

Identification and redesign of human microsatellite markers for genotyping wild chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes verus*) and gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) DNA from faeces

Brenda J. Bradley^{1,2}, Christophe Boesch¹ & Linda Vigilant^{1*}

¹Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Inselstrasse 22, Leipzig D-04103, Germany; ²Anthropological Sciences, State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY 1179-4364, USA; *author for correspondence (E-mail: vigilant@eva.mpg.de)

Received 28 July 2000; accepted 5 October 2000

Key words: chimpanzee, faeces, gorilla, microsatellite, noninvasive

Although in principle a powerful approach to characterize closely related individuals within a population, genetic analysis using variation at microsatellite loci is subject to several technical challenges when applied to wild populations sampled noninvasively. Difficulties arise due to the characteristics of the template DNA, the occurrence of artifacts during PCR, and the typically low success rate. Noninvasive samples provide a low amount of often degraded DNA for amplification, greatly increasing the problem of allelic dropout and subsequent production of inaccurate genotypes (Taberlet et al. 1996). Previous studies of genetic variation in great apes (Gagneux et al. 1999 and references therein) have relied largely on dinucleotide repeat markers, but tri- or tetra-nucleotide repeat markers are now preferred because of the reduced occurrence of amplification artifacts such as "stutter" bands (Edwards et al. 1991) and the greater ease of consistent allele identification using automated technology.

We report here the identification of 9 and 10 microsatellite loci that exhibit variation in wild populations of west African chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*), and western lowland gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*), respectively. Five of the same loci are in use in studies of both species, allowing for eventual interspecific comparisons. To mitigate the aforementioned difficulties, we have identified loci that are not only highly variable, but also produce amplification products less than 300 bp long and thus have a high rate of amplification efficiency and accuracy. New primers that produce shorter products have been designed for several of the loci.

Genomic DNA was extracted from faeces collected fresh in the field and stored dry using silica gel beads (Wasser et al. 1997). Up to 5 g of faeces was collected in a 50 ml tube containing 20 g of silica. In the lab the samples were stored at 4 °C with fresh beads. The QIAamp[®] DNA Stool Kit (Qiagen) was used to extract genomic DNA from 100 mg of faeces. The DNA was recovered in 200 μ l of elution buffer, aliquotted and stored at -20 °C. PCR amplification was carried out in a total volume of 15 μ l consisting of 2 μ l template, 1x PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl), 2 mM MgCl₂, 6 μ g BSA, 250 μ M each dNTP, 200 nM each primer and 0.375 U Amplitaq[®] Gold (Perkin-Elmer). Amplification conditions on an PTC-200 thermocycler (MJ Research) were: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 minutes; 45 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55 or 60 °C (see legends, Table 1), 30 s at 72 °C, and a final extension of 30 min at 72 °C. The 5' end of the forward primer was fluorescently labeled, and amplification products were separated using capillary electrophoresis (ABI 310 PRISM). Alleles were sized relative to an internal size standard (HD400 labeled ROX) using Gene Scan 2.0 (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems). Genotypes were scored multiple times from independent PCRs (twice for heterozygotes, and 4 to 7 times for homozygotes). Each sample was extracted in duplicate. Two negative extraction controls were processed along with each set of 8 to 10 fecal extractions and each set of PCRs contained two or more negative PCR controls as well. For each extract multiple PCRs (three or more) were attempted

			Elessize range Gorilla Fare Aniparzee Gorilla Fare 171-223 nd Fa-Re: 154-206 nd Fa-Re: 101-122 nd Fa-Re: 238-266 nd Fa-Re: 144-172 nd Fa-Re: 146-178 nd Fa-Re: 122-266 nd Fa-Re: 174-218 nd Fa-Re: 170-190 170-202 Fa-Re: 170-190 170-204 Fa-Re: 120-265 nd Fa-Re: 120-265 nd Fa-Re: 120-156 120-196 Fa-Re: 120-156 120-196 Fa-Re: 120-156 120-196 Fa-Re: 160-148 140-100 Fa-Re: 101-148 <	Standard	Marker ref.		
Locus	Label	Primer sequences $(5'-3')$		Chimpanzee	Gorilla	DNA*	
D2s1329	FAM	F: TTGTGGAACCGTTCTCAAAT R: GAAACTTCCACCTGGGTTCT	F–R:	171–223	nd	219 / 223	G08141
	HEX	F2: ACCGTTCTCAAATACCAGGAATC R2: CCTGGGTTCTTAATTTAACCATAATTC	F2-R2:	154–206	nd	178 / 182	
D9s910	NED	F: AAGTCAGTTAGCTGAAGGTTGC R: TATATGAAGTGCTTAGAAAAAGTGC	F–R:	101–122	nd	105 / 105	G08725
D11s2002	NED	F: CATGGCCCTTCTTTTCATAG R: AATGAGGTCTTACTTTGTTGCC	F–R:	238–266	nd	238 / 242	G09598
		R2: AGTGTGAGCCACCACCAGC	F–R2:	144–172	nd	144 / 148	
D12s66	NED	F: TCATTTAAGCATTTGAGGGAA R: AGACTTCAAAACAGACACTT	F–R:	146–178	nd	170 / 174	GBD: 181964
D2s1326	FAM	F: AGACAGTCAAGAATAACTGCCC R: CTGTGGCTCAAAAGCTGAAT	F–R:	222–266	250–286	266 / 270	G08136
		R2: AGGGAATTCTCTGAGCTAATAC	F-R2:	174–218	nd	198 / 202	
D5s1470	HEX	F: CATGCACAGTGTGTTTACTGG R: TAGGATTTTACTATATTCCCCAGG	F–R:	170–190	170–202	182 / 186	G08475
D7s2204	FAM	F: TCATGACAAAACAGAAATTAAGTG R: AGTAAATGGAATTGCTTGTTACC	F-R:	229–265	217–249	241 / 249	G08635
		R2: GTTCACTGTAGAGTTCCTTTATGC	F-R2:	156–192	nd	nd	
D7s817	FAM	F: TTGGGACCTCTTATTTTCCA R: GGGTTCTGCAGAGAAACAGA	F-R:	164–200	160–196	184 / 188	G08595
		F2: TAAATCTCTTTATGGCTGACTG	F2–R:	120–156	112–148	136 / 140	
vWF	HEX	F: CCCTAGTGGATGATAAGAATAATC R: GGACAGATGATAAATACATAGGATGGATGG	F–R:	116–148	144–160	152 / 156	HUMVWFA31
D1s550	FAM	F: CCTGTTGCCACCTACAAAAG R: TAAGTTAGTTCAAATTCATCAGTGC	F–R:	nd	170–194	186 / 186	G07836
D4s1627	NED	F: AGCATTAGCATTTGTCCTGG R: GACTAACCTGACTCCCCCTC	F–R:	nd	230–246	230 / 246	G08393
D8s1106	NED	F: TTGTTTACCCCTGCATCACT R: TTCTCAGAATTGCTCATAGTGC	F–R:	nd	123–151	139 / 143	G09378
D10s1432	HEX	F: CAGTGGACACTAAACACAATCC R: TAGATTATCTAAATGGTGGATTTCC	F–R:	nd	156–176	160 / 168	G08816
D16s2624	FAM	F: TGAGGCAATTTGTTACAGAGC R: TAATGTACCTGGTACCAAAAACA	F–R:	nd	128–144	132 / 136	G07938

Table 1. Fourteen microsatellite loci successfully utilized in analysis of DNA from chimpanzee or gorilla feces

*Commercially available DNA from *Gorilla gorilla* cell line, European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures Ref. No: 89072703 F, original forward primer; R, original reverse primer; F2, redesigned forward primer; R2, redesigned reverse primer; nd, not determined. The annealing temperature for D12s66, D10s1432, D2s1329 and D7s2204 was 55 °C, for all other loci it was 60 °C

rus) Gorilla (G. g. gorilla)			erus)	ee (P. t. v	Chi				
Но	He	Не	k	Ν	Но	He	k	N	Locus
				nd	0.860	0.769	7	43	D2s1329
				nd	0.854	0.799	7	48	D9s910
				nd	0.841	0.800	8	44	D11s2002
				nd	0.708	0.724	8	48	D12s66
17 0.7	0.917	0.9	9	24	0.804	0.887	12	46	D2s1326
45 0.8	0.845	0.8	8	26	0.975	0.823	6	47	D5s1470
96 0.8	0.796	0.7	7	14	0.763	0.819	8	38	D7s2204
23 0.8	0.823	0.8	9	14	0.894	0.857	9	47	D7s817
03 0.6	0.703	0.7	5	23	0.522	0.629	6	46	vWF
31 0.8	0.831	0.8	7	19				nd	D1s550
29 0.8	0.729	0.7	5	13				nd	D4s1627
79 0.6	0.779	0.7	6	11				nd	D8s1106
37 0.8	0.837	0.8	6	16				nd	D10s1432
77 0.4	0.577	0.5	4	15				nd	D16s2624
72 0.7	0.772	.6 0.7	5 6.6 91 99	17.5 0.99 0.99	0.802 0.993 0.999	0.790 arent): d parent):	7.9 (first pa (second	45 power power	Mean Total exclusionary Total exclusionary
.9 .84 .79 .81 .71 .81 .71 .81 .71 .81 .71	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	9 8 7 5 7 5 6 4 5 6 4 9 1 99	nd nd 24 26 14 14 23 19 13 11 16 15 17.5 0.99 0.99	0.841 0.708 0.804 0.975 0.763 0.894 0.522 0.522 0.802 0.903 0.999	0.800 0.724 0.887 0.823 0.819 0.857 0.629 0.790 arent): d parent):	8 8 12 6 8 9 6 7.9 (first p (second	44 48 46 47 38 47 46 nd nd nd nd nd sower power	D11s2002 D12s66 D2s1326 D5s1470 D7s2204 D7s817 vWF D1s550 D4s1627 D8s1106 D10s1432 D16s2624 Mean Total exclusionary Total exclusionary

 $Table\ 2.$ Variability of loci in wild populations of western chimpanzees and western lowland gorillas

N, number of individuals typed

k, number of alleles observed

He, expected heterozygosity

Ho, observed heterozygosity

at each locus. A successful PCR reaction was defined as one producing specific product in the expected size range.

The fourteen microsatellite loci (Table 1) are described in the references as tetranucleotide repeats in humans, with the exception of D9s910, a trinucleotide repeat. The first nine primers were used in chimpanzees, and the final ten were used in gorillas. Results from a readily available standard DNA are included in Table 1, along with label information, allowing laboratories using the same loci but different allele scoring systems to compare results. Initial results using chimpanzee DNA revealed that amplifications at five loci (D2s1329, D11s2002, D2s1326 D7s2204 and D7s817) were successful in only 62 to 75% of attempts. Since amplifications at the other four loci were more successful (77 to 89% of attempts) and these four loci also have smaller average allele sizes, we reasoned that the DNA from these faecal samples was likely to be degraded, and hence amplification of shorter rather than longer products would be more efficient. Using the publicly available human sequence information for these loci (see genome database ID numbers in Table 1), we designed new primers to amplify shorter products for the five loci. New primers were designed using three considerations: i) no obvious potential hairpin structures, ii) similar melting temperature to other primer in pair (calculated as in Breslauer et al.1986) and iii) primer sequence ending with a C or G for high specificity (Kwok et al. 1990). The redesign of primers resulted in significant increases in the success of amplification from chimpanzee faecal DNAs using D2s1329, D11s2002, D7s817, and D7s2204 (df = 1; χ^2 = 12.3, 35.6, 6.9 and 7.3 respectively, p < 0.01) and a statistically insignificant increase in amplification success rate for D2s1326. The average success rate of amplification from chimpanzee faecal DNA was 82% (973 attempts) with the least successful locus (D7s2204F-R) at 62% and the most successful locus (D11s2002 F-R2) at 95%. In general, success rates from gorilla faecal DNA were lower and averaged 56% (672 attempts). This lower value may reflect differences in dietary components that have been associated with PCR inhibition (Monteiro et al. 1997). We guarded against contamination (within and between

species) using several means: i) the multiple negative controls mentioned above, ii)extensive replication of results using the multiple tubes approach (Taberlet et al. 1996), iii) genotyping of all laboratory workers, and iv) checking for consistent allele-sharing results from known mother-offspring pairs. We estimate our error rate at less than 1%, based upon the incidence of inconsistent, unreproducible results.

Table 2 summarizes the variation at the loci using results derived from ongoing genotyping studies of three habituated communities of chimpanzees from the Taï National Park, Côte d'Ivoire and multiple unhabituated gorilla groups at Mondika Research Center, Central African Republic. The high level of variation at these loci will allow for unambiguous assessment of paternity, an important component to our studies of reproductive strategies in these species. The same systems are being used in our laboratory to also analyze genetic variation and social structure in bonobos (Pan paniscus), two communities of east African chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii), two populations of mountain gorillas (Gorilla gorilla beringei), and a population of eastern lowland gorillas (G. g. graueri). Thus, genetic data derived in this way will in principle allow us to investigate the genetic structure of individual populations, and potentially make broader interpopulation, intraspecific and interspecific comparisons.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank H. Siedel and A. Abraham for technical assistance, and D. Doran and field assistants at Mondika and Taï for sample collection. We would also like to thank P. Morin for information on human primers used in baboon studies. B. Bradley is financially supported by the Max Planck Society, NSF, Wenner-Gren, Leakey Foundation, and Sigma Xi.

References

- Breslauer KJ, Frank R, Blöcker H, Marky LA (1986) Predicting DNA duplex stability from the base sequence. *Proc. Natl. Acad Sci.*, 83, 3746–3750.
- Edwards A, Civitello A, Hammond HA, Caskey CT (1991) DNA typing and genetic mapping with trimeric and tetrameric tandem repeats. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **49**, 746–757.
- Gagneux P, Boesch C, Woodruff D (1999) Female reproductive strategies, paternity, and community structure in wild West African chimpanzees. Ani. Beh., 57, 19–32.
- Kwok S, Kellogg DE, McKinney N, Spasic D, Goda L, Levenson C, Sninsky JJ (1990) Effects of primer-template mismatches on the polymerase chain reaction: Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 model studies. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, **18**, 999–1005.
- Monteiro L, Bonnemaison D, Vekris A, Petry KG, Bonnet J, Vidal R, Cabrita J, Megraud F (1997) Complex polysaccharides as PCR inhibitors in feces: Helicobacter pylori model. J. Clin. Microbiology, 35, 995–998.
- Taberlet P, Griffin S, Goossens B, Questiau S, Manceau V, Escaravage N, Waits LP, Bouvet J (1996) Reliable genotyping of samples with very low DNA quantities using PCR. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 24, 3189–3194.
- Wasser S, Houston C, Koehler G, Cadd G, Fain S (1997) Techniques for application of fecal DNA methods to field studies of Ursids. *Mol. Ecol.*, 6,1091–1097.