Age-related effects on malaria parasite infection in wild chimpanzees
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Wild great apes are widely infected with a number of malaria parasites (Plasmodium spp.). Yet, nothing is known about the biology of these infections in the wild. Using faecal samples collected from wild chimpanzees, we investigated the effect of age on Plasmodium spp. detection rates. The data show a strong association between age and malaria parasite positivity, with significantly lower detection rates in adults. This suggests that, as in humans, individuals reaching adulthood have mounted an effective protective immunity against malaria parasites.

1. Introduction

The development of molecular diagnostic tools and the use of non-invasive faecal samples have recently prompted broad-scale investigations of malaria parasite (Plasmodium spp.) infections in wild apes [1,2]. This has notably led to the discovery of at least six distinct parasite species infecting wild chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). Three of them, Plasmodium reichenowi (C1 in [2]), Plasmodium gaboni (C2) and Plasmodium billcollinsi (C3) are part of the Laverania clade, to which P. falciparum, the species responsible for malignant malaria in humans also belongs [3,4]; they are by far the most frequently encountered [2]. The three other species are, respectively, related to the human-infecting Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium ovale and Plasmodium malariae parasites and occur more rarely [1,2].

While the genetic diversity of chimpanzee malaria parasites has now been extensively studied in the wild, substantially less is understood about the epidemiological drivers of infection. Important in this context are the virulence of the parasite—i.e. the added mortality owing to infection—and age-related variation in prevalence. In human populations, for example, parasite prevalence and malaria-related morbidity/mortality decrease with age, which reflects the progressive mounting of a protective immunity [5,6].

Here, we present a cross-sectional study which addresses the age-distribution of malaria parasite infection in a group of wild chimpanzees.

2. Material and methods

(a) Sample collection

One hundred forty-one faecal samples were collected in the Taï National Park, Côte d’Ivoire, from seven female and 12 male wild chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes verus) belonging to an habituated community of 25 individuals [7], in which malaria parasites are known to circulate [1,8]. Faecal samples were collected within 5 min after defaecation using single-use plastic bags, transported to camp in a cool box.
To investigate what influenced the probability of a positive PCR test for *Plasmodium spp.*, we used a generalized linear-mixed model (GLMM; [12]) with binomial error structure and logit link function. Into this, we included age and sex, defaecation time and defaecation time-squared as fixed effects and the individual identity as a random effect. The rationale for including defaecation time-squared into the model was that it seemed possible that the response showed a nonlinear diurnal pattern. We $z$-transformed defaecation time and age to a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one. To control for differences in the amount of material analysed, we included it as an offset term into the model. However, we had two measures of the amount of material analysed (total DNA and mammal mtDNA) and, hence, ran two models, one with each of the two included as an offset term (after log-transforming).

The probability of a positive result seemed likely to be temporally autocorrelated beyond what could be explained by the model. To control for autocorrelation, we explicitly incorporated it into the model, using an approach identical to that described in Furtbauer *et al.* [13]. To determine whether the period of sampling (October–November 2011 or March–April 2012) had any effect on the models, two alternative models that included the period of sampling as a random effect were also tested.

To determine the significance of age and sex, the two predictors we were mainly interested in, we compared the fit of the full model with that of a null model lacking these two fixed effects, but comprising all other terms present in the full model [14] using a likelihood ratio test [15].

To rule out the possibility that the results were largely dependent on certain individuals, we excluded each individual once from the data and repeated the analysis. The estimated coefficients were similar to the ones derived from all data. Hence, the results were not unduly driven by certain individuals. The model was fitted in *R* (v. 2.14.1; R Development Core Team 2012) using the function *lm* of the package *lme4* [16], and the autocorrelation term was derived using an R-script written by R.M. and using the R-function optimize. Likelihood ratio tests were conducted using the R-function ANOVA with the argument ‘test’ set to ‘Chisq’.

3. Results

From a nested qPCR assay, *Plasmodium* spp. sequences were detected in 35 per cent of the samples. All individuals but three (84%) were found to be positive at least once over the course of this sampling scheme (at two months; figure 1). Malaria parasite presence was detected in at least one member of the group on 31 out of the 43 (72%) days for which samples were acquired. Days for which no malaria parasite could be detected were also those less intensively sampled (for ‘positive’ days: mean four samples and standard deviation 1.9; for ‘negative’ days: mean 1.25 sample and standard deviation 0.4).
Table 1. Results of GLMM model with total_DNA as the offset term. There was no overall effect of day time (likelihood ratio test comparing the full model with a reduced model lacking age and age^2: χ^2 = 1.52, d.f. = 2, p = 0.468). Age, day time and the autocorrelation term were z-transformed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>term</th>
<th>estimate</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intercept</td>
<td>-0.923</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>-1.606</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age (0 = F, 1 = M)</td>
<td>-1.317</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>-2.557</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex (0 = F, 1 = M)</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day time</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day time^2</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>-0.550</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>autocorrelation</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>2.726</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results of GLMM model with mammal_mt_DNA as the offset term. There was no overall effect of day time (likelihood ratio test comparing the full model with a reduced model lacking age and age^2: χ^2 = 0.91, d.f. = 2, p = 0.634). Age, day time and the autocorrelation term were z-transformed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>term</th>
<th>estimate</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intercept</td>
<td>-1.193</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>-2.197</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>2.433</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex (0 = F, 1 = M)</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day time</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day time^2</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>autocorrelation</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>3.482</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, a full model including an effect of age and sex on the probability of a positive result was preferred to a null model not accounting for the effect of these variables (likelihood ratio test comparing full and null model, model with total_DNA as offset term: χ^2 = 11.44, d.f. = 2, p = 0.003; model with mammal_mt_DNA: χ^2 = 12.2, d.f. = 2, p = 0.002). However, detailed inspection of individual results revealed that only age and the autocorrelation term were significant (sex of animal and time of day were not; tables 1 and 2). A clear decrease of the detection probability with age was observed for both models (model with total_DNA as offset term: estimate + s.e. = -1.32 + 0.51, z = -2.56, p = 0.011; model with mammal_mt_DNA: estimate + s.e. = -1.10 + 0.45, z = -2.43, p = 0.015; figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Models including sampling time as a random effect yielded essentially the same results (see the electronic supplementary material, tables S1 and S2).

For most positive samples, it was also possible to determine informative sequences. This revealed a clear predominance of *P. gaboni*, which was identified in 82 per cent of the positive samples. *Plasmodium billcollinsi* and *P. vivax* were, however, also detected in 18 per cent and 6 per cent of the positive samples, respectively (two cases of co-infection with *P. gaboni* and *P. billcollinsi* were detected). Although most series of positive samples involved a single species, two cases of an apparent switch from one parasite species to another were observed (CAR in March and WAL in November; figure 1).

4. Discussion

The general detection rate was 35 per cent overall, which is well in line with previous estimates in wild chimpanzees [1,2]. However, almost every individual chimpanzee was found positive at least once in the course of this short study. Taking into account sampling intensity, our data also suggest that at every point in time at least one individual of this group of 25 wild chimpanzees is infected. Given the low chimpanzee density in the region [7], it appears likely that circulation of malaria parasites is mainly supported by transmission within groups. Because these groups are, in general, quite small, it can be hypothesized that malaria parasites are locally (within groups) exposed to intense genetic drift. This could end up with group-specific infection with particular parasite species and/or shift of locally dominant parasite species through time, particularly when chimpanzee group size decreases.

Although negative samples do not necessarily mean the absence of infection, but possibly low parasitaemia and shedding in the intestinal lumen, the age-related decrease of the detection probability of *Plasmodium* spp. most probably reflects a decline in parasite prevalence and/or parasite density with age, as has been observed in human populations from endemic areas [5,6]. To our knowledge, this is the first indication that epidemiological characteristics of malaria parasite infection in wild chimpanzee populations might be comparable to those in human populations.

As in humans [9], the development of acquired immunity probably plays an important role in explaining the observed patterns. Throughout this process, malaria parasites might also contribute to directly decimating young chimpanzees. Histopathological findings and molecular analyses performed on more than 30 dead adult chimpanzees from the same area and community do not support a marked pathogenicity of malaria...
parasites in adults, because malaria was thus far never identified as a possible cause of death [1]. For young chimpanzees, however, the question remains completely open. While it is known that mortality in young chimpanzees is high [17], their bodies are rarely accessible, either because they have less chances to be found opportunistically or because their carcasses are carried for several days by their mothers (data not shown). This makes the determination of young chimpanzees’ cause of death a tricky issue and the involvement of malaria parasites currently cannot be ruled out. Even though at this stage, we cannot pinpoint pathogenicity of malaria parasites found in wild chimpanzees, our results suggest a continuous exposure of this population, leading to the development of a resistance to infection and/or high parasitaemia.
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