

Three Types of Ditransitive Verbs in Japanese

Hideki Kishimoto
Kobe University

1. Introduction

In this paper, I report that ditransitive verbs in Japanese are divided into three classes on the basis of their behavioral and coding properties. I show that ditransitive verbs have two major classes, which I refer to as ‘change-of-location’ and ‘change-of-possession’ verbs, and that there is one more class which shows mixed behavior.

2. Class Membership

In Japanese ditransitive verbs, arguments are marked with ‘nominative *ga*, dative *ni*, accusative *o*’, as illustrated in (1).

- (1) a. John-*ga* Mary-*ni* hon-*o* age-*ta*.
John-NOM Mary-DAT book-ACC give-PAST
‘John gave Mary a book.’
b. John-*ga* Mary-*ni* tegami-*o* okut-*ta*.
John-NOM Mary-DAT letter-ACC send-PAST
‘John sent a letter to Mary.’

Ditransitive verbs take three arguments, but there is no cross-referencing system such as agreement. Some examples of change-of-possession verbs (‘give’ type verbs) are given in (2) and change-of-location verbs (‘send’ type verbs) are given in (3):

- (2) a. verbs of giving: *ataeru* ‘give’, *teikyoo-suru* ‘offer’, *yakusoku-suru* ‘promise’, *wariateru* ‘assign’, *ageru* ‘give’, *sasi-dasu* ‘offer’, *yzuru* ‘offer’, *kuwaeru* ‘join’, *watasu* ‘hand’
b. verbs of future giving: *motomeru* ‘ask’, *yoosei-suru* ‘request’, *yurusu* ‘allow’, *hosyoo-suru* ‘guarantee’, *tanomu* ‘ask’
c. verbs of communication: *yuu* ‘tell’, *syookai-suru* ‘introduce’, *osieru* ‘teach’, *hookoku-suru* ‘report’, *tugeru* ‘tell’, *tazuneru* ‘ask’, *siteki-suru* ‘point out’, *miseru* ‘show’, *simesu* ‘show’
- (3) a. verbs of communication: *hookoku-suru* ‘report’, *renraku-suru* ‘contact’, *siraseru* ‘notify’, *meeru-suru* ‘email’, *tutaeru* ‘convey’,
b. verbs of sending: *okuru* ‘send’, *yuusoo-suru* ‘mail’, *yusoo-suru* ‘transport’, *nageru* ‘throw’, *dasu* ‘let out’, *ireru* ‘let in’
c. verbs of carrying: *motte-yuku/motte-kuru* ‘take/bring’

The *ni*-marked phrase *Mary-ni* (Mary-DAT) of the ‘give’ verb in (1a) behaves like a true NP, which is akin to the indirect object in (4a). On the other hand, the *ni*-marked phrase *Mary-ni* of ‘send’ verb in (1b) behaves like a PP, which is comparable to the *to*-dative in (4b).

- (4) a. John gave Mary a book.
 b. John sent a letter to Mary.

Japanese differs from English, in that verbs that appear in double object constructions are confined to change-of-possession verbs, and the other ditransitive verbs appear in the postpositional object construction. This is illustrated in (5).

- (5) a. English
- | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | (2a) | (2b) | (2c) | (3a) | (3b) | (3c) |
| Double object | <-----> | | | | | |
| P-Object | <-----> | | | | | |
- b. Japanese
- | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|
| | (2a) | (2b) | (2c) | (3a) | (3b) | (3c) |
| Double Object | <-----> | | | | | |
| P-Object | | | | <-----> | | |

3. Coding Properties

3.1. Basic Order

The arguments of ditransitive verbs may be placed in various positions as long as they are placed to the left of the verb.

- (6) a. John-ga hon-o Mary-ni age-ta.
 John-NOM book-ACC Mary-DAT give-PAST
 b. Mary-ni hon-o John-ga age-ta.
 Mary-DAT book-ACC John-NOM give-PAST
 c. hon-o Mary-ni John-ga age-ta.
 book-ACC Mary-DAT John-NOM give-PAST

We can assume that the basic word order is nominative-dative-accusative, and the base order of dative-accusative sequence can be checked by way of fixed idioms.

- (7) a. teki-ni senaka-o mise-ru
 enemy-DAT back-ACC show-PRES
 ‘show one’s back to the enemy (=retreat)’
 b. ?*senaka-o teki-ni miseru-ru
 back-ACC enemy-DAT show-PRES
- (8) a. usiro-ni te-o mawas-u
 back-DAT hand-ACC turn-PRES
 ‘turn hands to the back (=make a secrete arrangement)’

- b. *te-o usiro-ni mawas-u
hand-ACC back-DAT turn-PRES

Since idiomatic meanings are obtained when we have dative-accusative order, this order must be the basic.¹ Nominalization lends further support to this claim.

- (9) a. John-ga himawari-ni mizu-o yar-u
John-NOM sunflower-DAT water-ACC give-PRES
'give water to sunflowers'
b. (John-no) himawari-no mizu-no yari-kata
John-GEN sunflower-GEN water-GEN give-way
the way of giving water to sunflowers
c. *mizu-no himawari-no yari-kata
water-GEN sunflower-GEN give-way

When all the arguments are genitively marked, scrambling becomes unavailable. Since the agent-recipient-theme is the only available order in the nominal, the basic case marking pattern of Japanese ditransitive verbs should be 'nominative-dative-accusative'. (see Kishimoto 2006).

3.2. Potential Forms

In Japanese, potential forms can be fairly productively formed with the addition of the potential morphemes (*re*), (*re*)*are*.

- (10) a. John-ni kodomo-ni omotya-ga age-rare-ru.
John-DAT child-DAT toy-NOM give-can-PRES
'John can give his child a toy.'
b. John-ni seito-ni tegami-ga okur-e-ru.
John-DAT student-DAT letter-NOM send-can-PRES
'John can send a letter to his students.'

The status of the two dative markings differs.

- (11) a. John-ga kodomo-ni omotya-ga age-rare-ru
John-NOM child-DAT toy-NOM give-can-PRES
'John can give his child a toy.'

¹ Japanese has a number of idioms that look like taking an apparent accusative-dative order, as in (i).

(i) asi-o boo-ni suru
leg-ACC bar-COP make
'dead of feet'

Ni-marking on the noun *boo* is not a dative case marker, however. Rather, it should count as an adverbial form of a copula *da*. To my knowledge, all the idioms in this form occur with the causative *suru* 'make', which takes a small clause complement, rather than ditransitive predicates. Moreover, Miyagawa and Tsujioka (2004) discuss the existence of some idioms taking accusative-dative order, but in Kishimoto (2007b), I have argued that this does not reflect the base word order of the arguments of ordinary ditransitive predicates in Japanese.

- b. *John-ni kodomo-ga omotya-ga age-rare-ru
 John-DAT child-NOM toy-NOM give-can-PRES
 ‘John can give his child a toy.’

3.3. Alternative marking

In some cases, *ni*-marking can be replaced with genuine postpositions. We observe a difference between change-of-possession and change-of-location verbs.

- (12) a. John-ga kodomo-ni/??-e/*-made hon-o atae-ta.
 John-NOM child-DAT/-to/-up.to book-ACC give-PAST
 ‘John gave a book (up) to John.’
 b. John-ga gakkoo-ni/-e/-made nimotu-o okut-ta.
 John-NOM school-DAT/-to/-up.to luggage-ACC send-PAST
 ‘John sent his luggage (up) to his school.’

The postposition *e* carries the meaning of ‘to, toward’ (a destination) and *made* ‘up to’ (a limit of motion). They are compatible with change-of-location verbs, but not with change-of-possession verbs.

3.4. Recipient/Goal-subject variants

A large number of ditransitive verbs take source subjects, but some have recipient/goal-subject counterparts.

- (13) a. *ageru* ‘give’ \leftrightarrow *morau* ‘get’
 b. *todokeru* ‘deliver’ \leftrightarrow *uketoru* ‘receive’

For the recipient/goal-subject variants of change-of-possession verbs, the source arguments may be marked with either *kara* ‘from’ or dative case. The source-subject variants of change-of-location verbs can only be marked with *kara*.

- (14) a. John-ga sensei-kara/sensei-ni hon-o morat-ta.
 John-NOM teacher-from/teacher-DAT book-ACC get-PAST
 ‘John got the book from the teacher.’
 b. John-ga sensei-kara/*sensei-ni tegami-o uketott-ta.
 John-NOM teacher-from/teacher-DAT letter-ACC receive-PAST
 ‘John received the book from the teacher.’

3.5. Compound nominal describing reciprocal action

With some ditransitive verbs, a compound nominal can be formed by combining recipient/goal-subject and source-subject verbs. Their marking patterns are different.

- (15) tomodati-to-no/*-e-no/*-kara-no (omotya-no) yari-tori/yari-morai
 friend-with-GEN/-to-GEN/-from-GEN toy-GEN giving-taking/giving-getting
 ‘giving and taking (of toys) with/to/from friends’
 (16) a. gakkoo-e-no/-kara-no/*-to-no (kodomo-no) okuri-mukae
 school-to-GEN/from-GEN/with-GEN child-GEN sending-returning

- ‘sending and returning the child to/from/with the school’
- b. tomodati-kara-no/-e-no/*-to-no tutae-giki
 friend-from-GEN/-to-GEN/-with-GEN telling-hearing
 ‘telling and hearing from/to/with a friend.’

4. Behavioral Properties

4.1 Relativization and Wh-question

Both dative and accusative arguments are accessible to relativization, and there is no difference between the two classes of verbs. The same holds for *wh*-formation, because recipient and theme behave in the same way.

- (17) a. [John-ga Mary-ni age-ta/okut-ta] syorui
 John-NOM Mary-DAT give-PAST/send-PAST document
 ‘the documents which John gave/sent to Mary’
- b. [John-ga syorui-o age-ta/okut-ta] Mary
 John-NOM document-ACC give-PAST/send-PAST Mary
 ‘Mary, to whom John gave/sent the documents.’
- (18) a. John-wa dare-ni syorui-o age-ta/okut-ta no?
 John-TOP who-DAT document-ACC give-PAST/send-PAST Q
 ‘Who did John give/send the documents to?’
- b. John-wa Mary-ni nani-o age-ta/okut-ta no?
 John-TOP Mary-DAT what-ACC give-PAST/send-PAST Q
 ‘What did John give/send to Mary?’

4.2 Nominalization

In nominalization, nominative and accusative arguments are changed to genitive arguments marked with *no*. But dative case marking is changed to *e-no* ‘to-GEN’.

- (19) a. John-no Mary-e-no hon-no atae-kata
 John-GEN Mary-to-GEN book-ACC give-way
 ‘the way of John’s giving Mary a book’
- b. John-no Mary-e-no tegemi-no okuri-kata
 John-GEN Mary-to-GEN letter-ACC send-way
 ‘the way of John’s giving Mary a book’

4.3 Direct Passivization

Change-of-possession verbs allow both dative and accusative arguments to be promoted to passive subjects under direct passivization.

- (20) a. Kodomo-ga (okaasan-niyotte) hon-o atae-rare-ta.
 child-NOM mother-by book-ACC give-PASS-PAST
 ‘The child was given a book.’
- b. Hon-ga (okaasan-niyotte) kodomo-ni atae-rare-ta.
 book-NOM mother-by child-DAT give-PASS-PAST
 ‘The book was given to the child.’

]

- (21) a. Mary-ga nyuusitu-o yurus-are-ta.
 Mary-NOM room.entering-ACC allow-PASS-PAST
 ‘Mary was allowed to enter the room.’
 b. Nyuusitu-ga Mary-ni yurus-are-ta.
 room.entering-NOM Mary-DAT allow-PASS-PAST
 ‘Room entering was allowed for Mary.’

On the other hand, change-of-location verbs allow only accusative arguments to be promoted to passive subjects.

- (22) a. #Kodomo-ga (okaasan-niyotte) tegami-o okur-are-ta.
 child-NOM mother-by book-ACC send-PASS-PAST
 ‘The child was sent a letter.’
 b. Hon-ga (okaasan-niyotte) kodomo-ni okur-are-ta.
 book-NOM mother-by child-DAT send-PASS-PAST
 ‘The letter was sent to the child.’

(22a) is legitimate if interpreted as an ‘adversity’ or ‘indirect’ passive, rather than a ‘direct passive’, where the nominative subject is understood to be an affectee argument. The “affectee” subject is added by base-generation.

- (23) a. #John-ga kodomo-ni nak-are-ta.
 John-NOM child-DAT cry-pass-PAST
 ‘John was affected by the children’s crying.’
 b. *Kodomo-ga John-o nai-ta.
 child-NOM John-ACC cry-PAST
 ‘The child cried John.’

No direct passivization can be formed with a postpositional phrase.

- (24) a. John-ga kono-ginkoo-kara okane-o hikidasi-ta.
 John-NOM this-bank-from money-ACC withdraw-PAST
 ‘John withdraw money from this bank.’
 b. #Kono-ginkoo-ga John-niyotte okane-o hikidas-are-ta.
 this-bank-NOM John-by money-ACC withdraw-PASS-PAST
 ‘This bank was adversely affected by John’s withdrawing money.’
 c. Okane-ga John-niyotte kono-ginkyoo-kara hikidas-are-ta.
 money-NOM John-by this-bank-from withdraw-PASS-PAST
 ‘Money was withdrawn from this bank.’

In (22a), since the affectee is added without promotion, it is easy to add a real dative argument.

- (25) #Kodomo-ga imooto-ni tegami-o okur-are-ta.
 child-NOM sister-DAT book-ACC send-PASS-PAST
 ‘The child was adversely affected by sending a letter to his sister.’

It is possible to a dative argument to the direct passive clause in (20a), which involves a change-of-possession verb as well, but this addition radically changes the interpretation.

- (26) #Kodomo-ga imooto-ni hon-o atae-rare-ta.
 child-NOM sister-DAT book-ACC give-PASS-PAST
 ‘The child was given a book.’

4.4. Animacy constraint

The dative argument of a change-of-possession verb is confined to an animate entity. No such animacy constraint obtains with regard to a change-of-location verb.

- (27) John-ga {Mary-ni/*uti-ni} hon-o atae-ta.
 John-NOM Mary-DAT/home-DAT book-ACC give-PAST
 ‘John gave {Mary/his home} a book.’
 (28) John-ga {Mary-ni/uti-ni} tegami-o okut-ta.
 John-NOM Mary-to/home-to letter-ACC send-PAST
 ‘John sent a letter to {Mary/his home}.’

In English, the animacy constraint is often dependent on the syntactic frame of the construction, rather than verb class.

- (29) a. John sent {Mary/*Mary’s home} a letter.
 b. John sent a letter to {Mary/Mary’s home}.

In Japanese, the animacy constraint is determined solely based on the semantics of ditransitive verbs.

4.5. Complex Verb Formation

Compound verb formation is quite productive, and sometimes fairly regular for change-of-location verbs, so we can find a large number of (lexical) compound verbs. (Their basic meanings are more or less the same as that of the base verbs, but they differ in spatial orientation.)

- (30) a. *okuri-dasu* (send-let.out), *okuri-komu* (send-let.in), *okuri-tukeru* (send-attach),
 **okuri-ireru* (send-let.into) ...
 b. *nage-dasu* (throw-let.out) *nage-komu* (throw-let.in), *nage-tukeru*
 (throw-attach), *nage-ireru* (throw-let.into) ...
 c. *hakobi-dasu* (carry-out), *hakobi-komu* (carr-in), **hakobi-tukeru* (carry-attach),
hakobi-ireru (carry-let.into)....

We can sometimes find (lexical) gaps, but still, (30) shows a high productivity for change-of-location verbs. By contrast, change-of-possession verbs do not readily allow for compound verb formation.

- (31) a. **atae-dasu* (give-let.out), **atae-komu* (give-let.in), **atae-tukeru* (give-attach),
 **atae-ireru* (send-let.into) ...
 b. **mise-dasu* (show-let.out) **mise-komu* (show-let.in), *mise-tukeru*

- (show-attach), **mise-ireru* (throw-let.into) ...
- c. **yurusi-dasu* (carry-out), **yurusi-komu* (carry-in), **yurusi-tukeru* (carry-attach), **yurusi-ireru* (carry-let.into)....

Sporadically, we find compound verbs based on change-of-possession verbs describing transfer of knowledge, such as *mise-tukeru* in (31b), but this is far from regular.

4.6. Summary

Change-of-possession and change-of-location verbs pattern together in a number of linguistic phenomena, but their dative phrases behave differently in certain contexts.

5. Mixed Behavior Verbs: Verbs of Commercial Transaction

Verbs of commercial transaction like *uru* ‘sell’ *harau* ‘pay’ etc. This class of verbs shows a somewhat complex (mixed) behavior.

- (32) *uru* ‘sell’, *siharau* ‘pay’, *harau* ‘pay’, *kasu* ‘lend’, *tyuumon-suru* ‘order’, etc.

Verbs of commercial transaction fall into the class of change-of-location verbs, since they can sometimes be replaced with simple motion verbs. In (33), *deru* ‘go out’ is used synonymously with *ureru* ‘be sold’.

- (33) Kono-hon-wa moo ure-te/de-te simat-ta.
 this-book-TOP already sell-TE/go.out-TE finish-PAST
 ‘this book was already sold out.’

The recipient arguments of verbs of commercial transaction cannot be promoted to passive subjects under direct passivization, but accusative objects can.

- (34) a. John-ga huruhonya-ni hon-o ut-ta.
 John-NOM used.book.store-to book-ACC sell-PAST
 ‘John sold the books to the used book store.’
 b. #Huruhonya-ga hon-o ur-are-ta.
 used.bookstore-NOM book-ACC sell-PASS-PAST
 ‘The used book store was sold the books.’
- (35) a. John-ga Mary-ni okane-o harat-ta.
 John-NOM Mary-DAT money-ACC pay-PAST
 ‘John paid money to Mary.’
 b. #Mary-ga okane-o haraw-are-ta.
 Mary-NOM money-ACC pay-PASS-PAST
 ‘Mary was paid money.’
- (36) a. Hon-ga huruhonya-ni ur-are-ta.
 book-NOM used.book.store-to sell-PASS-PAST
 ‘The books were sold to the used book store.’
 b. Okane-ga Mary-ni haraw-are-ta.
 money-NOM Mary-to pay-PASS-PAST

‘Money was paid to Mary.’

Next, recipient/goal-subject counterparts of verbs of commercial transaction can mark their source with *kara* ‘from’ only.

- (37) John-wa Mary-kara/*-ni hon-o kat-ta.
 John-TOP Mary-from/-DAT book-ACC buy-PAST
 ‘John bought a book from Mary.’

Compound verb formation is quite productive.

- (38) a. *uri-dasu* (sell-let.out), *uri-komu* (sell-let.in), *uri-tukeru* (sell-attach),
 **uri-ireru* (sell-let.into) ...
 b. *kasi-dasu* (lend-let.out), *hasi-komu* (lend-let.in), *kasi-tukeru* (lend-attach),
 **kasi-ireru* (throw-let.into) (cf. *kari-ireru* (borrow-let.into))

This class of verbs is subject to the animacy constraint.

In nominal compounds, the dative phrase cannot be replaced with *made* ‘up to’, (and marginally with *e* ‘to’).

- (39) John-wa Mary-ni/(?)?-e/*-made hon-o ut-ta.
 John-TOP Mary-DAT/-to/-up.to book-ACC sell-PAST
 ‘John sold a book to Mary.’
 (40) John-ga {Mary-ni/*uti-ni} hon-o ut-ta.
 John-NOM Mary-DAT/home-DAT book-ACC sold-PAST
 ‘John gave {Mary/his home} a book.’

In reciprocal nominals, the original dative phrase is marked with *to* ‘with’, but not *e* ‘to’ or *kara*.

- (41) a. Mary-to-no/*-kara-no/*-e-no (hon-no) uri-kai
 Mary-with-GEN/-from-GEN/-to-GEN book-GEN sell-buy
 ‘selling and buying of books with Mary’
 b. Mary-to-no/*-kara-no/*-e-no (hon-no) kasi-kari
 Mary-with-GEN/from-GEN/to-GEN book-GEN lending-renting
 ‘lending and renting of books with Mary’

The properties (39) through (41) show that the dative argument of a verb of commercial transaction is subject to the animacy constraint.

(42)	C-of-P verbs	C-T verbs	C-of-L verbs
Double object	< ----- >		
Postpositional obj		< ----- >	
Animacy	< ----- >		

Conclusion

Japanese ditransitive verbs are partitioned into the two major classes of change-of-possession and change-of-location verbs. The former has the syntactic frame of the double object construction, but the latter, the postpositional object construction. The dative arguments of change-of-possession verbs, but not of change-of-location verbs, are constrained by the animacy constraint. But this constraint extends to the goal arguments of verbs of commercial transaction, which is syntactically classed as postpositional object construction.

Selected Bibliography

- Harley, Heidi (2002). "Possession and the double object construction." *Linguistic Variation Yearbook* 2, 31-70.
- Kishimoto, Hideki (2001a) "Nizyuu mokutekigo koobun (double object constructions)." In Taro Kageyama (ed.) *Nitieitaisyoo Doosi-no Imi-to Koobun (Verbs and Constructions in Japanese and English)*, pp. 127-153. Tokyo: Taishukan.
- Kishimoto, Hideki (2001b) "The role of lexical meanings in argument encoding: Double object verbs in Japanese." *Gengokenkyuu (Linguistic Research)* 120, 35-65.
- Kishimoto, Hideki (2006). "Japanese syntactic nominalization and VP-internal syntax." *Lingua* 116, 771-810.
- Kishimoto, Hideki (2007a). "The Janus-faced nature of dative marking in Japanese." ms. Kobe University.
- Kishimoto, Hideki (2007b). "Ditransitive idioms and argument structure." ms. Kobe University.
- Matsuoka, Mikinari (2003). "Two types of ditransitive constructions in Japanese." *Journal of East Asian Linguistics* 12, 171-203.
- Miyagawa, Shigeru and Takae Tsujioka (2004). "Argument structure and ditransitive verbs in Japanese." *Journal of East Asian Linguistics* 13, 1-38.

Hideki Kishimoto
Graduate School of Humanities,
Kobe University
1-1 Rokkodai-cho, Nada
Kobe, 657-8501
Japan
e-mail: kishimot@lit.kobe-u.ac.jp