Argument, Adjunct or Neither: Emai Co-participant Serials ALT Workshop on Valency Classes, 21 August 2013

> Ron Schaefer and Francis Egbokhare SIU Edwardsville and University of Ibadan

This paper has its origin in the Leipzig Valency Project (LVP), which centered on a predetermined set of verb meanings and allowed us to assess lexical equivalents and their valency profiles in southern Nigeria's Edoid language Emai. Like other West Benue Congo languages (Williamson and Blench 2000), Emai exhibits verbs in series, while showing few prepositions and little inflectional morphology. Through this exercise, co-participant constructions came to light.

Common to co-participant constructions is the identification and verb coding of an event co-participant that is obligatorily human but not entailed by the core verb in a serial verb construction, either V_1 or V_2 . The construction introduces a co-participant who co-locates with other participants in the scene coded by the construction or clarifies a dimension of the subject.

Co-participant constructions consist of a complex predicate in which a co-participant verb phrase either precedes or follows a core verb phrase. Each construction translates English 'with,' 'more than' or 'instead of' and exhibits one or more of the frames NP₀ V₁ NP₁ V₂ NP₂, NP₀ V₁ NP₁ V₂ or NP₀ V₁ V₂ NP₂. Displaying a precedence relation are constructions where a co-participant predicate (*de baa* 'join,' *kpaye* 'accompany,' or *kpaye* 'replace') precedes the core predicate (*sua* 'push,' *ta* 'speak,' or *e* 'eat,' respectively).

- 1 a. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè dé báá élí ívbèkhàn súá ìmátò. the man PRP.reach add the youths push car 'The man joined the youths to push the car / pushed the car with the youths.'
 - b. <u>ólí ómòhè ò ó kpàyè</u> ójé tà étà. the man SC C accompany Oje speak word 'The man is speaking with Oje.'
 - c. $\underline{\acute{o}}$ lí $\underline{\acute{o}}$ m $\underline{\acute{o}}$ hè kpáy<u>é</u> ∂ lól ∂ é $\underline{\acute{o}}$ lí émàe. the man PRP.replace Ololo eat the food 'The man ate the food instead of Ololo.'

Showing a succedence relation are constructions where the co-participant predicate (*lee* 'surpass' or kpeen 'support') follows the core predicate (*on* 'drink' or *fi* 'leave').

- 2 a. <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u>hè <u>ó</u>n àm<u>è</u> l<u>éé</u> òlólò. the man PRP.drink water surpass Ololo 'The man drank more water than Ololo.'
 - b. *òjè fí <u>ómò</u> kp<u>één <u>ó</u>lì òkpòsò.*Oje leave child support the woman 'Oje left a child with the woman.'
 </u>

Co-participant constructions reflect classic serial verb properties (Aikhenvald and Dixon 2006): a verb sequence sharing tense, aspect and polarity under a single intonation contour acting as a single predicate with no overt marking of clausal dependency.

Initially, co-participant noun phrases were considered as possible arguments of the core verb, whose meaning dominates the construction and provides for the translation equivalent. This was ultimately rejected since the co-participant was not entailed by core verb meaning. Subsequently, co-participant phrases were evaluated as possible adjuncts. This, too, was rejected since the co-participant corresponded neither to semantic notions typically associated with adjuncts, e.g. location, time or manner (Dixon and Aikhenvald 2009), nor to Emai question types reflecting such notions, e.g. *ébé* 'where', *éghè re* 'when' and *ébé i* 'how.' Co-participant noun phrases were neither arguments of the core verb nor adjuncts of the event coded by the complex predicate incorporating the core verb.

Our hypothesis, instead, is that co-participant noun phrases in these serial verb constructions bear on the information status of core event participants (Lambrecht 1994). For the speaker there is reason to suspect that the hearer assumes a certain configuration of scene participants for the core event when, in fact, a different configuration is the actual case or that there is need to clarify the participants of the core event.

Verb flagging of co-participants is not unlike other verbs in series where an event participant is coded. In Emai, serial verb constructions also express an instrument relation with $r\underline{e}$ 'take, use' as V₁. Instrument participants serve as immediate cause of a change of state expressed by V₂ that affects NP₂. Predication sense is 'take, use, do with.' Such constructions are often perceived as prototypical serial verb constructions. The instrument relation to the construction event, however, is entailed by its core verb.

3	a.	òjè r <u>é</u>	<u>ó</u> pìà	kpíákpíá	óràn.			
		Oje PRP.use	cutlass	trim	tree			
		'Oje used a cu	utlass to	trim a tree	/ trimmed a tree with a cutlass.'			
	b.	òjè r <u>é</u>	údò gb	é ákhè	á.			
		Oje PRP.use stone break pot CS						
		'Oje used a stone to break a pot / broke a pot with a stone.'						

Co-participant constructions

Complex predicates convey a sociative relation (Blake 2001) with *de baa* 'join' as V₁. The sociative participant joins and accompanies other event participants. V₂ consists of activity verbs that are transitive (e.g. *sua ìmátò* 'push car') or intransitive (e.g. *sie* 'play'). Predication sense is 'do with, together.'

4 a. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè dé báá élí ívbèkhàn súá ìmátò. the man PRP.reach add the youths push car 'The man joined the youths to push the car / pushed the car with the youths.'

- b. <u>ólí ómó</u> dé' báá íny<u>ó</u> <u>ó</u>ì sìè. the child PAP.reach add mother his play 'The child played with his mother.'
- c. <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ó</u>hé dé' báá élí ívbèkhàn lá. the man PAP.reach join the youths run 'The man joined the youths to run / ran with the youths.'

NP₀ and NP₁ participants in *de baa* predications spatially co-locate. NP₀ subject position requires a human noun, nonhuman, animate nouns ($\underline{\acute{e}}w\acute{e}$ 'goat') being unacceptable. NP₁ position requires a human noun; nonhuman animate nouns ($\underline{\acute{e}}w\acute{e}$) are also unacceptable. NP₁ position in *de baa* predications accepts accusative pronouns ($\underline{\acute{o}}i$), as does NP₂ in the case of transitive core verbs.

Complex predications with sociative *de baa* strictly deconstruct as verbs sharing NP₁. V₂ *sie* or *sua* appears as a simple intransitive predicate with NP₁ as subject or as transitive predications with NP₁ as subject and NP₂ as direct object.

5 a. *íny<u>ó</u> òjè <u>ò</u> <u>ó</u> sìé. mother Oje SC C play 'Oje's mother is playing.'
b. <i>élí ívbèkhàn <u>ò</u> <u>ó</u> súá ìmátò.* the youths SC C push car 'The youths are pushing a car.'

V1 *de baa* appears as an intransitive predicate with NP0 as subject or as a transitive shape with NP0 as subject and NP1 as direct object. Predication sense is 'join, participate.' Predications with V1 *de baa* require human noun subjects and direct objects. Nonhuman animate nouns ($\underline{e}we$) in either position are ungrammatical, as are inanimate nouns (e.g. $\underline{\delta}ka$ 'maize,' ikpeshe 'beans'). The position following *de baa* accepts an accusative pronoun ($\underline{\delta}i$ 'him, her, it').

- 6 a. *ójé dé' bàà*. Oje PAP.reach add 'Oje participated / joined.'
 - b. òjè dé báá élí ívbèkhàn.
 Oje PRP.reach add the youths
 'Oje joined / participated with the youths.'

Complex predicates that are transitive or intransitive identify a comitative relation (Blake 2001) with kpaye 'accompany, move with' as V₁. Comitative and subject relation participants co-locate to undertake an event. V₂ consists of intransitive activity verbs such as *dan* 'wrestle' or *vbaye* 'chat' as well as cognitive information transfer verbs such as transitive *ta* 'speak.' Predication sense is 'do with, together.'

- 7 a. <u>ólí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u>hè <u>ò</u> <u>ó</u> kpày<u>è</u> òhí dán.
 the man SC C accompany Ohi wrestle
 'The man is wrestling with Ohi. / The man and Ohi are wrestling.'
 </u>
 - b. <u>ólí ómòhè kpáyé</u>' òlólò vbáyé</u>. the man PAP.accompany Ololo chat 'The man chatted with Ololo.'
 - c. <u>ólí ómòhè ò ó kpàyè</u> òjé tà étà. the man SC C accompany Oje speak word 'The man is speaking with Oje.'

NP₀ and NP₁ referents in complex *kpaye* predicates co-locate as event participants. NP₁ subject requires a human noun; nonhuman, animate nouns are unacceptable. NP₂ position in *kpaye* predications requires either an animate or human noun. Inanimate nouns such as $ay \underline{o}gh \underline{o}$ 'rattle' are unacceptable with V₂ *sie* 'play' and animate nouns such as awa 'dog' are unacceptable with V₂ *dan* 'wrestle.'

- 8 a. <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u>hè <u>ò</u> <u>ó</u> kpày<u>è</u> <u>ó</u>lí áwá / *ày<u>ó</u>gh<u>ó</u> sìé. the man SC C accompany the dog rattle play 'The man is playing with the dog.'
 - b. <u>ólí ómóhé kpáyé'</u> <u>ólí óvbèkhàn / *ó</u>lí áwà dán. the man PAP.accompany the youth the dog wrestle 'The man is wrestling with the youth.'

NP1 position following kpaye accepts accusative pronouns ($\underline{\acute{o}i}$).

9 <u>ólí ómóhé kpáyé'</u> <u>ó</u>ì vbáy<u>é</u>. the man PAP.accompany him pass.time 'The man chatted / passed the time with him.'

Complex predications with comitative kpaye do not strictly deconstruct as simple verbs. V₂ dan or vbaye appear as simple predicates but not with singular NP₁ as subject; instead, both dan and vbaye require a plural grammatical subject. On the other hand, V₂ sie takes singular or plural subjects, as does ta 'speak.'

- 10 a. \acute{eli} $\acute{im}\underline{\diamond}h\dot{e}$ / $*\underline{\acute{o}li}$ $\acute{om}\underline{\diamond}h\dot{e}$ $\underline{\acute{o}}$ \acute{o} dán. the men the man SC C wrestle 'The men are wrestling.'
 - b. \acute{eli} $(m\underline{\diamond}h\dot{e} / *\underline{\acute{o}li} \ \underline{\acute{o}m\dot{\diamond}h\dot{e}} \ \underline{\acute{o}} \ \underline{\acute{o}} \ vb\dot{a}y\underline{\acute{e}}$. the men the man SC C chat 'The men are chatting.'
 - c. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè /élí ím<u>ò</u>hè <u>ò</u> <u>ó</u> sié. the man the men SC C play 'The man is / men are playing.'

V1 *kpaye* fails as a simple transitive verb with NP0 as subject and NP1 as direct object or as a simple intransitive verb with NP0 as subject.

11 a. *<u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u>hè <u>ò</u> <u>ó</u> kpày<u>è</u> òhí. the man SC C accompany Ohi 'The man is accompanying Ohi.'
b. *<u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u>hè <u>ò</u> <u>ó</u> kpày<u>é</u>. the man SC C accompany 'The man is accompanying / coming with.'

As a simple predicate, $V_1 kpaye$ only appears with a direct object framed as an obligatory external possessor phrase. A human noun possessor precedes a possessum, restricted to the body-part $\delta b \delta$ 'hand.' Predication sense is 'help, assist, give a helping hand.'

12 òjè kpáyé áléké óbò.
 Oje PRP.accompany Aleke hand
 'Oje helped Aleke / gave Aleke a helping hand.'

Complex predicates express a substitutive relation (Blake 2001) with kpaye 'replace' as V1. kpaye subject ($\underline{o}li \, \underline{o}m\underline{o}he$ 'the man') specifies an event participant that substitutes for kpaye direct object ($\overline{o}lolo$ 'Ololo'), which is also the understood subject of V2. V2 consists of transitive verbs such as e 'eat' or *hian* 'cut.' Predication sense is 'replace, do in place of, in lieu of, instead of.'

13 a.	<u>ó</u> lí <u>ó</u> m <u>ó</u> hé	kpáy <u>é</u> '	òlólò	é	<u>ó</u> lí	émàè.		
	the man	PAP.replace	Ololo	eat	the	food		
	'The man replaced Ololo to eat the food / ate the food instead of Ololo.'							
b.	<u>ó</u> lí <u>ó</u> m <u>ó</u> hé k	páy <u>é</u> ' òl	lólò h	íán <u>c</u>	<u>ś</u> lí d	óràn.		
	the man P	AP.replace O	lolo c	ut t	he v	wood		
	'The man replaced Ololo to cut the wood / cut the wood instead of Ololo.'							

NP₀ and NP₁ participants in *kpaye* predications are obligatorily human. NP₁ requires a human noun; nonhuman, animate nouns ($\underline{\delta}li \, \underline{\delta}wa$ 'the dog') are unacceptable. Similarly, NP₁ position requires a human noun, nonhuman animate nouns being unacceptable. NP₁ position in substitutive *kpaye* predications accepts accusative pronouns ($\underline{\delta}i$ 'him), as does NP₂.

Complex substitutive predications with kpaye do not deconstruct strictly as simple verbs. V₂ position verbs and their NP₂ direct objects (*e* <u>ó</u>*lí émàè*, *hian* <u>ó</u>*lí óràn*) appear as simple predicates with either NP₀ or NP₁ as subject.

- 14 a. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè/òlólò é <u>ó</u>lí émàè. the man Ololo PRP.eat the food 'The man / Ololo has eaten the food.'
 - b. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè/òlólò híán <u>ó</u>lí óràn. the man Ololo PRP.cut the wood 'The man/Ololo has cut the wood.'

As already shown, V₁ *kpaye* fails as a simple transitive verb with NP₀ as subject and NP₁ as direct object or as a simple intransitive verb with NP₀ as subject, as already suggested.

- 15 a. *<u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ó</u>hé kpáy<u>é</u>' òlólò. the man PAP.replace Ololo 'The man replaced Ololo.'
 - b. *<u>ólí ómò</u>hè kpáy<u>é</u>-ì. the man PRP.replace-F 'The man got replaced.'

As a simple predicate, $V_1 kpaye$ only appears with a direct object framed as an obligatory external possessor phrase in which a human noun possessor precedes the body-part possessum $\delta b \dot{a}$ 'hand.' Predication sense is 'help, assist.'

16 òjè kpáyé áléké óbò.
Oje PRP.accompany Aleke hand
'Oje helped Aleke / gave Aleke a helping hand.'

Complex predicates specify a comparative relation with $l\underline{ee}$ 'surpass' in series as V₂. Participants marked by $l\underline{ee}$ serve as a standard of comparison along some dimension applicable to subject participant. V₁ consists of transitive or intransitive verbs whose scalar conditions can be identified for comparison. Predication sense is 'be/do more than.'

- 17 a. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè <u>ón</u> àm<u>è</u> l<u>éé</u> m<u>è</u>. the man drink water surpass me 'The man drank more water than I.'
 - b. <u>ólí ómòhè dá léé</u> òhí. the man be.tall surpass Ohi 'The man is taller than Ohi.'
 - c. áwá ísì <u>èé</u> kéré' l<u>éé</u> éwé m<u>è</u>.
 dog ASS your be.small surpass goat my
 'Your dog is smaller than my goat.'
 - d. áfúzé' réré' l<u>éé</u> òkè.
 Afuze be.far surpass Oke
 'Afuze is farther than Oke.'

Noun phrase positions in *lee* predications exhibit distinctive properties. Depending on V₁ transitivity, NP₀ subject is measured against intransitive NP₁ or transitive NP₂, each preceded by *lee*. Illustrating with intransitives, *lee* marked NP₁ position accepts animate $(\partial h\hat{i})$, inanimate $(\dot{e} \lambda nm\hat{i})$ or locative $(iw\hat{e})$ nouns.

18 a. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè dá l<u>éé</u> òhí. the man be.tall surpass Ohi 'The man is taller than Ohi.'

- b. *éh<u>èè</u>n kp<u>ó</u> l<u>éé</u> éànmì. fish be.cheap surpass meat 'Fish is cheaper than meat.'*
- c. *íwé m<u>è</u> gbá l<u>éé</u> <i>íwé ísì <u>èé</u>*.
 house my be.big surpass house ASS your 'My house is bigger than your house.'

NP₁ and NP₂ position accept accusative pronouns ($\underline{\acute{o}i}$). In addition, particularly for intransitives, NP₁ position marked by *lee* can be ellipted in a sufficiently rich context, such as focus, where NP₀ corresponds to an obligatory focus position constituent. Without such a context, the *lee* marked noun phrase tends not to allow ellipsis.

- 19 a. *éh<u>èèn lí ó</u> kp<u>ó'</u> l<u>èè</u>. fish PF it be.cheap surpass 'It is fish that is cheaper.'*
 - b. *ìgbégbè lí <u>ó</u> <u>ò</u> ghà<u>é</u>n l<u>éé</u>.
 velvet PF it H be.costly surpass
 'It is velvet that costs more (compared to velvetine).'*

Complex predicates with comparative $l\underline{ee}$ deconstruct strictly, sharing NP0. V1 forms such as \underline{on} 'drink' or da 'be tall' appear as simple predicates, respectively, with NP0 as transitive subject and NP1 as direct object or simply NP0 as intransitive subject.

- 20 a. <u>ólí ómòhè ón</u> àm<u>è</u>. the man drink water 'The man drank water.'
 - b. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè dá-ì. the man be.tall-F 'The man is tall.'

Relative to transitive complex predications, $V_2 lee$ takes NP₀ as subject and NP₂ as direct object. However, *lee* fails with NP₁ as subject and NP₂ as direct object.

- 21 a. <u>ólí ómòhè léé mè</u>. the man surpass me 'The man surpassed me.'
 b. <u>*ólí àmè léé mè</u>.
 - . *<u>oli ame lee me</u>. the water surpass me 'The water surpassed me.'

More precisely, V₂ $l\underline{ee}$ takes subject and direct object nouns that are both human ($\underline{om}\underline{o}h\dot{e}$, $\partial h\hat{i}$). $l\underline{ee}$ rejects as subject and direct object nouns that are nonhuman animate ($\underline{ekp}\underline{en}$ 'leopard,' \hat{ein} 'tortoise'), inanimate ($\underline{igb}\underline{egb}\underline{e}$ 'velvet,' $\underline{ilel}\underline{eji}$ 'velvetine') or locative (village names $\hat{afu}\underline{ze'}$ and $\partial k\dot{e}$).

- 22 a. <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u>hè l<u>éé</u> òhí.
 the man surpass Ohi
 'The man surpassed / is superior to Ohi.'
 - b. *<u>ó</u>lì <u>è</u>kp<u>è</u>n l<u>éé</u> éìn.
 the leopard surpass tortoise
 'The leopard surpassed the tortoise.'
 - c. *ìgbégbé l<u>éé</u> ìléléèjì.
 velvet surpass velvetine
 'Velvet surpassed velvetine.'
 - d. *áfúzé' l<u>éé</u> òkè.
 Afuze surpass Oke
 'Afuze surpassed Oke.'

Lastly, complex predicates articulate an adjacency relation (Blake 2001) for posture and position change events with $V_2 kpeen$ 'support, as in a wedged position.' Participants spatially co-locate. V₁ consists of intransitive posture verbs such as *muzan* 'stand,' *dia* 'sit,' and *mehen* 'lie' or transitive verbs of object manipulation such as *nwu* 'take hold' or *fi* 'leave.' Predication sense is 'VERB with, alongside, by.'

- 23 a. *òjè múzán kp<u>éé</u>n òlólò*.
 Oje stand support Ololo
 'Oje stood with /alongside / by Ololo.'
 - b. <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u>hè díá kp<u>éé</u>n <u>ó</u>lì òkpòsò. the man sit support the woman 'The man sat with the woman.'
 - c. <u>ólí ómò</u>hè m<u>é</u>h<u>é</u>n kp<u>éé</u>n òlólò. the man lie support Ololo 'The man lay by Ololo.'
 - d. *òjè nwú <u>ómò</u> kp<u>één</u> <i>òlólò*.
 Oje take.hold child support Ololo
 'Oje put a child with Ololo.'
 - e. *òjè fí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u> kp<u>éé</u>n <u>ó</u>lì <i>òkpòsò*.
 Oje leave child support the woman 'Oje left a child with the woman.'

NPo subject in adjacency $kp\underline{een}$ predications requires a human noun; nonhuman animate nouns ($\underline{\acute{e}w}$ e 'goat') are unacceptable. And adjacency $kp\underline{een}$ complements, whether V₁ is intransitive or transitive, require human noun direct objects; nonhuman, animate nouns ($\underline{\acute{e}w}$ e 'goat') are unacceptable. Adjacency $kp\underline{een}$ complements take accusative pronouns ($\underline{\acute{o}}i$), as do transitive V₁ complements.

Complex predications with adjaceny kpeen do not deconstruct strictly as simple verbs. V1 *muzan*, for instance, appears as an intransitive shape with NP0 as subject but not as a transitive shape with NP0 as subject and NP1 as direct object.

24 a. *òjè múzán-ì*. Oje stand-F 'Oje stood.'
b. **òjè múzán òlólò*. Oje stand Ololo 'Oje stood Ololo up / Oje stood with Ololo.'

Conversely, $V_1 nwu$ manifests a sense shift to 'carry' when it appears as a transitive shape with NP₀ as subject and NP₁ as direct object; it fails as an intransitive shape with NP₀ or NP₁ as subject.

25 òjè nwú <u>ómò</u>.
 Oje take.hold child
 'Oje carried the child.'

 $V_2 kpeen$ fails as a simple predicate with NP₀ as subject and NP₁ as direct object or NP₁ as subject and NP₂ as direct object.

- 26 a. **òjè kp<u>éé</u>n òlólò.* Oje support Ololo 'Oje supported Ololo.'
 - b. *<u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u> kp<u>éé</u>n òlólò.
 the child support Ololo
 'The child supported Ololo.'

As a transitive verb, $V_2 kpeen$ occurs in complex predicates with instrument verb re 'take' and its direct object. Predication sense is 'support, prop up, wedge in a held position.' kpeen with its vowel sequence never occurs as sole verb of a simple predication.

- 27 a. <u>ólí ómòhè ré</u> óràn kp<u>één</u> <u>ó</u>lì ìmátò. the man use pole support the car 'The man supported / propped up the car with a pole.'
 b. <u>òjè ré</u> <u>óbò</u> <u>kpéén</u> <u>àgbàn</u>. Oje use hand support jaw 'Oje wedged his jaw with his hand.'
 c. *<u>ólí <u>ó</u>m<u>ò</u>hè kp<u>één</u> <u>ó</u>lì ìmátò. the man support the car
 </u>
 - 'The man supported / propped up the car.'

A phonologically related form *kpen*, with a single vowel, expresses spatial collocation with body part or locative noun as direct object. It has the sense 'be next to / adjacent to,' which seems related to the 'support' sense. Nonetheless, there is no grammatical process signaled by a change from a single vowel to a vowel sequence.

- 28 a. <u>ó</u>lì òkpòsò kp<u>é</u>n ùòkhò. the woman be.next to back 'The woman was last.'
 - b. $\underline{\acute{o}li}$ $iw\acute{e}$ $d\acute{e}l\acute{o}$ $\underline{\acute{e}o}$ $kp\underline{\acute{e}n}$ $\acute{u}kp\acute{o}d\underline{\acute{e}}$. the house turn face be.next.to road 'The house faced the road.'
 - c. $\partial j \hat{e} nw\hat{u}$ $\underline{\delta} l\hat{i} \hat{u} kp\hat{u}n kp\underline{e}n \partial t\underline{\delta}\hat{i}$. Oje take.hold the cloth be.next.to ground. 'Oje put the cloth at the bottom.'
 - d. *òjè fí úhùnmì kp<u>é</u>n òt<u>ò</u>ì.
 Oje toss head be.next.to ground 'Oje turned upside down.'*

Discussion of co-participant constructions

Given their common co-participant coding, how might we begin to understand these constructions, particularly with respect to their information and discourse properties. First, let's consider how all but one of Emai's co-participant verbs show evidence of being dependent on their serial verb partner. We note in this regard that instrument re 'take' serial verb constructions allow not only lexical verbs in V₂ position but also proverb *u* 'do.'

29 a. *òjè r<u>é</u> <u>ó</u>pìà híán éràn.*Oje PRP.take cutlass cut trees
'Oje cut trees with a cutlass / Oje used a cutlass to cut trees.'
b. *òjè r<u>é</u> <u>ó</u>pìà ú <u>ó</u>ì.
Oje PRP.take cutlass do it
'Oje did it with a cutlass.'*

Most co-participant verbs, in contrast, require a lexical verb; they reject pro-verb u. Serial constructions with *de baa* 'join,' *kpaye* 'accompany,' *lee* 'more than' and *kpeen* 'support' fail to occur in a serial construction with V₁ or V₂ position held by pro-verb u.

- 30 a. *ójé dé' báá élí ívbèkhàn ú óì. Oje PAP.reach add the youths do it 'Oje joined the youths to do it. / Oje did it with the youths.' <u>ó</u> kpàyè àlèkè ù óì. b. **òjè ò* Oje SC C accompany Aleke do it 'Oje is doing it with Aleke.' c. *ójé ú' óì léé àlèkè. Oje do it surpass Aleke 'Oje did more than Aleke.' d. **òjè ú* óì kpéén *òlólò*.
 - Oje do it support Ololo 'Oje did it with Ololo.'

In contrast, V₂ position in complex substitutive predications with V₁ kpaye 'replace' accepts pro-verb u 'do.'

31 òjè kpáyé òlólò ú <u>ó</u>ì.
 Oje PRP.replace Ololo do it
 'Oje did it in place of Ololo.'

Another potentially related discourse feature concerns serial construction subject position. Serial constructions with instrument re 'take,' for instance, allow the indefinite subject pronoun, variously translated in English with indefinite 'one, they' or simply not translated as a near equivalent to the English passive.

32 á ré' ópìà híán élí éràn.
one PAP.take cutlass cut the trees
'Someone cut the trees with a cutlass / The trees were cut with a cutlass.'

Most co-participant verbs, nonetheless, fail to accept indefinite a. Serial constructions with $de \ baa$ 'join,' kpaye 'accompany,' lee 'more than' and kpeen 'support' reject indefinite a in subject position.

33 a.	*á dé' báá élí ívbèkhàn súá ìmátò. one PAP.reach add the youths push car							
	'Someone joined the youths to push the car / pushed the car with the youths.'							
b.	*à á kpày <u>è</u> òjé tà étà.							
	one C accompany Oje speak word							
	'Someone is speaking with Oje.'							
с.	*á <u>ó</u> n àm <u>è</u> l <u>éé</u> àlèkè.							
	one drink water surpass Aleke							
'Someone drank more water than Aleke.'								
d.	*á fí <u>ó</u> m <u>ò</u> kp <u>éé</u> n <u>ó</u> lì òkpòsò.							
	one leave child support the woman							
'Someone left a child with the woman / A child was left with the woman.'								
However, <i>kpaye</i> 'replace' serial constructions take indefinite <i>a</i> .								

34	á	kpáy <u>é</u> '	òhí	é	<u>ó</u> lí	émàè.
	one	PAP.replace	Ohi	eat	the	food
	'Sor	neone ate the	food	inste	ead o	of Ohi.'

Now let's look at the reach within serial verb constructions of contrastive focus. Focus constructions allow the speaker to present an alternative to the presumed identity of an event participant held by the hearer. Occurrence in focus position thus reveals information whose status is shared between speaker and hearer. Instrument $r\underline{e}$ serial verb constructions, for instance, allow repositioning of subject as well as direct object of $r\underline{e}$ and of V₂. Each corresponds to a focus position constituent marked by positive focus particle *li*.

- 35 a. <u>ólí ómóhé nà lí ó ré'</u><u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>pìà híán éràn. the man this PF he PAP.take the cutlass cut trees 'It was this man who used a cutlass to cut trees.'
 - b. <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>pìà lí <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ó</u>hé r<u>é</u>' híán éràn.
 the cutlass PF the man PAP.take cut trees
 'It was the cutlass that the man used to cut trees.'
 - c. *élí éràn lí <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ó</u>hé r<u>é</u>' <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>pìà híán. the trees PF the man PAP.take the cutlass cut 'It was the trees that the man used the cutlass to cut.'*

Most co-participant verbs, however, inhibit repositioning of participants. Serial constructions with *de baa* 'join,' *kpaye* 'accompany,' *lee* 'more than' and *kpeen* 'support' constrain the repositioning of their direct object participants and, in some cases, subject participants as well.

Predications with *de baa* inhibit repositioning of all participants. Neither subject nor direct object of V_1 *de baa* nor direct object of V_2 corresponds to a focus position constituent.

- 36 a. **òjè lí <u>ó</u> dé' báá <u>ò</u>nwìmè k<u>én</u> <u>ògèdè</u>. Oje PF he PAP.reach add farmer share plantain 'It was Oje who joined the farmer and shared the plantain.'*
 - b. *<u>ó</u>lì <u>ò</u>nwìmè <u>ò</u>kpá lí ójé dé' báá k<u>én ògèdè</u>.
 the farmer alone PF Oje PAP.reach add share plantain
 'It was the farmer alone that Oje joined and shared the plantain.'
 - c. *<u>ógédé</u> m<u>è</u> lí ójé dé' báá <u>ò</u>nwìmè k<u>é</u>n.
 plantain my PF Oje PAP.reach add farmer share
 'It was my plantain that Oje joined the farmer and shared.'

Predications with *kpaye* 'accompany' partially inhibit repositioning of participants. Subject corresponds to a focus position constituent but, most importantly, *kpaye* direct object does not.

- 37 a. <u>ólí ómó</u>hé nà lí <u>ó</u> kpáy<u>é</u>' òhí dán. the man this PF he PAP.accompany Ohi wrestle 'It was this man who wrestled with Ohi.'
 - b. **òhí lí <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ó</u>hé kpáy<u>é</u>' dán. Ohi PF the man PAP.accompany wrestle 'It was Ohi who the man wrestled with.'*

Complex *lee* predications show variable repositioning of participants. Verbs like <u>on</u> 'drink' as V_1 allow only their subject in focus position. The direct object of neither V_1 nor V_2 corresponds to a focus position constituent.

- 38 a. òjè <u>ò</u>kpá lí <u>ó</u> <u>ó</u>n' àm<u>è</u> l<u>éé</u> àlèkè. Oje alone PF he PAP.drink water surpass Aleke 'It was Oje alone who drank more water than Aleke.'
 - b. *ámé mè lí ójé ón' léé àlèkè.
 water my PF Oje PAP.drink surpass Aleke
 'It was my water that Oje drank more of than Aleke did.'
 - c. *àlèkè <u>ò</u>kpá lí ójé <u>ó</u>n' àm<u>è</u> l<u>éé</u>.
 Aleke alone PF Oje PAP.drink water surpass
 'It was Aleke alone that Oje drank more water than.'

As for complex kpeen predications, they partially inhibit repositioning of participants. Subject corresponds to a focus position constituent, but direct objects, including that of kpeen, do not, regardless of V₁ as an intransitive or transitive shape.

- 39 a. *òjè <u>ò</u>kpá lí <u>ó</u> nwú' <u>ómò</u> kp<u>één</u> <i>òlólò*.
 Oje alone PF he PAP.take.hold child support Ololo 'It was Oje alone who put a child with Ololo.'
 - b. *<u>ómó</u> m<u>è</u> <u>ò</u>kpá lí ójé nwú' kp<u>éé</u>n òlólò.
 child my alone PF Oje PAP.take.hold support Ololo
 'It was my child alone that Oje put with Ololo.'
 - c. **òlólò <u>ò</u>kpá lí ójé nwú' <u>ómò</u> kp<u>éé</u>n. Ololo alone PF Oje PAP.take.hold child support 'It was Ololo alone that Oje put a child with.'*

In contrast to this constrained configuration for direct object participants, verb $kpay\underline{e}$ 'replace' constructions allow, across grammatical relations, participant repositioning. Subject, direct object of V₁ $kpay\underline{e}$ and direct object of V₂ correspond to a focus position constituent.

- 40 a. <u>ólí ómóhé nà lí ó kpáyé</u>' òhí é <u>ó</u>lí émàè. the man this PF he PAP.replace Ohi eat the food 'It was this man who ate the food for Ohi.'
 - b. *òhí lí <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ó</u>hé kpáy<u>é</u>' é <u>ó</u>lí émàè. Ohi PF the man PAP.replace eat the food 'It was Ohi who the man ate the food for.'*
 - c. <u>ó</u>lí émàè lí <u>ó</u>lí <u>ó</u>m<u>ó</u>hé kpáy<u>é</u>' òhí é. the food PF the man PAP.replace Ohi eat 'It was the food that the man ate for Ohi.'

Conclusion

We thus find that across construction types sensitive to information value $kpay\underline{e}$ 'replace,' of all co-participant types, exhibits distinctive behavior. One way to interpret these data is to consider the information flow across a serial construction. In this regard, the co-dependency of four of the co-participant verbs, as revealed in u 'do' constructions, as well as their failure to allow their direct objects to correspond to focus constituents, suggests that these four predications represent new information held by the speaker that is not open to dispute with the hearer.

On the other hand, the failure of u constructions and the lack of a focus constraint for all direct object participants in $kpay\underline{e}$ 'replace' serials suggests that this serial construction expresses shared information, much in the fashion of instrument $r\underline{e}$, where verb entailment played a role. However, the $kpay\underline{e}$ participant is not entailed by the core verb. Thus we need some other level of information other than new or shared to characterize the information status of the $kpay\underline{e}$ complement.

However this might be solved, it does not appear that serial verb constructions are limited to the expression of verb arguments or the creation of lexical items, which is a conventional interpretation espoused by, among others, Comrie (1995). It seems that in addition to their syntactic and semantic properties, we should also consider that verbs in series along with their complements have an information function that requires attention.

*Data incorporated in this paper derive from research sponsored by the U.S. National Science Foundation, (BNS #9011338 and SBR #9409552), the U.S. Department of State (College and University Affiliations Program grant ASJY 1333), the U.S. National Endowment for the Humanities (PD-50004-06), Southern Illinois University Edwardsville, particularly its Distinguished Research Professor award, and the University of Ibadan, Nigeria. We thank these institutions for their continued support, while not extending to them any responsibility for data interpretation.

*Orthographic conventions for Emai are consistent with those in Schaefer (1987), Schaefer and Egbokhare (1999) and Schaefer and Egbokhare (2007), where $\underline{0}$ represents a lax mid back vowel, \underline{e} a lax mid front vowel, and **vb** a voiced bilabial approximant. With respect to tone, acute accent marks high, grave accent signals low, and acute accent followed by an apostrophe designates high downstep. Across an Emai clause, tone marking is grammatically conditioned by syntactic position as well as inflectional factors such as mood, aspect and polarity. Subject position is therefore variously assigned a construct tonal pattern ($\delta j \acute{e}$, $\underline{\acute{o}} li \ \delta kp \delta s \acute{o}$) for the past perfect, for instance, or an absolute, lexical pattern ($\delta j \acute{e}$, $\underline{\acute{o}} li \ \delta kp \delta s \acute{o}$) for the present perfect.

*Abbreviations for grammatical morphemes used throughout this paper include: ASS=associative, C=continuous, , CS=change of state, F=factative, GEN=genitive, H=habitual, PAP=past perfect, PF=positive focus, PRP=present perfect, SC=subject concord.

REFERENCES

Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. 2006. Serial verb constructions in typological perspective. In Serial Verb Constructions: A Cross-linguistic Typology, ed. by Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald & R.M.W. Dixon, 1-68. New York: Oxford University Press.

Blake, Barry. 2001. Case (Second Edition). New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Comrie, Bernard. 1995. Serial verbs in Haruai (Papua New Guinea) and their theoretical implications. In *Langues et Langage: Problemes et Raisonnement en Linguistique Mélanges Affects à Antoine Culioli*, ed. by J. Bouscaren, J. Franckel, and S. Robert. 25-37. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Dixon, R.M.W. & Alexandra Aikhenvald. (eds.) 2009. *The Semantics of Clause Linking*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Elugbe, Ben. 1989. *Comparative Edoid: Phonology and Lexicon*. Port Harcourt: University of Port Harcourt Press.
- Lambrecht, Knud. 1994. *Information structure and sentence form*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Payne, Doris and Immanuel Barshi (eds.) 1999. *External possession*. Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Schaefer, Ronald. P. 1987. An Initial Orthography and Lexicon for Emai: an Edoid Language of Nigeria. Bloomington: Indiana University Linguistics Club.
- Schaefer, Ronald P. & Francis O. Egbokhare. 1999. Oral Tradition Narratives of the Emai People, Part I and Part II. Hamburg: LIT Verlag.
- Schaefer, Ronald P. & Francis O. Egbokhare. 2007. A Dictionary of Emai: An Edoid Language of Nigeria. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe Verlag.
- Schaefer, Ronald P. & Francis O. Egbokhare. no date. A Reference Grammar for Emai: A Nigerian Edoid Language.
- Williamson, Kay & Roger Blench. 2000. Niger Congo. In African Languages: An Introduction ed. by Bernd Heine & Derek Nurse. 11-42. New York: Cambridge University Press.