Intensifiers, reflexivity, and logophoricity in Akhvakh

Akhvakh is a Nakh-Daghestanian language belonging to the Andic branch of the Avar-Andic-Tseziec family, spoken in the western part of Daghestan and in the village of Axax-dərə (ašoƛi hani) near Zaqatala (Azerbaijan). The analysis of Akhvakh intensifiers, reflexives and logophorics proposed in this presentation is based on narratives collected in Axax-dərə. The variety of Akhvakh spoken in Axax-dərə belongs to the Northern Akhvakh dialect presented in Magomedbekova 1967.

This presentation deals with the uses of the pronoun ži-,¹ in its simple form and in the form enlarged by the addition of the intensifying particle -da. The use of identical or related forms in intensifying, reflexive, and logophoric functions is by no means unusual, and cognate pronouns with similar functions are found in the other Andic languages, but Akhvakh ži- shows some uncommon features which deserve to be examined.

The intensifying particle -da is mainly found attached to demonstratives in determiner function, to 1st or 2nd person pronouns, and to the pronoun ži-.² The forms resulting from the addition of -da to 1st / 2nd person pronouns or to ži- are used as intensifiers in the sense of König and Gast 2006.

(1) eq-a me-de-da riƛ’i-gunu či b-ik’w-ala b-iž-a
   look-INV 2SG-ERG-INT meat-EL what N-be-COND N-cook-INV
   ‘Decide yourself what you should prepare with this meat’

(2) χwe-ƛəiƛə-a-da gaza b-οƛ-ƛə-awi
   dog-DAT ŽI-OF/N-DAT-INT nothing N-happen-NEG-EVID.N
   ‘Nothing happened to the dog itself’

Reflexivity (either strictly ‘local’ or ‘long-distance’ reflexivity) triggers the use of the same pronominal forms characterized by the attachment of the intensifying particle -da.

(3) du-da ači-ƛəi-k’ene du-ƛə-da kw-ƛə-da be gwij-a
   2SG.O-INT money-OF/N-COMIT 2SG.O-ESS-INT want-IPF(PTC)-N do-INV
   ‘Do what you want with your own money’

(4) q’iru b-ɛχ-e i-ssu-da q’ɛɬe-ƛəi-ga t’eni
   wheat N-take-PINV ŽI-OM-INT bag-OF/N-LAT put-NAR
   ‘He took some wheat and put it into his own bag’

But in contrast to 1st and 2nd person pronouns (dene ‘I’ > dene-da ‘myself’, etc.), the 3rd person intensifier or reflexive pronoun ži-...-da is not morphologically derived from an ordinary 3rd person pronoun. Akhvakh does not have 3rd person pronouns proper, and uses demonstratives (whose stems are based on roots ha- ‘proximal’ and hu- ‘distal’) to represent discourse salient referents. In other words, synchronically, ži-...-da is the intensive or reflexive counterpart of demonstrative pronouns, with which it bears no formal resemblance.

The simple form ži- is used only as a logophoric pronoun in the strictest sense of this term: ži- occurs only in reported speech explicitly introduced by eƛ’uruƛa ‘say’ or another verb of saying (such as huloruƛa ‘shout’, or ræk’unula ‘ask’), and represents the author of the reported speech.
The person to whom the reported speech is attributed cannot be a 1st or 2nd person participant, which constitutes a common restriction in the use of logophoric pronouns. But in some other respects, ži- shows uncommon characteristics. Apart from the use of ži-, the stretches of discourse within which ži- occurs have every characteristic of direct speech, contrary to the common assumption that clausal complements of verb of saying universally constitute the most central type of context within which logophoric pronouns are found. Deictics (in particular, 2nd person pronouns) always refer to the author of the reported speech, not to the person reporting. In addition to that, the reported speech passages including ži- may be relatively long sequences of sentences which cannot be analyzed as clausal complements of the verb introducing the reported speech.

(5) molla rasadi-de eč'-awi:
Molla Rasadi-ERG say-EVID.N
“Molla Rasadi said:

ĩ-ssw-e osso-ga eč’-e-či b-ik’w-iλεič’a k’ar-a-λ’a
ŽI-OM-ERG 2PL-DAT say-PCONV-INTER N-be-PF.NEG stone tie-INJ-QUOT
ĩ-ssu-ge λ’a?
ŽI-OM-ESS on.ESS
“Didn’t I tell you that a stone should be tied on me?

uss-e q’ori k’ar-ari λ’a, ĩ-ssw-e-la λ’onu b-eq-ada;
2PL-ERG board tie-CAUS.PF on.ESS ŽI-OM-ERG-and on.EL N-take off-PF.1/2
You tied a board, and I took it off;

ĩč’a k’ar-aj-e b-ik’w-ãčala ĩ-ssu-ge, ĩ-ssw-e b-eq-ida b-ik’w-iλe
stone tie-CAUS-INJ N-be-COND ŽI-OM-ESS ŽI-OM-ERG N-takeoff-IPF N-be-PFNEG

if you had tied a stone on me, I would not have taken it off.”

In fact, the use of ži- instead of the 1st person pronoun to represent the author of the reported speech is the only thing that distinguishes stretches of discourse including ži- from canonical direct speech. Moreover, the use of ži- is never obligatory, and speakers always accept the replacement of ži- by a 1st person pronoun without any readjustment in the context, and with no difference in meaning. Consequently, ži- does not fulfill the disambiguating function commonly considered as an essential feature in the use of logophorics.

In conclusion, a comparison with the available data on the use of cognate forms in other Andic languages will be proposed.

Notes
1. The root ži- appears in the absolute case only, with gender/number markers attached to it. Case suffixes attach to an oblique stem formed from the suppletive root i-.
2. --da has been encountered attached to some adverbs too (for example, hāže-da ‘in this very moment’), but can attach neither to the head noun of canonical NPs nor to proper names.
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