Coding and syntactic properties of ditransitive constructions in Jóola Banjal

Alain-Christian BASSENE Laboratoire Dynamique du Langage CNRS/Université Lumière Lyon 2 alain-christian.bassene@univ-lyon2.fr

1. Introduction

- Language spoken in Casamance (South of Senegal) by almost 7,000 speakers.
- Northern group / West Atlantic branch / Niger-Congo family (Greenberg, 1963).
- Noun class language.
- Vowel harmony system: 2 types of vowels according to ATR¹ feature.
- SVOX clause structure and presence of subject marker is generally obligatory.

2. Ditransitive constructions in Jóola Banjal

Jóola Banjal has about 10 ditransitive verbs: *e-sen* 'give', *e-nnom* 'buy', *e-gitten* 'tell', *e-kkúet* 'steal', *e-bop* 'send', *e-ppégul* 'open', *e-fíren* 'forbid', *e-roren* 'ask', *e-ccam* 'pay', etc. The 2 objects of these verbs behave apparently like the monotransitive patient.

(1) a. Atejo na-teg-e a-nnil axu Atejo s3s-bit-TAM CL1-child CL1.DEM₄ Atejo bit the child.

-

Abbreviations: 1s: 1st person singular; 3s: 3rd person singular; 3p: 3rd person plural; ATR: Advanced Tongue Root; CL: noun class; DEP: dependence; DEF: definite; DEM: demonstrative (1 = nearby; 2 = distant; 3 = vague; 4 = anaphoric); FOC: focalization; o: object marker; POST: post-prefix; PRIM: primary object; PRO: pronoun; PSF: passive; PSS: possessive; REFL: reflexive; REL: relativizer; s-: subject marker; -s: singular; -p: plural; TAM: Tense-Aspect-Mood.

b. na-sen-e si-rálam ø-aare axu s3s-give-TAM CL4-money CL1-woman CL1.DEM₄ He gave money to the woman.

2.1. Coding properties

2.1.1. Linear order of the two objects

When R is not higher than T in animacy hierarchy: 'give' - theme - recipient is strict (2). When R is higher than T in animacy hierarchy: 'give' - theme - recipient or 'give' - recipient - theme (3) & (4).

- (2) a. na-sen-e su-júr-ol ø-áine axumu s3s-give-TAM CL4-daughter-PSS3s CL1-man CL1.DE M_2 He gave his daughters to this man.
 - b. na-sen-e ø-áine axumu su-júr-ol s3s-give-TAM CL1-man CL1.DEM₂ CL4-daughter-PSS3s He gave this man to his daughters.
- (3) a. ø-aare axu na-sen-e fu-mangu a-nnil axu

 CL1-woman CL1.DEM₄ s3s-give-TAM CL7-mango CL1-child CL1.DEM₄

 The woman gave a mango to the child.
 - b. ø-aare axu na-sen-e a-nnil axu fu-mangu (same translation as in a.)
- (4) a. na-gitten-e bug-an-ol e-lob yayu s3s-tell-TAM CL2-person-PSS3s CL3-story CL3.DEM₄ He told the story to his relatives.
 - b. na-gitten-e e-lob yayu bug-an-ol (same translation as in a.)

3.1.2. The indexation of the two objects

The ordering of the object markers is always: 'give' - recipient - theme, whether R is higher or not than T in animacy hierarchy.

(5) a. na-sen-e su-júr-ol ø-áine axumu s3s-give-TAM CL4-daughter-PSS3s CL1-man CL1.DEM₂ He gave his daughters to this man.

- b. na-sen-ol-il-sen² s3s-give-o3s-o3p-give
 He gave them to him.
- (6) a. na-sen-e ø-áine axumu su-júr-ol s3s-give-TAM CL1-man CL1.DEM₂ CL4-daughter-PSS3s He gave this man to his daughters.
 - b. na-sen-il-ol-sen s3s-give-o3p-o3s-give He gave him to them.
- (7) a. na-sen-e a-nnil axu ju-joba s3s-give-TAM CL1-child CL1.DEM₄ CL11-dog He gave a small dog to the child.
 - b. na-sen-ol-jo-sen s3s-give-o3s-CL11.PRO-give He gave it to him.
- (8) a. na-sen-e gu-mangu w-aareaw s3s-give-TAM CL8-mango CL6-woman.DEF He gave mangos to women.
 - b. na-sen-il-go-sen s3s-give-o3p-CL8.PRO-give He gave them to them.

Two identical object markers may follow each other, when they represent arguments with the same semantic properties or arguments belonging to the same noun class.

- (9) a. na-sen-e a-nnil axu ø-aareaw s3s-give-TAM CL1-child CL1.DEM4 CL1-woman.DEF He gave the child to the woman.
 - b. na-sen-ol-ol-sen s3s-give-o3s-o3s-give He gave him to her.

² Jóola Banjal has two forms for the perfective. A simple form witch takes the TAM suffix -*e*, and a reduplicated form. When no constituent is focalized or topicalized, they can be used indifferently.

- (10) a. ni-sen-e su-joba sasu si-nnaŋ s1s-give-TAM CL4-dog CL4.DEM4 CL4-meat I gave meat to the dog.
 - b. ni-sen-so-so-sen s1s-give-CL4.PRO-CL4.PRO-give I gave it to them.

2.2. Syntactic properties

3.2.1. Relativization

- (11) a. e-bé yayu e-tin-e fu-mangu fafu CL3-cow CL3.DEM4 CL3-eat-TAM CL7-mango CL7.DEM4 The cow ate the mango.
 - b. fu-mangu fafu f-o e-bé yayu e-tip-me CL7-mango CL7. DEM_4 CL7-REL CL3-cow CL3. DEM_4 CL3-eat-DEP The mango that the cow ate.
- (12) a. ø-aare axu na-sen-e fu-mangu a- \mathfrak{p} nil axu CL1-woman CL1.DEM $_4$ s3s-give-TAM CL7-mango CL1-child CL1.DEM $_4$ The woman gave the mango to the child.
 - b. fu-mangu fafu f-o ø-aare axu a-sen-me CL7-mango CL7.DEM4 CL7-REL CL1-woman CL1.DEM4 s3s-give-DEP a-nnil axu CL1-child CL1.DEM4 The mango that the woman gave to the child.
 - c. a-nnil axu ø-o a-aare axu a-sen-me CL1-child CL1.DEM4 CL1-REL CL1- woman CL1.DEM4 s3s-give-DEP fu-mangu fafu CL7-mango CL7.DEM4 The child to whom the woman gave the mango.

In sentences (12)b&c, we observe that the theme and the recipient are treated like the monotransitive patient. The form of the relativizer as well as that of the verb are identical in each sentence.

3.2.2. Topicalization

- (13) a. Atejo na-sen-e bu-nux babu u-an-a-aw
 Atejo s3s-give-TAM CL5-wine CL5.DEM₄ CL6-farm-AG-DEF
 Atejo gave the wine to the farmers.
 - b. bu-nux babu Atejo na-sen-bo u-an-a-aw CL5-wine CL5.DEM4 Atejo s3s-give-CL5.PRO CL6-farm-AG-DEF The wine, Atejo gave it to the farmers.
 - c. u-an-a-aw Atejo na-sen-il bu-nux babu CL6-farm-AG-DEF Atejo s3s-give-o3p CL5-wine CL5.DEM₄ The farmers, Atejo gave them the wine.

The conclusion here is identical to that of the relativization test: the theme and the recipient behave like the monotransitive patient.

3.2.3. Passivization

- (14) a. Atejo na-jug-e figen si-bé sasu Atéjo s3s-see-TAM yesterday CL4-cow CL4.DEM₄ Atéjo saw the cows yesterday.
 - b. si-bé sasu su-jug-i figen CL4-cow CL4.DEM₄ CL4-see-PSF yesterday The cows have been seen yesterday.

When we look at the following sentences, we see that it is only the theme that is treated like the monotransitive patient. In the same conditions, the recipient cannot be the subject of a passive construction (16).

- (15) a. ø-aare axu na-sen-e fu-mangu a-nnil axu
 CL1-woman CL1.DEM4 s3s-give-TAM CL7-mango CL1-child CL1.DEM4
 The woman gave a mango to the child.
 - b. fu-mangu fi-sen-i a-nnil axu
 CL7-mango CL7-give-PSF CL1-child CL1.DEM₄
 A mango has been given to the child.

- c. a-nnil axu fu-mangu gu-sen-ol
 CL1-child CL1.DEM₄ CL7-mango s3p-give-o3s
 The child was given a mango (litt. The child, it's a mango they gave him.)
- (16) *a-nnil axu na-sen-i fu-mangu CL1-child CL1.DEM4 s3s-give-PSF CL7-mango

3.2.4. Reflexivization and reciprocalization

Reflexivization

- (17) a. Gáleto na-lluj-e Atejo Galeto s3s-see-TAM Atejo Galeto looked at Atejo.
 - b. Gáleto na-lluj-**oro**-e Galeto s3s-see-REFL-TAM Galeto looked at himself.
- (18) a. ø-aare axu na-sen-e fu-mangu ø-áine axu CL1-woman CL1.DEM₄ s3s-give-TAM CL7-mango CL1-man CL1.DEM₄ The woman gave a mango to the child.
 - b. ø-aare axu na-sen-**oro**-e fu-mangu CL1-woman CL1.DEM₄ s3s-give-REFL-TAM CL7-mango The woman gave to herself a mango.

The co-reference between subject and theme in a ditransitive construction is not expressed by a derivational suffix, but with the noun *fu-xow* 'head' followed by a direct possessive (-ol).

- (19) ø-aare axu na-sen-e fu-go-ol ø-áine axu
 CL1-woman CL1.DEM₄ s3s-give-TAM CL7-head-PSS3s CL1-man CL1.DEM₄
 The woman gave herself to the man (litt. The woman gave her head to the man).
- (20) ni-sen-i fu-go-om s1s-give-o2s CL7-head-PSS1s I gave myself to you (litt. I gave you my head).

Reciprocalization

- (21) Atejo ni Gáleto gu-ssaf-**or**-e Atejo and Galeto s3p-greet-RECIPR-TAM Atejo and Galeto greeted each other.
- (22) a. gu-tteg-**or**-e su-mmox s3p-bit-RECIPR-TAM CL4-punch They punched each other.
 - b. gu-bon-or-bon-or si-letar s3p-sen-RECIPR-send-RECIPR CL4-letter They sent letters to each other.

When the subject is in a reciprocal relation with the theme, the recipient is introduced by the preposition ni 'at'.

gu-mulakken-or-mulakken-or ni a-ffan e-súk s3p-denounce-RECIPR-denounce-RECIPR at CL1-old CL3-village They gave each other to the chief of the village.

Conclusion

	coding properties	syntactic properties		
	linear order &	relativization &	passivization	reflexivization &
	indexation	topicalization		reciprocalization
		_		
Verb monotransitive	object	object	object	object
Verb ditransitive	theme recipient	theme recipient	theme recipient	recipient theme

Bibliographie

- Bassène, A.-C., Sous presse, 'Morphosyntaxe du jóola banjal, langue atlantique du Sénégal', 34, Prof. Dres. W. Moehlig and Bernd Heine, Cologne, Rudiger Koppe Verlag, 320 p., Gramm. Analyses of African Languages.
- Collins, C., 2004, The absence of the linker in double object construction in N|uu, Studies in African Linguistics 33.2, pp. 163-198.
- Comrie, B., 1982, Grammatical relations in Huichol. Studies in transitivity, ed. by Paul J. Hopper & Sandra A. Thompson (Syntax and semantics, 15), 95-115. New York: Academic Press.
- Creissels, D., 2003, 'Are There 'Indirect Objects' in African Languages?', 33rd Colloquium on African Languages and Linguistics, Leiden University.
- Dryer, M., S, 1986, Primary objects, secondary objects, and antidative, *Language*, 62.4:808-845.
- Dryer, M., S, 1983, Indirect objects in Kinyarwanda revisited, In *Studies in relational* grammar 1, David M. Perlmutter, ed. Chicago & London: The University of Chicago Press.
- Guillaume, A., A paraître, Ditransitivité en cavineña: constructions à double objet, *Amerindia*, 31, AEA, Paris.
- Haspelmath, M., 2005, Ditransitive constructions: the verb 'give'. In Haspelmath, M., M. Dryer, D. Gil, & B. Comrie (eds.), *The World Atlas of Language Structures*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 426-429.
- Haspelmath, M., 2006, Argument marking in ditransitive alignment types, *Linguistic Discovery* 3.1: 1-21.
- Newman, P., 1982, Grammatical restructuring in Hausa: indirect objects and possessives. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics* 4. 59-73.
- Queixalós, F., 2003, Relations grammaticales et hiérarchie des objets en sikuani, in Mésoamérique, Caraïbe, Amazonie. J. Landaburu & F. Queixalós (dir), *Faits de Langues* 21,2: 77-92.
- Siewierska, A., 2004, Person, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.