-	r-

Khwarshi lexicon

- Initial vowel with indexing 23%
- Initial vowel without indexing 7%
- No initial vowel, no indexing 70%

Tsez folktale *The Rainbow*

- Total word count 281
- Agreeing verb forms 53
- Non-agreeing verb forms 35 (incl. 8 vowel-initial)

C. Syntactic alignment I

Many phenomena neutral, e.g. accessibility to relativization

Control

Tsez

8. *dā-r* [new-ā-yor Ø-ik'-a] *r-eti-x.* S
 me-LAT Mokok-IN-DIR I-go-INF IV-want-PRS
 'I want to go to Mokok.'
9. *dā-r* [kayat cax-a] *y-eti-x.* A
 me-LAT letter(II.ABS) write-INF II-want-PRS
 'I want to write a letter.'
10. *uži-r* [kid y-ukad-a] *y-eti-s.* Exp
 boy-LAT girl(II.ABS) II-see-INF II-want-PSTWIT
 'The boy wanted to see the girl.'

Imperative

Khwarshi

11. Ø-*oⁿk'-o*, *obu.* S
 I-go-IMP father(I)
 'Go, father!'
12. *miže* *l-i-yo.* A
 you.PL(ERG) IV-do-IMP
 'You do it!'

Hinuq (likewise Bezhta, also Khwarshi but with lexical exceptions)

13. *debe-z* *hado uži* Ø-*eti.* Exp
 you-LAT this boy(I.ABS) I-love(IMP)
14. *me* *hado uži* Ø-*eti-r-o.* A
 you(ERG) this boy(I.ABS) I-love-CAUS-IMP
 'You love this boy!'

Tsez (likewise Hunzib, also Khwarshi for 'find', 'see')

15. **mežu-l* *mežu-s* *tušman-bi* *b-eti.* *Exp
 you.PL-LAT you.PL-GEN enemy-PL(ABS) IPL-love(IMP)
16. *mež-ā* *mežu-s* *tušman-bi* *b-eti-r.* A
 you.PL-ERG you.PL-GEN enemy-PL(ABS) IPL-love-caus(IMP)
 'Love your enemies!'

Anaphora (pronouns, zeros) requires further work

D. Syntactic alignment 2: Reflexives and Reciprocals

Canonical pattern:		Antecedent		Reflexive/Reciprocal	
		A		P	
		Exp		Stim	
		Antecedent		Reflexive	
		A	Sbj	A	Sbj
17.	John hit himself.	+	+	–	–
18.	*Himself hit John.	–	–	+	+
19.	?John was hit by himself.	–	+	+	–
20.	*Himself was hit by John.	+	–	–	+
		Antecedent		Reflexive	
		A	Sbj	A	Sbj
21.	John and Mary hit each other.	+	+	–	–
22.	*Each other hit John and Mary.	–	–	+	+
23.	?John and Mary were hit by each other.	–	+	+	–
24.	*Each other were hit by John and Mary.	+	–	–	+

Reflexives

Tsez				Antecedent	Reflexive
25.	<i>ʒal-ā</i>	<i>nesā_že</i>	<i>žek'-si.</i>		
	Ali(I)-ERG	REFL(ABS)	hit-PSTWIT	A	P
	'Ali hit himself.'				
26.	<i>pat'i</i>	<i>nelā_nelo-r</i>	<i>y-eti-x.</i>	STIM	EXP
	Pati(II.ABS)	REFL-LAT	II-love-PRS		
	'Pati loves herself.'			[also: <i>nelā nelor pat'i yetix.</i>]	
Bezhta					
27.	<i>murad-i</i>	<i>žu</i>	<i>Ø-uyo-l-lo.</i>	A	P
	Murad(I)-ERG	REFL(ABS)	I-die-CAUS-PST		
28.	<i>murad</i>	<i>hinis_hin-i</i>	<i>Ø-uyo-l-lo.</i>	P	A
	Murad(I.ABS)	REFL-ERG	I-die-CAUS-PST		
	'Murad killed himself.'				
29.	<i>ist'i-l</i>	<i>žu</i>	<i>Ø-at'-ca.</i>	Exp	Stim
	brother(I)-LAT	REFL(ABS)	I-like-PRS		
30.	<i>is</i>	<i>hinis_hini-l</i>	<i>Ø-at'-ca.</i>	Stim	Exp
	brother(I.ABS)	REFL-LAT	I-like-PRS		
	'Brother likes himself.'				

				Antecedent	Reflexive
Khwarshi					
31.	<i>ražab-i</i> Rajab(I)-ERG	<i>žu_žuč</i> REFL(ABS)	<i>Ø-uwox-i.</i> I-kill-PST	A	P
32.	<i>ražab</i> Rajab(I.ABS)	<i>ise_iseč</i> REFL.ERG	<i>Ø-uwox-i.</i> I-kill-PST 'Rajab killed himself.'	P	A
					[also: <i>ise iseč ražab uwoxi.</i>]
33.	<i>musa-l</i> Musa(I)-LAT	<i>žu_žuč</i> REFL(ABS)	<i>Ø-iyōq'.</i> I-know.GNT	Exp	Stim
34.	<i>musa</i> Musa(I.ABS)	<i>ise_isu-l</i> REFL-LAT	<i>Ø-iyōq'.</i> I-know.GNT 'Musa knows himself.'	Stim	Exp
					[also: <i>ise isul musa iyōq'.</i>]
Hinug					
35.	<i>maḥama-y</i> Mahama(I)-ERG	<i>zo</i> REFL(ABS)	<i>Ø-uher-iš.</i> I-kill-PSTWIT 'Mahama killed himself.'	A	P
36.	<i>madina-z</i> Madina(II)-LAT	<i>zon-ez</i> REFL-LAT	<i>zo</i> REFL(ABS)	<i>y-eq'i-yo.</i> II-know-PRS	Exp Stim
	[Combinations like <i>zonez zo</i> , where the first component of the reflexive echoes the case of the antecedent, occur only in affective and a few other similar constructions (e.g. potential).]				
37.	<i>madina</i> Madina(II.ABS)	<i>zoni_zone-z</i> REFL-LAT	<i>y-eq'i-yo.</i> II-know-PRS 'Madina knows herself.'	Stim	Exp
Reciprocals					
Bezhta					
38.	<i>kid-na</i> girl(ABS)-and	<i>ožō-na</i> boy(ABS)-and	<i>sid<i>_hos</i> RECIP<ERG> 'The girl and the boy killed each other.'	<i>b-iyal'e-yo.</i> 1PL-kill.PL-PST P	A
39.	<i>Pat'imat-na</i> Patimat-and	<i>Rasul-na</i> Rasul-and	<i>sidi<l>_hosso</i> RECIP<LAT> 'Patimat and Rasul like each other.'	<i>b-āt'-na.</i> IPL-like-PST Stim	Exp
Khwarshi					
40.	<i>y^{fw}e-bo</i> dog-PL.ABS	<i>hadiyad-za</i> RECIP-ERG	<i>haⁿhaⁿ-i.</i> bite-PST	P	A
					[also: <i>hadiyadza y^{fw}ebo haⁿhaⁿni.</i>]

41.	<i>y^{fw}e-za</i> dog-PL.ERG	<i>hadiyad-ba</i> RECIP-ABS	<i>haⁿhaⁿ-i.</i> bite-PST	Antecedent A	Reflexive P
‘The dogs bit each other.’					
42.	<i>izzu</i> they(ABS)	<i>hadiyadi-l</i> RECIP-LAT	<i>goq-še.</i> like-PRS	Stim [also: <i>hadiyadil izzu goqše.</i>]	Exp
43.	<i>izzu-l</i> they-LAT	<i>hadiyad-ba</i> RECIP-ABS	<i>goq-še.</i> like-PRS	Exp	Stim
‘They like each other.’					
Hinug					
44.	<i>haze-y</i> they-ERG	<i>sedi.hes</i> RECIP(ABS)	<i>haži-š</i> push-PSTWIT	A	P
‘They pushed each other.’					
45.	<i>hagze-z</i> they-LAT	<i>sedi.hes</i> RECIP(ABS)	<i>b-eti-yo.</i> IPL-love-PRS	Exp	Stim
46.	<i>hagbe</i> they(ABS)	<i>sedi.sed-ez</i> RECIP-LAT	<i>b-eti-yo</i> IPL-love-PRS	Stim	Exp
‘They love each other.’					
Tsez					
47.	<i>sidä</i> one.ERG	<i>sis</i> one(ABS)	<i>esnabi</i> brother-PL	<i>bexursi</i> I.PL-kill-PSTWIT	P A
‘The brothers killed each other.’ (Father_and_sons.077)					

Table 4: Case marking in transitive constructions involving reflexive and reciprocal pronouns

	Reflexive		Reciprocal	
	Antecedent	Reflexive	Antecedent	Reciprocal
Hinug	A	P	A	P
Tsez	A	P	P	A
Khwarshi	A	P	A	P
	P	A	P	A
Bezhta	A	P		
	P	A	P	A
Hunzib	A	P	?	?
	P	A		

Tsudakhar Dargi (Dargi, East Caucasian; data from Amina Ramazanova)

49.	<i>ittali</i> they.ERG	<i>calica</i> RECIP(ABS)	<i>kabuš^yib.</i> kill.PST	A	P
‘They killed each other.’					

Avar (Avar-Andi, East Caucasian; data from Hisanari Yamada)

48. *yol coc-az č'wa-na.* P A
 they(ABS) RECIP-ERG kill-PST
 'They killed each other.'

Table 5: Case marking in affective constructions involving reflexive and reciprocal pronouns

	Reflexive		Reciprocal	
	Antecedent	Reflexive	Antecedent	Reciprocal
Hinuk	Exp	Stim	Exp	Stim
	Stim	Exp	Stim	Exp
Tsez	Stim	Exp	?	?
Khwarshi	Stim	Exp	Stim	Exp
	Exp	Stim	Exp	Stim
Bezhta	Exp	Stim		
	Stim	Exp	Stim	Exp

E. Conclusion

Khwarshi and partially Tsez distinguish between Ergative and Absolutive for the first and second-person pronouns, the other languages don't. Bezhta is special because it lacks an Ergative marker (similar to Lezgi). The possibility for verb indexing depends on the verb, but it is only Absolutive arguments that are indexed.

In all Tsezic languages there is no difference between S, A and Exp arguments with regard to control. Hinuk, Khwarshi and Bezhta allow the addressee of the Imperative to be an experiencer, at least with some affective verbs.

Reflexive and reciprocal constructions vary among the Tsezic languages. In transitive reflexive constructions almost all languages show the canonical pattern. Additionally, Khwarshi, Bezhta and Hunzib show a typologically unusual pattern where the roles are 'reversed'. In reflexive construction with affective verbs the reversal of roles is allowed (and in Tsez required) in all Tsezic languages that we have data for. A similar phenomenon is found in reciprocal constructions, but here Bezhta again only allows the 'reversed' construction for transitive as well as for affective verbs. However, more data is needed, especially for reciprocal constructions.

Abbreviations

A	Agent-like argument	NEG	Negative
ABS	Absolutive	OBL	Oblique
AT	locative 'at'	P	Patient-like argument
CAUS	Causative	PL	Plural
ERG	Ergative	POT	Potential
ESS	Essive (location)	PRS	Present
Exp	Experiencer-like argument	PST	Past
GEN	Genitive	PSTUNW	Past unwitnessed
GNT	General tense	PSTWIT	Past witnessed
IMP	Imperative	RECIP	Reciprocal
IN	locative 'in'	REFL	Reflexive
INF	Infinitive	S	Single argument of intransitive
IPFVCVB	Imperfective converb	SG	Singular
LAT	Lative (motion to)	Stim	Stimulus-like argument

