

WALS and JM stabilities compared

WALS feature	WALS stability	JM feature	JM stability
10 Vowel nasalization	57% (very stable)	29 ..назализованные/неназализованные (nasals)	38% (stable)
1. Contrastive nasal vowels present	57% (very stable)		
2. Contrastive nasal vowels absent	57% (very stable)		
13 Tone	48,3% (stable)	484 .тон	39% (stable)
14 Fixed Stress Locations	26,1% (unstable)	458 ..фиксированность (fixed stress)	
1. No fixed stress	11,5% (very unstable)	459 ...несвязанное (no fixed stress)	5% (very unstable)
2. Initial: 1st syllable	37% (stable)	461начальный слог (1st syllable)	13% (very unstable)
7. Ultimate: last syl.	41,1% (stable)	468конечный слог (last syllable)	32% (unstable)
26 Prefixing vs. Suffixing in Inflectional Morphology	41,5% (stable)	3442 .модели словоформы (word form models)	
2. Predominantly suffixing	66,8% (very stable)	3446 ..преимущественно суффиксальная (pred. suf.)	21% (unstable)
31 Sex-based and Non-sex-based Gender Systems	80,8% (very stable)	1187 ..мотивация согласовательных классов (motivation for gender)	
2. Sex-based gender system	81.1% (very stable)	1203 ...пол (sex-based motivation)	59% (very stable)
33 Coding of Nominal Plurality	41,3% (stable)	1258 .способы выражения числа (coding of plurality — not explicitly nominal, however)	
1. Plural prefix	63,2% (very stable)	1260 ..аффиксация (affix)	34% (stable)
7. Plural word	25,4% (unstable)	1271 ..служебные слова (functional words)	18% (very unstable)
54 Distributive Numerals	44,5% (stable)	1329 ..распределительные (разделительные) (distributives)	38% (stable)
55 Numeral Classifiers	38,7% (stable)	1265 ..классификаторы (classifiers)	33% (stable)
65 Perfective/Imperfective Aspect	36% (stable)	1845 ...совершенный/несовершенный (perfective/imperfective)	22% (unstable)
73 The Optative	56,7% (very stable)	2362 ...желательность (optative)	20% (unstable)
81 Order of Subject, Object and Verb	53,3% (very stable)	3657 .линейный порядок членов предложения (sentence word order)	
Subject-object-verb (SOV)	69,5% (very stable)	3662 ...SOV	47% (stable)
Subject-verb-object (SVO)	59,2% (very stable)	3661 ...SVO	63% (very stable)
Lacking a dominant word order	24,5% (unstable)	3659 ..свободный (free word order)	6% (very unstable)
85 Order of Adposition and Noun Phrase	70,8% (very stable)	no correspondence	
1. Postpositions	80,1% (very stable)	2888 ..послелог (postpositions)	66% (very stable)

2. Prepositions	76,5% (very stable)	2890 ..предлог (prepositions)	71% (very stable)
87. Order of Adjective and Noun	50,6% (very stable)	no correspondence	
Modifying adjective precedes noun (AdjN)	59,2% (very stable)	3669 ...адъективное опред. предшествует определяемому (AdjN)	14% (very unstable)
100 Alignment of Verbal Person Marking	34,1% (stable)	3640 .срой (sentence structure — probably refers to alignment in VPs)	
2. Accusative alignment	35,8% (stable)	3644 ..номинативный (accusative)	63% (very stable)
3. Ergative alignment	41,8% (stable)	3653 ..эргативный (ergative)	76% (very stable)
102 Verbal Person Marking	19,3% (very unstable)	3718 ..актант определяет форму предиката (predicate agrees w/agent)	27% (unstable)
Person marking of only the A argument	38,9% (stable)	3721по лицу <- 3719 ...субъектное согласование (person subject agreement)	30% (unstable)
Person marking of only the P argument	-24,4% (very unstable)	3729по лицу <- 3727 ...объектное согласование (person object agreement)	39% (stable)
106 Reciprocal Constructions	21,5% (very unstable)	no correspondence	
2. All reciprocal constructions are formally distinct from reflexive constructions.	17,9% (very unstable)	1790 ...реципрок (reciproc, distinctness probably implied)	53% (very stable)
4 The reciprocal and reflexive constructions are formally identical.	16,2% (very unstable)	1789 ...рефлексив-реципрок (reflexive-reciproc)	24% (unstable)
107 Passive Constructions	28,3% (unstable)	1780 ..пассив (passive)	33% (unstable)
112 Negative Morphemes	27,1% (unstable)	2810 ..морфологическое <- 2804 .отрицание (morphological negation)	34% (stable)
Negative affix	36,8% (stable)	2812 ..отрицательные аффиксы (negative affixes)	32% (unstable)
117 Predicative Possession	34,9% (stable)	no correspondence	
2. Genitive Possessive	8,1% (very unstable)	1526 ..генитив <- 1521 .падежное оформление посессивного отношения (genitive poss. marking)	8% (very unstable)

WALS and JM features compared with statements in the literature

Statement in the literature	WALS feature	WALS stability	WALS agr-t	JM feature	JM stability	JM agr-t
Place of adposition appears to be stable (Nichols 1995: 352); adpositions are stable (Croft 1996: 206-7)	85 Order of Adposition and	70.8% (very stable)	Yes			Yes
	1. Postpositions	80.1% (very stable)		2888 ..послелог (postpositions)	65% (very stable)	
	2. Prepositions	76.5%(very stable)		2890 ..предлог (prepositions)	71% (very stable)	
SVO is possibly stable (Nichols 2003: 286. 305; Croft 1996: 206-7)	Subject-verb-object (SVO)	59.2% (very stable)	Yes	3661 ...SVO	63% (very stable)	Yes
SOV is possibly stable (Croft 1996: 206-7)	Subject-object-verb (SOV)	69.5% (very stable)	Yes	3662 ...SOV	47% (stable)	Yes
Verb-initial word order is stable (Croft 1996: 206-7)	Verb-subject-object (VSO)	44.5% (stable)	Yes	3663 ...VSO	(4%) [very unstable]	[No]
Tones are stable but also areal (Nichols 1995: 343; 2003: 307)	13 Tone	48.3% (stable)	Yes	484 ..тон	39% (stable)	Yes
Genders are stable (Nichols 1995: 343)	30 Number of Genders	72.9% (very stable)	Yes [not clear whether comparable]	1153 ..род (gender)	76% (very stable)	Yes
Accusative alignment is stable (Nichols 2003: 286)	99.2 Alignment of Case Marking of Pronouns: Nominative -	37.0% (stable)	Yes and no			Yes. but less data than in WALS
	100.2 Alignment of Verbal Person Marking: Accusative	35.8 (stable)		3644 ..номинативный (accusative)	63% (very stable)	
	100.3 Alignment of Verbal Person Marking: Ergative	41.8 (stable)		3653 ..эргативный (ergative)	76% (very stable)	
Nasal vowels are unstable (Greenberg 1978: 76, 1995:151, Croft 1996: 206-7; 2003: 235)	10.1 Vowel nasalization: Contrastive nasal vowels present	57% (very stable)	(no) [not clear whether comparable]	29 ..назализованные/ неназализованные (nasals)	38% (stable)	No
Numeral classifiers do not have high probabilities for inheritance (Nichols 2003: 299)	55 Numeral Classifiers	38,7% (stable)	No (but see values 2 and 3)	1265 ..классификаторы (classifiers)	33% (unstable)	Yes
	1 Numeral classifiers are	53,6% (very stable)				
	2 Numeral classifiers are	31,4% (unstable)				
	3 Numeral classifiers are	24,3% (unstable)				
Head-dependent marking in S is stable (Nichols 1995: 343)	23 Locus of Marking in the Clause	27.5% (unstable)	No	3718 ..актант определяет форму предиката	27% (unstable)	No
				3739 ..предикат определяет форму актанта	(9%) [very unstable]	

Singular/plural opposition (vs. neutralization thereof) in noun inflection is stable (Nichols 1995: 343).	34.6 Occurrence of Nominal Plurality: Plural in all nouns, always obligatory	18.6% (very unstable)	No	3370 ...число (number in noun inflection)	33% (unstable)	No
Ergativity has a low probability of inheritance (Nichols 2003: 295)	99.4 Alignment of Case Marking of Pronouns: Ergative — absolutive	74.9% (very stable)	No	1393 ...эргатив/абсолют ив (ergative/absolutive case present)	59% (very stable)	No
A-removing inflection (or very regular derivation) on verbs (passive, etc.) is stable (Nichols 1995: 343)	107 Passive Constructions	28,3% (unstable)	No	1780 ..пассив (passive)	33% (unstable)	No