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## Languages

- Need cases where we have an empirical understanding of
- the changes that have occurred in the 'copying' language;
- the sociolinguistic circumstances of contact, and
- the language from which grammatical constructions have been 'copied'
- Not many documented cases satisfy these conditions


## Introduction

- An example of contact-induced constructional change
- British English: I have known his family all my life
- present perfect with a span of time that reaches to the present


## Introduction

- An example of contact-induced constructional change
- British English: I have known his family all my life
- present perfect with a span of time that reaches to the present
- Colloquial Irish English: I know his family all my life
- not acceptable in British English
- reflecting the lack of forms corresponding to the present perfect in the Irish Gaelic of speakers who shifted to English (Hickey 2013:102)


## Languages

- Constructional copying happens in two different circumstances:
- bilingually induced change, where bilinguals copy from one of their languages into the other and


## Languages

- Constructional copying happens in two different circumstances:
- bilingually induced change, where bilinguals copy from one of their languages into the other and
- shift-induced change that results from imperfect adult language learning during rapid shift.


## Languages

## - Colloquial Upper Sorbian

- has copied constructions through Sorbian/ German bilingualism.
- Lenka Scholze's 2007 PhD thesis, published as Scholze 2008, provides ample information
- earlier work by Frido Michałk and Walter Breu
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## Languages

- Colloquial Upper Sorbian
- has copied constructions through Sorbian/ German bilingualism.
- Lenka Scholze's 2007 PhD thesis, published as Scholze 2008, provides ample information
- there is earlier work by Frido Michałk and Walter Breu
- Rural Irish English
- has copied constructions from Irish Gaelic in the course of language shift


## Contact-induced constructional change

- A typology based on the degree to which a construction is altered as a result of contact:
- an existing construction is used more frequently
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## Contact-induced constructional change

- A typology based on the degree to which a construction is altered as a result of contact:
- an existing construction is used more frequently
- an existing construction is used for a new function
- an existing construction is formally modified, i.e. constructional calquing
- an existing construction is structurally altered to more closely match a corresponding ML construction, i.e. metatypy occurs.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

- 5th-6th centuries: Slavic speakers settled eastern region of present-day Germany.
- As the language diversified, the language of the northern part of the region became Polabian, the southern Sorbian.



## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

Western Slavic languages in the 9th and 10th centuries (approximate)



## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

## Present-day extent of Sorbian (approximate)



## Contact-induced constructional change

- A typology based on the degree to which a construction is altered as a result of contact:
- an existing construction is used more frequently


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| StdUS | $\varnothing$ | běše | jemo | $\varnothing$ | stara | žona |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CUS | To | běše | jemo | jena | stara | žona |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sIPF | once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM.F |
| Ge | Es | war | einmal | eine | alte | Frau |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sPST once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM |  |
|  | 'There was once an old woman.' (Breu 2012:281) |  |  |  |  |  |

- jen serves both as numeral 'one’ and as indefinite article, here in a presentative construction


## Colloourat uopersorben

| StdUS | $\varnothing$ | běše | jemo | $\varnothing$ | stara | žona |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CUS | To | běše | jemo | jena | stara | žona |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sIPF | once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM.F |
| Ge | Es | war | einmal | eine | alte | Frau |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sPST | once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM |
|  | 'There w | was once a | n old wo | oman.' (Bre | u 2012:281) |  |

- jen serves both as numeral 'one' and as indefinite article, here in a presentative construction
- StdUS reflects the most frequent Slavic situation: no indefinite article in a presentative construction.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| StdUS | $\varnothing$ | běše | jemo | $\varnothing$ | stara | žona |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CUS | To | běše | jemo | jena | stara | žona |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sIPF | once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM.F |
| Ge | Es | war | einmal eine | alte | Frau |  |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sPST once a.NOM.F old.NOM.F | woman.NOM |  |  |  |
|  | 'There was once an old woman.' (Breu 2012:281) |  |  |  |  |  |

- jen serves both as numeral 'one’ and as indefinite article, here in a presentative construction:
- StdUS reflects the most frequent Slavic situation: no indefinite article in a presentative construction.
- In CUS jen is obligatory,


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| StdUS | $\varnothing$ | běše | jemo | $\varnothing$ | stara | žona |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CUS | To | běše | jemo | jena | stara | žona |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sIPF | once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM.F |
| Ge | Es | war | einmal | eine | alte | Frau |
|  |  | it.NOM | be.3sPST once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM |
|  | 'There was once an old woman.' (Breu 2012:281) |  |  |  |  |  |

- jen serves both as numeral 'one’ and as indefinite article, here in a presentative construction:
- StdUS reflects the most frequent Slavic situation: no indefinite article in a presentative construction.
- In CUS jen is obligatory, on the model of German ein.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian



- jen serves both as numeral 'one’ and as indefinite article, here in a presentative construction:
- StdUS reflects the most frequent Slavic situation: no indefinite article in a presentative construction.
- In CUS jen is obligatory, on the model of German ein.
- Other Slavic languages sometimes use the numeral 'one' in this construction, so the variant of the construction with 'one' has displaced the more common variant that lacks it.


## Contact-induced constructional change

- A typology based on the degree to which a construction is altered as a result of contact:
- an existing construction is used more frequently
- an existing construction is used for a new function


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| StdUS | $\varnothing$ | běše | jemo | $\varnothing$ | stara | žona |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CUS | To | běše | jemo | jena | stara | žona |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sIPF | once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM.F |
| Ge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Es | war | einmal eine | alte | Frau |  |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sPST once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM |  |
|  | 'There was once an old woman.' (Breu 2012:281) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

StdUS
cus


| běše | jemo | $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| běše | jemo | jena |

Ge
Es war einmal eine alte $\quad$ Frau
it.NOM be.3sPST once a.NOM.F old.NOM.F woman.NOM
'There was once an old woman.' (Breu 2012:281)

- StUS has no clause-initial pronoun


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| StdUS | $\varnothing$ | běše | jemo | $\varnothing$ | stara | žona |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CUS | To <br> it.NOM | běše <br> be.3sIPF | jemo once | jena <br> a.NOM.F | stara <br> old.NOM.F | žona woman.NOM.F |
| Ge | Es <br> it.NOM <br> 'There | war <br> be.3sPS <br> was once | einma once old w | eine <br> a.NOM.F <br> man.' | alte <br> old.NOM.F <br> 2012:281) | Frau woman.NOM |

- StUS has no clause-initial pronoun
- CUS uses to 'it' + 'be’ here


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| StdUS | $\varnothing$ | běše | emo | $\varnothing$ | stara | žona |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CUS | To it.NOM | běše <br> be.3sIPF | jemo once | jena <br> a.NOM.F | stara <br> old.NOM.F | žona woman.NOM.F |
| Ge | Es <br> it.NOM <br> ‘There was | war <br> be.3sPS <br> was once | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inma } \\ & \text { old } \mathrm{v} \end{aligned}$ | eine <br> a.NOM.F <br> man.' (Bre | alte <br> old.NOM.F <br> u 2012:281) | Frau woman.NOM |

- StUS has no clause-initial pronoun
- CUS uses to 'it' + 'be' here, imitating Ge es 'it' + 'be'


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| StdUS | $\varnothing$ | běše | emo | $\varnothing$ | stara | žona |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CUS | To it.NOM | běše <br> be.3sIPF | jemo once | jena <br> a.NOM.F | stara <br> old.NOM.F | žona woman.NOM.F |
| Ge | Es <br> it.NOM <br> ‘There was | war <br> be.3sPS <br> was once | $\begin{aligned} & \text { einm } \\ & \text { once } \\ & \text { old } \end{aligned}$ | eine <br> a.NOM.F <br> man.' (Bre | alte <br> old.NOM.F <br> u 2012:281) | Frau woman.NOM |

- StUS has no clause-initial pronoun
- CUS uses to + 'be' here, imitating Ge es + 'be'
- CUS to is the neuter singular form of the neutral demonstrative.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | To jo <br> it.NOM be.3sPRS | te the.NOM.Ns | blido. table.NOM.Ns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ge | Das ist <br> that.NOM.N be.3sPRS 'That is the table.' | der <br> the.NOM.Ms | Tisch. <br> table.NOM.Ms |
| Cz | To je student. <br> it.NOM be.3sPRS student.NOM.M That's a student.' |  |  |
| Po | To jest mój <br> it.NOM be.3sPRS my.NOM.M 'That's my brother.' | brat. brother.NOM.M |  |

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian



- to is referential
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- In the construction that CUS has copied, CUS to and Ge es are non-referential dummies.
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- In the construction that CUS has copied, CUS to and Ge es are non-referential dummies.
- Use of the existing CUS to 'it' + 'be' construction, where to is referential, ...


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian



- In the construction that CUS has copied, CUS to and Ge es are non-referential dummies.
- Use of the existing CUS to 'it' + 'be' construction, where to is referential, has been extended to the 'there is' presentational function, where to becomes non-referential


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

## cus

Ge


| běše | jemo | jena | stara | žona |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| be.3sIPF | once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM.F |
| war | einmal | eine | alte | Frau |
| be.3sPST | once | a.NOM.F | old.NOM.F | woman.NOM |

'There was once an old woman.' (Breu 2012:281)
CUS
To jo te blido.
it.NOM be.3sPRS the.NOM.Ns table.NOM.Ns
'That is the table.'

- In the construction that CUS has copied, CUS to and Ge es are non-referential dummies.
- Use of the existing CUS to + 'be' construction, where to is referential, has been extended to the presentational function, where to becomes non-referential
- This is a new function for the CUS to + 'be' construction, to judge from the Polish and Czech examples on the previous screen.


## Contact-induced constructional change

- A typology based on the degree to which a construction is altered as a result of contact:
- an existing construction is used more frequently
- an existing construction is used for a new function
- an existing construction is formally modified, i.e. constructional calquing


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | To | so | hrimoce. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | it.NOM | REFL | thunder.3sPRS |
| Ge | Es | donnert. |  |
|  | it.NOM | thunder.3sPRS |  |
|  | 'It is thundering.' |  |  |

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | To | so | hrimoce. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | it.NOM | REFL | thunder.3sPRS |
| Ge | Es | donnert. |  |
|  | it.NOM | thunder.3sPRS |  |
|  | 'It is thundering.' |  |  |


| To | jo | dźéwećich. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| it.NOM | be.3sPRS | nine.o'.clock |

Es ist neun Uhr.
it.NOM be.3sPRS nine o'.clock 'It is nine o'clock.'

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian



## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

## Expletive to

| CUS | To | bu | fajge | bóło, | [nic | hin-hić]. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sSBJV | cowardly | be.PTCP.sN | NEG | DEIC-go.INF |
| Ge | Es | wäre | feige, |  | [nicht | hin-zu-gehen]. |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sIPF.SBJV cowardly | NEG | DEIC-to-go.INF |  |  |
|  | 'It would be cowardly not to go.' |  |  |  |  |  |

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

## Expletive to

CUS

| To | bu | fajge | bóło, | [nic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hin-hić]. |  |  |  |  |
| it.NOM | be.3sSBJV | cowardly | be.PTCP.sN | NEG |
| DEIC-go.INF |  |  |  |  |
| Es | wäre | feige, |  | [nicht | hin-zu-gehen]..

Cz Ø je možno to změnit.
be.3sPRS possible it.ACC change.INF
'It is possible to change it.'
Po Ø $\varnothing$ szkoda mówić.
pointless talk.INF
'It's pointless to discuss it.'

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

CUS To so hrimoce.
it.NOM REFL thunder.3sPRS
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Ge } & \text { Es } & \text { donnert. } \\ & \text { it.NOM } & \text { thunder.3sPRS }\end{array}$
'It is thundering.'

- Language in use consists of intersecting constructions
- Contact may affect just one construction represented in a given clause or sentence


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian


'It is thundering.'

- Language in use consists of intersecting constructions
- Contact may affect just one construction represented in a given clause or sentence
- CUS has copied the impersonal construction es + weather verb from German,
- but retains the CUS argument structure construction of the verb 'thunder', which is reflexive


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | To | jo | te | blido. <br> table.NOM.Ns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | it.NOM | be.3sPRS | the.NOM.Ns |  |
| Ge | Das | ist |  | Tisch. <br> table.NOM.Ms |
|  | that.NOM.N | be.3sPRS | the.NOM.Ms |  |
|  | 'That is the table.' |  |  |  |
| Cz | To je | student. |  |  |
|  | it.NOM be.3sPRS student.NOM.M |  |  |  |
|  | That's a student.' |  |  |  |
| Po | To jest | mój | brat. |  |
|  | it.NOM be.3sPRS my.NOM.M |  | brother.NOM.M |  |
|  | 'That's my brother.' |  |  |  |  |

- to is referential


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | Čora yesterday | jo <br> be.3sPRS |  | $\varnothing$ | hrimotało. <br> thunder.PTCP.N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ge | Gestern yesterday | hat <br> have.3sPRS | $\varnothing$ | es <br> it.NOM | gedonnert. <br> thunder.PTCP |
| 'Yesterday it thundered.' . |  |  |  |  |  |
| CUS | dókejš because | $\varnothing$ jo be.3sP |  | zno <br> already | swěłło ... <br> bright |
| Ge | weil because | es <br> it.NOM |  | schon <br> already | hell ist... <br> daylight be.3sPRS |
|  | 'because it is already daylight...' |  |  |  |  |

- In the constructions where CUS has extended the use of to on the model of Ge es, to only occurs clause-initially.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian



- In the constructions where CUS has extended the use of to on the model of Ge es, to only occurs clause-initially.
- If an adverb or a conjunction assumes clause-initial position, to does not occur.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | Čora | jo | so | $\varnothing$ | hrimotało. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | yesterday | be.3sPRS | REFL |  | thunder.PTCP.N |
| Ge | Gestern | hat | $\varnothing$ | es | gedonnert. |
|  | yesterday | have.3sPRS |  | it.NOM | thunder.PTCP |
|  | 'Yesterday | it thundered.' |  |  |  |

\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}CUS dókejš \varnothing <br>

because\end{array}\right]\)| weil es |
| :--- |
| because it.NOM |


'because it is already daylight...'

- The clause structures of the CUS and Ge adverbial clause constructions with 'because' don't match.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

cus

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\varnothing & \text { mi } & \text { so } & \text { dere } & \text { dźo. } \\
& \text { me.DAT } & \text { REFL } & \text { well } & \text { go.3sPRS } \\
\text { I am well' (lit. ' It goes itself to-me well.') }
\end{array}
$$

Ge

| Es | geht mir | gut. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| it.NOM | go.3sPRS | me.DAT | well |
| 'I am well' (lit. 'It goes to-me well.') |  |  |  |

*To so mi dere dżo.

- The CUS expression is a translation of the Ge


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian



- The CUS expression is a translation of the Ge
- with a dative experiencer as in Ge


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian



- The CUS expression is a translation of the Ge
- with a dative experiencer as in Ge
- but the impersonal construction represented by German es is encoded in Slavic manner by a reflexive pronoun, not by to


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | $\varnothing$ | mi so dere dźo. <br> me.DAT REFL well go.3sPRS | *To so mi dere dźo. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'I am well' (lit. 'It goes itself to-me well.') |  |  |
| Ge | Es | geht mir gut. |  |
|  | it.NOM | go.3sPRS me.DAT well |  |
|  | 'I am well' (lit. 'It goes to-me well.') |  |  |
| Cz | Jak | se máš? |  |
| Po | Jak | się masz? |  |
|  | how | REFL have.2sPRS |  |

- The CUS expression is a translation of the Ge
- with a dative experiencer as in Ge
- but the impersonal construction is encoded in Slavic manner by a reflexive pronoun, not by to
- Polish and Czech metaphor uses the verb 'have' with a reflexive pronoun


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | $\varnothing$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mi } \\ & \text { me.DAT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { so dere } \\ \text { REFL well } \end{array}$ | dźo. <br> go.3sPRS | *To so mi dere dżo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'l am we | Il' (lit. 'It go | es itself to-m | e well.') |  |
| Ge | Es <br> it.NOM <br> 'I am we | geht go.3sPR Il' (lit. 'It go | mir <br> s me.DAT <br> es to-me well. | gut. <br> well <br> I.) |  |

- Despite being a translation, this phrase has not been affected by the extension of the to construction.
- probably because it is very frequently used
- frequently used items are less susceptible to change (Bybee 2007).


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

CUS

| $\varnothing$ | mi | so | dere | dżo. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | me.DAT | REFL | well | go.3sPRS |

*To so mi dere dźo.
'I am well' (lit. 'It goes itself to-me well.')
Ge

| Es | geht | mir | gut. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| it.NOM | go.3sPRS | me.DAT | well |

'I am well' (lit. 'It goes to-me well.')

- Despite being a translation, this phrase has not been affected by the extension of the to construction.
- probably because it is very frequently used
- frequently used items are less susceptible to change (Bybee 2007).
- Compare the preservation of the British English greeting How do you do? in the face of the rise of the progressive, as in How are you doing? (Tottie 1991).


## Contact-induced constructional change

- A typology based on the degree to which a construction is altered as a result of contact:
- an existing construction is used more frequently
- an existing construction is used for a new function
- an existing construction is formally modified, i.e. constructional calquing
- an existing construction is structurally altered to more closely match a corresponding ML construction, i.e. metatypy occurs.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

- Constituent order in clauses
- Link (= Topic), Focus (Vallduví 1992)

| Cz | Pavel | zabil | Petra |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pavel.NOM | killed | Petr.ACC |

'Pavel killed Petr.'

| Petra | zabil | Pavel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Petr.ACC | killed | Pavel.NOM |
| 'Petr was killed by Pavel.'/'It was Pavel who killed Petr.' |  |  |


| Pavel | Petra | zabil |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pavel.NOM | Petr.ACC | killed |
| 'Pavel killed Petr."/'Killed was what Petr did to Pavel.' |  |  |

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| Cz | My | jsme | se | uči-li |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | we | AUX.1pPRS | REFL.ACC | study-PTCP.p |

'We studied/were studying.'

| Učil-a | se-s? | (se-s < jse se) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| study-PTCP.f AUX.2sPRS-REFL |  |  |
| Were you studying? |  |  |

- There is a complication in Czech: auxiliaries are second-position (Wackernagel) clitics
- The history of auxiliary cliticisation in Polish is somewhat complicated, but it is likely that Czech is more conservative in this regard (Borsley \& Rivero 1994, Migdalski 2004)


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian



- Unlike all other Slavic languages, by default a CUS clause is verb-final.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | To ja | $z$ | ruku šo wólpokwem |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| that.ACC I with hand.sINS all wash.up | S...O...V |  |  |
| 'I'll wash all that up by hand.' |  |  |  |

CUS zo tam jen pólcaj prede towo awta stój. that there a.NOM policeman in.front.of the car.GEN.N stand.3sPST '(he saw) that a policeman was standing there in front of the car.'

- Unlike all other Slavic languages, by default a CUS clause is verb-final.

CUS Čora jo so hrimotało.
SAux...O...v yesterday be.3sPRS REFL thunder.N.PTCP
'Yesterday it thundered.'

- An auxiliary is in second position (as in Czech)


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

| CUS | To ja | juku sólpokwem | ruku | S...O...V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | that.ACC I with hand.sINS all wash.up |  |  |  |
| 'I'll wash all that up by hand.' |  |  |  |  |

CUS zo tam jen pólcaj prede towo awta stój. that there a.NOM policeman in.front.of the car.GEN.N stand.3sPST '(he saw) that a policeman was standing there in front of the car.'

- Unlike all other Slavic languages, by default a CUS clause is verb-final.

CUS Čora jo so hrimotało. SAux...O...v yesterday be.3sPRS REFL thunder.N.PTCP 'Yesterday it thundered.'

- An auxiliary is in second position (as in Czech)
- Its dependent lexical verb is in final position.


## German

| Ge | Gestern | sah | ich im | Park | eine | Mann man |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | yesterday | saw | in.th | park | a.sA |  |  |
|  | 'Yesterday I saw a man in the park.' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Main (independent) clauses are verb-second (V2).

X V (S)... 0 ...

## German



- Main (independent) clauses are verb-second (V2).

Ge Gestern habe ich im Park einen Mann gesehen. yesterday have.1sPRS I in.the.sDAT.M park a.sACC.M man see.PTCP 'Yesterday I saw a man in the park.'

- If there is an auxiliary, it occupies V2 position and the dependent lexical verb is clause-final.

```
X V (S)... 0
X Aux (S)...O v
```


## German

Ge Der Mann, den ich gestern im Park sah... the man, REL.sACC.M I yesterday in.the.sDAT.M park saw.1sPST 'The man I saw in the park yesterday ...'

- Subordinate clauses are verb-final.
S...O...V


## German

Ge Der Mann, den ich gestern im Park sah... the man, REL.sACC.M I yesterday in.the.sDAT.M park saw.1sPST 'The man I saw in the park yesterday ...'

- Subordinate clauses are verb-final.

Ge Der Mann, den ich gestern im Park gesehen habe... the man, REL.sACC.M I yesterday in.the park see.PTCPhave.1sPRS 'The man I saw in the park yesterday ...'

- If there is an auxiliary in a subordinate clause, it occupies final position and usually follows the dependent lexical verb.

S...O...V<br>S...O v Aux

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

CUS
S...O...V or SAux...O...v

Ge Main: S...V...O or SAux...O...v
Ge Subord:S...O...V or S...O...v Aux

- If we compare the structures of the two languages (ignoring Ge V2, which doesn't greatly affect the comparison), we see that
- CUS SOV matches the Ge subordinate clause construction, and


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

CUS
Ge Main: S...V...O or
Ge Subord: S...O...V or S...O...vAux

- If we compare the structures of the two languages (ignoring Ge V2, which doesn't greatly affect the comparison), we see that
- CUS SOV matches the Ge subordinate clause construction, and
- CUS SAuxOV matches the Ge main clause construction


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

CUS S...O...V or SAux[...O...]v

Ge Main: S...V...O or SAux[...O...]v
Ge Subord: S...O...V or S...O...vAux

- Sorbian, like German, has a Satzklammer, a clausal bracket construction SAux[...O...]v with
- the auxiliary in second-position and
- the dependent lexical verb at the end
- These constituents bracket most of the rest of the clause.


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

- How did the Sorbian lexical verb come to be positioned at the end of the clause?


## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

- More likely that Sorbian copied a Ge main clause construction than a subordinate clause construction
- This would have been the Ge SAuxOV main-clause construction, as its auxiliary position matched that of Sorbian S(Aux)OV.

| CUS | S...O...V or SAux...O...V |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ge Main: | S...V...O or SAux...O...V |

Ge Subord: S...O...V or S...O...VAux

## Colloquial Upper Sorbian

- More likely that Sorbian copied a Ge main clause construction than a subordinate clause construction
- This would have been the Ge SAuxOV main-clause construction, as its auxiliary position matched that of Sorbian S(Aux)OV.

CUS
Ge Main: S...V...O or SAux...O...V
Ge Subord: S...O...V or S...O...VAux

- Since in early West Slavic there was flexible clause order, the clitic Auxiliary being the only fixed position, it was natural for speakers to treat SAuxOV as a variant of SOV, later expanding use of the latter by analogy.


## Shift-induced change

- Shift-induced change:
- Shift that entails imperfect language learning by adults.
- Such cases are relatively rare.


## Rural Irish English

- 1169 Anglo-Norman invasion: English entered Ireland
- Language of majority continued to be Irish Gaelic, a Celtic language.
- around 1750: English began to spread among people of Irish descent-British were economically and politically dominant
- 1750-1900: Language shift: bilingualism in Irish and English became established first in and around Belfast and Dublin and spread outwards from the cities, leading to language shift (McCafferty 2004)


## Contact-induced constructional change

- A typology based on the degree to which a construction is altered as a result of contact:
- an existing construction is used more frequently
- an existing construction is used for a new function
- an existing construction is formally modified, i.e. constructional calquing
- an existing construction is structurally altered to more closely match a corresponding ML construction, i.e. metatypy occurs.


## Rural Irish English

- An existing construction is used more frequently

BrEn It's John who went to Derry yesterday. (i.e. not David etc)

- Clefting is used in British English for contrastive focus


## Rural Irish English

- An existing construction is used more frequently

BrEn It's John who went to Derry yesterday. (i.e. not David etc)

- Clefting is used in British English for contrastive focus

BrEn John went to Derry yesterday. (i.e. not David etc)

- British English also uses intonation for this purpose, with a high falling tone
- Irish regularly uses clefts for this purpose, resulting in a higher incidence of clefts in rural Irish English than in British English (Harris 1991:198).


## Rural Irish English

- An existing construction is used for a new function
- Irish English clefts differ from Standard English clefts both functionally and syntactically, on the model of Irish.


## Rural Irish English

- An existing construction is used for a new function
- Irish English clefts differ from Standard English clefts both functionally and syntactically, on the model of Irish.

A father asks his son, 'What has happened to you?' The son answers,
IrEn It was Mícheál Rua who gave me a beating. (Filppula 1986)
IrGa Mícheál Rua a
Mícheál Rua
REL beat mé

- In Irish, clefting is used not only for contrastive focus. It is also used for sentence focus, where all the information is new. It also occurs in this function in Irish English (Harris 1991:198).


## Rural Irish English

- An existing construction is used for a new function
- Irish English clefts differ from Standard English clefts both functionally and syntactically, on the model of Irish.

A father asks his son, 'What has happened to you?' The son answers,
IrEn It was Mícheál Rua who gave me a beating. (Filppula 1986)
IrGa Mícheál Rua a
Mícheál Rua
REL beat mé

- In Irish, clefting is used not only for contrastive focus. It is also used for sentence focus, where all the information is new. It also occurs in this function in Irish English (Harris 1991:198).
- In British English this is pragmatically infelicitous, as it presupposes that there has already been mention of the son receiving a beating


## Rural Irish English

- An existing construction is formally modified, i.e. constructional calquing
- In Standard English clefts the elements that may be extracted as focal constituents are limited to
- subject NP
- object NP
- complement of preposition
- certain types of adjunct
- Verbs, subject complements, and manner adjuncts cannot be extracted.


## Rural Irish English

| IrGa ls ag déanamh a chuid ceachtannaíatá Tadhg. |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | is at doing | his portion lessons REL.be Tim |

IrEn It's doing his lessons that Tim is. (Focus = non-tensed VP; Harris 1991:197)
'Tim is doing his lessons'/'What Tim is doing is his lessons.'

## Rural Irish English

| IrGa | Is ag déanamh a chuid ceachtannaíatá Tadhg. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | is at doing | his portion lessons REL.be Tim |

IrEn It's doing his lessons that Tim is. (Focus = non-tensed VP; Harris 1991:197) 'Tim is doing his lessons'/'What Tim is doing is his lessons.'

IrGa ls caochta atá sé
is drunk REL.be he
IrEn It's drunk he is. (Focus = subject complement: Harris 1991:198)
'He's drunk.'/'What he is is drunk.'

## Rural Irish English

| IrGa ls ag déanamh a chuid ceachtannaí atá Tadhg. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | is at doing | his portion lessons REL.be Tim |

IrEn It's doing his lessons that Tim is. (Focus = non-tensed VP; Harris 1991:197) 'Tim is doing his lessons'/'What Tim is doing is his lessons.'

IrGa ls caochta atá sé is drunk REL.be he

IrEn It's drunk he is. (Focus = subject complement: Harris 1991:198) 'He's drunk.'/'What he is is drunk.'

IrGa Níg o=maith a chonaic sé iad
is.not well REL see.PST he them
IrEn It's not well he saw them. (Focus = manner adverb; Harris 1991:198) 'He didn’t see them well.'

## Rural Irish English

IrEn They are after [doing the work]. They have (just) completed the work.' (Hickey 2010:156, 2013:95)

IrGa Tá siad tar éis [an obair a dhéanamh] bePRS they after the work COMP do.VERBAL.NOUN 'They have done the work.' (McCafferty 2004:114)

IrEn She's after [selling the boat].
'She has just sold the boat.' (Harris 1991:205)
IrGa Tá sí tréis [an bád a dhíol]
bePRS she after the boat COMP sell
'She has sold the boat.' (Harris 1991:205)

## Rural Irish English

- An existing construction is structurally altered to more closely match a corresponding ML construction, i.e. metatypy occurs.


## Conclusions

- Contact-induced constructional change is evidently constrained by two sets of factors (Muysken 2013)
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- Features of the languages in contact: the typological distance between them and whether they are morphosyntactically and semantically transparent (Johanson 2002:44-47)


## Conclusions

- Contact-induced constructional change is evidently constrained by two sets of factors (Muysken 2013)
- Features of the languages in contact: the typological distance between them and whether they are morphosyntactically and semantically transparent (Johanson 2002:44-47)
- Speakers' recognition of congruence between their two languages, which determines where copying can occur to render them yet more congruent.


## Conclusions

- Outcomes of
- Bilingually induced change:
- large-scale lexical calquing, increased complexity, and occasionally metatypy


## Conclusions

- Outcomes of
- Bilingually induced change:
- large-scale lexical calquing, increased complexity, and occasionally metatypy
- Shift-induced change:
- phonological copying and occasionally decreased complexity


## Thank you

