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## 1. Introduction

- Language spoken in Casamance (South of Senegal) by almost 7,000 speakers.
- Northern group / West Atlantic branch / Niger-Congo family (Greenberg, 1963).
- Noun class language.
- Vowel harmony system: 2 types of vowels according to ATR ${ }^{1}$ feature.
- SVOX clause structure and presence of subject marker is generally obligatory.


## 2. Ditransitive constructions in Jóola Banjal

Jóola Banjal has about 10 ditransitive verbs : e-sen 'give', e-nnom 'buy', e-gitten 'tell', ekkúet 'steal', e-bon 'send', e-ppégul 'open', e-fíren 'forbid', $e$-roren 'ask', e-ccam 'pay', etc. The 2 objects of these verbs behave apparently like the monotransitive patient.
(1) a. Atejo na-teg-e a-nnil axu

Atejo s3s-bit-TAM CL1-child CL1.DEM 4 Atejo bit the child.

[^0]$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { b. } & \text { na-sen-e } & \text { si-rálam } & \varnothing \text {-aare } & \text { axu } \\ & \text { s3s-give-TAM } & \text { CL4-money } & \text { CL1-woman } & \text { CL1.DEM } 4 \\ & \text { He gave money to the woman. } & \end{array}$

### 2.1. Coding properties

### 2.1.1. Linear order of the two objects

When R is not higher than T in animacy hierarchy: 'give’ - theme - recipient is strict (2).
When R is higher than T in animacy hierarchy: 'give' - theme - recipient or 'give' - recipient

- theme (3) \& (4).
(2)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. } & \text { na-sen-e } & \text { su-júr-ol } & \text { ø-áine } & \text { axumu } \\ & \text { s3s-give-TAM } & \text { CL4-daughter-PSS3s } & \text { CL1-man } & \text { CL1.DEM } \\ & \text { He gave his daughters to this man. } & & \end{array}$
b. na-sen-e ø-áine axumu su-júr-ol
s3s-give-TAM CL1-man CL1.DEM ${ }_{2}$ CL4-daughter-PSS3s
He gave this man to his daughters.
(3) a. ø-aare axu na-sen-e fu-mangu a-nnil axu

CL1-woman CL1.DEM 4 s3s-give-TAM CL7-mango CL1-child CL1.DEM 4 The woman gave a mango to the child.
b. $\varnothing$-aare axu na-sen-e a-nnil axu fu-mangu (same translation as in a.)
(4)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. } & \text { na-gitten-e } & \text { bug-an-ol } & \text { e-lob } & \text { yayu } \\ \text { s3s-tell-TAM } & \text { CL2-person-PSS3s } & \text { CL3-story } & \text { CL3.DEM } \\ \text { He told the story to his relatives. }\end{array}$
b. na-gitten-e e-lob yayu bug-an-ol (same translation as in a.)

### 3.1.2. The indexation of the two objects

The ordering of the object markers is always: 'give' - recipient - theme, whether R is higher or not than $T$ in animacy hierarchy.

[^1]b. na-sen-ol-il-sen ${ }^{2}$
s3s-give-03s-o3p-give
He gave them to him.
(6)
a. na-sen-e $\varnothing$-áine axumu su-júr-ol
s3s-give-TAM CL1-man CL1.DEM $_{2}$ CL4-daughter-PSS3s
He gave this man to his daughters.
b. na-sen-il-ol-sen
s3s-give-03p-03s-give
He gave him to them.
a. na-sen-e a-nnil axu ju-joba
s3s-give-TAM CL1-child CL1.DEM 4 CL11-dog
He gave a small dog to the child.
b. na-sen-ol-jo-sen
s3s-give-o3s-CL11.PRO-give
He gave it to him.
(8) a. na-sen-e gu-mangu w-aareaw
s3s-give-TAM CL8-mango CL6-woman.DEF
He gave mangos to women.
b. na-sen-il-go-sen
s3s-give-03p-CL8.PRO-give
He gave them to them.

Two identical object markers may follow each other, when they represent arguments with the same semantic properties or arguments belonging to the same noun class.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. } & \text { na-sen-e } & \text { a-nnil } & \text { axu } & \varnothing \text {-aareaw } \\ & \text { s3s-give-TAM } & \text { CL1-child } & \text { CL1.DEM } & \text { CL1-woman.DEF }\end{array}$
He gave the child to the woman.
b. na-sen-ol-ol-sen
s3s-give-03s-03s-give
He gave him to her.

[^2]a. ni-sen-e su-joba sasu si-nnay sls-give-TAM CL4-dog CL4.DEM 4 CL4-meat I gave meat to the dog.
b. ni-sen-so-so-sen
s1s-give-CL4.PRO-CL4.PRO-give I gave it to them.

### 2.2. Syntactic properties

### 3.2.1. Relativization

| a. | e-bé $\quad$ yayu | e-tij-e | fu-mangu | fafu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CL3-cow | CL3.DEM | CL3-eat-TAM | CL7-mango | CL7.DEM |

b. fu-mangu fafu f-o e-bé yayu e-tin-me CL7-mango CL7.DEM 4 CL7-REL CL3-cow CL3.DEM 4 CL3-eat-DEP The mango that the cow ate.
a. $\varnothing$-aare axu na-sen-e fu-mangu a-nnil axu CL1-woman CL1.DEM 4 s3s-give-TAM CL7-mango CL1-child CL1.DEM 4 The woman gave the mango to the child.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { b. } & \text { fu-mangu } & \text { fafu } & \text { f-o } & \varnothing \text {-aare } & \text { axu } & \text { a-sen-me } \\ & \text { CL7-mango } & \text { CL7.DEM } 44 & \text { CL7-REL } & \text { CL1-woman } & \text { CL1.DEM } & \text { s3s-give-DEP }\end{array}$
a-nnil axu
CL1-child CL1.DEM 4
The mango that the woman gave to the child.
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { c. } & \text { a-nnil } & \text { axu } & \text { Ø-o } & \text { a-aare } & \text { axu } & \text { a-sen-me } \\ & \text { CL1-child } & \text { CL1 }{ }^{2} \text { DEM } & \text { CL1-REL } & \text { CL1- woman } & \text { CL1-DEM } & \text { s3s-give-DEP }\end{array}$
CL1-child CL1.DEM 4 CL1-REL CL1-woman CL1.DEM 4 s3s-give-DEP
fu-mangu fafu
CL7-mango CL7.DEM 4
The child to whom the woman gave the mango.

In sentences (12)b\&c, we observe that the theme and the recipient are treated like the monotransitive patient. The form of the relativizer as well as that of the verb are identical in each sentence.

### 3.2.2. Topicalization

(13)
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. } & \begin{array}{ll}\text { Atejo } & \text { na-sen-e }\end{array} \quad \text { bu-nux } & \text { babu } & \text { u-an-a-aw } \\ \text { Atejo s3s-give-TAM } & \text { CL5-wine } & \text { CL5.DEM }_{4} & \text { CL6-farm-AG-DEF } \\ \text { Atejo gave the wine to the farmers. }\end{array}$
b. bu-nux babu Atejo na-sen-bo u-aj-a-aw CL5-wine CL5.DEM 4 Atejo s3s-give-CL5.PRO CL6-farm-AG-DEF The wine, Atejo gave it to the farmers.
c. u-an-a-aw Atejo na-sen-il bu-nux babu CL6-farm-AG-DEF Atejo s3s-give-o3p CL5-wine CL5.DEM 4 The farmers, Atejo gave them the wine.

The conclusion here is identical to that of the relativization test: the theme and the recipient behave like the monotransitive patient.

### 3.2.3. Passivization

a. Atejo na-jug-e figen si-bé sasu
Atéjo s3s-see-TAM yesterday CL4-cow CL4.DEM $_{4}$ Atéjo saw the cows yesterday.
b. si-bé sasu su-jug-i figen CL4-cow CL4.DEM 4 CL4-see-PSF yesterday The cows have been seen yesterday.

When we look at the following sentences, we see that it is only the theme that is treated like the monotransitive patient. In the same conditions, the recipient cannot be the subject of a passive construction (16).

| a. | ø-aare | axu | na-sen-e | fu-mangu | a-nnil | axu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CL1-woman | CL1.DEM 4 | s3s-give-TAM | CL7-mango | CL1-child | CL1.DEM 4 |
|  | The woman gave a mango to the child. |  |  |  |  |  |

b. fu-mangu fi-sen-i a-jnil axu

CL7-mango CL7-give-PSF CL1-child CL1.DEM 4 A mango has been given to the child.

```
c. a-nnil axu fu-mangu gu-sen-ol
    CL1-child CL1.DEM4 CL7-mango s3p-give-o3s
    The child was given a mango (litt. The child, it's a mango they gave him.)
```

| (16) | *a-nfil | axu | na-sen-i | fu-mangu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CL1-child | CL1.DEM4 | s3s-give-PSF | CL7-mango |  |

### 3.2.4. Reflexivization and reciprocalization

## Reflexivization

a. Gáleto na-lluj-e Atejo Galeto s3s-see-TAM Atejo Galeto looked at Atejo.
b. Gáleto na-lluj-oro-e

Galeto s3s-see-REFL-TAM
Galeto looked at himself.
a. $\varnothing$-aare axu na-sen-e fu-mangu $\varnothing$-áine axu CL1-woman CL1.DEM 4 s3s-give-TAM CL7-mango CL1-man CL1.DEM 4 The woman gave a mango to the child.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. } & \varnothing \text {-aare } & \text { axu } & \text { na-sen-oro-e } \\ & \text { fu-mangu } \\ & \text { CL1-woman } & \text { CL1.DEM } & \text { s3s-give-REFL-TAM }\end{array}$ CL7-mango

The co-reference between subject and theme in a ditransitive construction is not expressed by a derivational suffix, but with the noun fu-xow 'head' followed by a direct possessive (-ol).

| $\varnothing$-aare | axu | na-sen-e | fu-go-ol | ø-áine | axu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CL1-woman | CL1.DEM | s3s-give-TAM | CL7-head-PSS3s | CL1-man | CL1.DEM 4 | The woman gave herself to the man (litt. The woman gave her head to the man).

ni-sen-i fu-go-om
s1s-give-o2s CL7-head-PSS1s
I gave myself to you (litt. I gave you my head).

## Reciprocalization

(21) Atejo ni Gáleto gu-ssaf-or-e

Atejo and Galeto s3p-greet-RECIPR-TAM Atejo and Galeto greeted each other.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { gu-tteg-or-e } \\
\text { s3p-bit-RECIPR-TAM }
\end{array}  \tag{22}\\
\text { They punched each other. }
\end{array}
$$

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. } & \text { gu-bon-or-bon-or } & \text { si-letar } \\ \text { s3p-sen-RECIPR-send-RECIPR } & \text { CL4-letter } \\ \text { They sent letters to each other. }\end{array}$

When the subject is in a reciprocal relation with the theme, the recipient is introduced by the preposition ni 'at'.

| gu-mulakken-or-mulakken-or | ni | a-ffan | e-súk |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s3p-denounce-RECIPR-denounce-RECIPR | at | CL1-old | CL3-village |
| They gave each other to the chief of the village. |  |  |  |

## Conclusion

|  | coding properties | syntactic properties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | linear order \& indexation | relativization \& topicalization | passivization | reflexivization \& reciprocalization |
| Verb monotransitive | [ object | [ object | Object | object $]$ |
| Verb ${ }_{\text {ditransitive }}$ | theme recipient | theme recipient | theme recipient | recipient theme |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Abbreviations: 1s: $1^{\text {st }}$ person singular; $3 \mathrm{~s}: 3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular; $3 \mathrm{p}: 3^{\text {rd }}$ person plural; ATR: Advanced Tongue Root; CL: noun class; DEP: dependence; DEF: definite; DEM: demonstrative ( $1=$ nearby; $2=$ distant; $3=$ vague; 4 = anaphoric); FOC: focalization; o: object marker; POST: post-prefix; PRIM: primary object; PRO: pronoun; PSF: passive; PSS: possessive; REFL: reflexive; REL: relativizer; s-: subject marker; -s: singular; -p: plural; TAM: Tense-Aspect-Mood.

[^1]:    a. na-sen-e su-júr-ol $\quad \varnothing$-áine axumu
    s3s-give-TAM CL4-daughter-PSS3s CL1-man CL1.DEM 2
    He gave his daughters to this man.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Jóola Banjal has two forms for the perfective. A simple form witch takes the TAM suffix $-e$, and a reduplicated form. When no constituent is focalized or topicalized, they can be used indifferently.

